WEBVTT

00:00:01.250 --> 00:00:02.810 - Okay, so welcome everyone. 00:00:02.810 --> 00:00:05.620 I think we'll get started. 00:00:05.620 --> 00:00:07.680 I'm Robert Dubrow. $00:00:07.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.710$ I'm the Faculty Director 00:00:09.710 --> 00:00:12.820 of the Yale Center on Climate Change in Health $00:00:12.820 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.873$ and I'd like to welcome everyone. 00:00:15.860 --> 00:00:17.970 So our center works in the domains 00:00:17.970 --> 00:00:21.020 of research education and public health practice $00:00:21.020 \rightarrow 00:00:25.570$ and also on the local and international levels. 00:00:25.570 --> 00:00:27.730 So of course, one of the main full side $00:00:27.730 \rightarrow 00:00:31.313$ of our work is Connecticut, where we live and work. $00:00:32.410 \rightarrow 00:00:34.690$ So with the goal of helping policy makers 00:00:34.690 --> 00:00:38.060 and advocates in Connecticut advance your work, $00:00:38.060 \rightarrow 00:00:41.280$ this sensor and Laura in particular, put a great deal 00:00:41.280 --> 00:00:45.730 of effort into researching and writing climate change health $00:00:45.730 \rightarrow 00:00:48.093$ in Connecticut, the 2020 report. $00:00:48.970 \rightarrow 00:00:53.180$ So it's a pleasure to introduce Dr. Laura Bozzi $00:00:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.810$ who's our Director of Programs $00:00:55.810 \rightarrow 00:00:58.030$ and the lead author of the report. 00:00:58.030 --> 00:01:01.653 And she'll be presenting the report's main finding. $00:01:02.510 \rightarrow 00:01:04.920$ Just a couple of housekeeping items 00:01:05.950 - 00:01:07.800 for this webinar being recorded $00:01:07.800 \rightarrow 00:01:11.460$ and it'll be available on our website there on. 00:01:11.460 --> 00:01:14.710 Second, be sure to stay muted. 00:01:14.710 --> 00:01:17.630 And third, if you have questions, you can put them $00:01:17.630 \rightarrow 00:01:20.710$ into the chat box and we'll have a question

 $00{:}01{:}20{.}710$ --> $00{:}01{:}24{.}260$ and answer period at the end of Laura's presentation.

 $00{:}01{:}24{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29{.}260$ So Laura, we're looking forward to your presentation.

 $00:01:29.440 \rightarrow 00:01:34.170$ - Great, thanks Rob and welcome everyone.

00:01:34.170 --> 00:01:38.180 First I wanna think and recognize Rob as the co-author

 $00:01:38.180 \rightarrow 00:01:40.650$ of this report that we'll discuss today,

 $00{:}01{:}40.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}42.230$ as well as some other contributors

 $00:01:42.230 \dashrightarrow 00:01:45.710$ that are Ian Maro, Diaz Hernandez, Chi Chen

 $00:01:45.710 \rightarrow 00:01:47.973$ in a former student at Melville Vessel.

00:01:49.990 --> 00:01:50.823 So thank you again

 $00:01:50.823 \rightarrow 00:01:52.883$ for your interest in this important topic.

 $00{:}01{:}54{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57{.}510$ Let's see, Rob mentioned the center a bit

 $00:01:57.510 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.230$ but just want to orient you

 $00:01:59.230 \rightarrow 00:02:02.010$ to the Yale Center on Climate Change in Health.

00:02:02.010 --> 00:02:03.740 As Rob mentioned, our work is global

 $00:02:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.290$ but we have a particular focus here in Connecticut.

00:02:08.990 --> 00:02:11.900 And we invite you to stay engaged

 $00{:}02{:}11{.}900$ --> $00{:}02{:}13{.}650$ with the Yale Center and Climate Change in Health.

 $00{:}02{:}13.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}16.980$ We have a great slate of webinars

 $00:02:16.980 \rightarrow 00:02:18.630$ that are coming up this semester.

00:02:19.760 --> 00:02:22.300 You can sign up for them on Eventbrite,

 $00:02:22.300 \rightarrow 00:02:25.530$ learn about the more on our website

 $00:02:25.530 \rightarrow 00:02:28.330$ and I think Myra is putting in links into the chat

 $00:02:28.330 \rightarrow 00:02:29.970$ and please stay connected with us.

 $00{:}02{:}29{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32{.}170$ You can follow us on social media.

 $00{:}02{:}32{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}35{.}573$ You can sign up for our newsletter on our website.

 $00:02:38.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.003$ So onto the report.

 $00:02:40.850 \rightarrow 00:02:44.190$ We released this report in September of 2020.

 $00{:}02{:}44{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46{.}010$ We hope it provides a comprehensive look

 $00:02:46.010 \rightarrow 00:02:48.560$ at climate change and health in Connecticut.

 $00{:}02{:}48.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52.710$ It covers 19 indicators across four domains, temperature,

00:02:52.710 --> 00:02:55.820 extreme events, infectious diseases, and air quality.

 $00:02:55.820 \rightarrow 00:02:59.910$ You'll see the list of 19 indicators to your right.

00:02:59.910 --> 00:03:02.650 It's purpose is to inform policy makers,

 $00:03:02.650 \rightarrow 00:03:05.520$ health professionals, advocates and residents.

 $00:03:05.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.910$ Many of you on the call today

 $00:03:06.910 \rightarrow 00:03:09.120$ about the impact of climate change

 $00:03:09.120 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.200$ now in the future on the health

 $00:03:11.200 \rightarrow 00:03:13.463$ either on human health in Connecticut.

 $00{:}03{:}15{.}030$ --> $00{:}03{:}18{.}030$ Wherever possible we report indicators are for each County

 $00{:}03{:}18{.}030$ --> $00{:}03{:}21{.}200$ and those who aren't from Connecticut, other eight counties

 $00:03:21.200 \rightarrow 00:03:24.223$ which makes it a better reasonable task.

 $00{:}03{:}25{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27{.}710$ And we tracked as far back as the data set would allow.

00:03:27.710 --> 00:03:30.573 Some of our data sets went back to the late 1800s.

 $00:03:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.870$ I'll note though that in this presentation

 $00:03:33.870 \rightarrow 00:03:36.130$ I'm largely showing the statewide results.

 $00:03:36.130 \dashrightarrow 00:03:38.460$ So really encourage you to look at the report

 $00:03:38.460 \rightarrow 00:03:42.110$ if you're looking for the County level results.

 $00:03:42.110 \rightarrow 00:03:43.860$ And we noted linear trends

 $00:03:43.860 \rightarrow 00:03:46.270$ when they are statistically significant.

 $00:03:46.270 \rightarrow 00:03:48.260$ Some of the trends were significant

 $00:03:48.260 \rightarrow 00:03:52.800$ and they were demonstrating trends consistent

 $00:03:52.800 \rightarrow 00:03:54.970$ with what we'd expect under climate change

 $00{:}03{:}54{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57{.}350$ like increasing average temperature.

 $00:03:57.350 \rightarrow 00:04:02.150$ The others don't show trends yet, but we report

 $00:04:03.370 \rightarrow 00:04:05.470$ in our findings about scientific studies

 $00{:}04{:}05{.}470$ --> $00{:}04{:}09{.}253$ and how they project those changes to occur in the future.

 $00{:}04{:}10.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}13.020$ So I'll plot on some of the indicators

 $00:04:13.020 \rightarrow 00:04:15.380$ but 19 is a lot to cover in less than an hour

 $00{:}04{:}15{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}18{.}773$ so again, please check out our report on the website.

 $00{:}04{:}21{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}25{.}040$ And I'll tell you a little bit more about our data sources.

 $00:04:25.040 \rightarrow 00:04:27.820$ So we used all publicly available data

 $00{:}04{:}27.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.790$ from federal agencies, State agencies

 $00:04:29.790 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.520$ and a medical association.

 $00{:}04{:}31{.}520$ --> $00{:}04{:}36{.}210$ We were particularly looking at federal agency data

 $00:04:36.210 \rightarrow 00:04:38.620$ and that means that it's largely available

 $00:04:38.620 \rightarrow 00:04:40.020$ across the country.

 $00{:}04{:}40.020$ --> $00{:}04{:}43.410$ So if you're in another state and you want to look at this,

 $00:04:43.410 \dashrightarrow 00:04:46.480$ you can reference our data sources.

 $00{:}04{:}46{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}49{.}950$ And I'll note, one of them that I think is really useful

 $00:04:49.950 \rightarrow 00:04:52.660$ particularly in say a classroom, is this climate

 $00{:}04{:}52{.}660$ --> $00{:}04{:}56{.}080$ at a glance from Noah and see in the center on the right

 $00{:}04{:}56{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}59{.}900$ or you can access temperature and precipitation data

 $00:05:01.330 \rightarrow 00:05:04.353$ since the late 1800s as you'll see that we used.

 $00:05:08.750 \rightarrow 00:05:11.090$ To give you some context for our report.

00:05:11.090 --> 00:05:12.540 I want to summarize some

00:05:12.540 --> 00:05:15.160 projected climate change impacts in Connecticut.

 $00{:}05{:}15{.}160$ --> $00{:}05{:}19{.}160$ These are largely drawn from a really important report

00:05:19.160 --> 00:05:22.030 that came from a UCONN and CIRCA researchers

 $00{:}05{:}22.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}24.830$ called the Connecticut physical science assessment report,

 $00:05:24.830 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.680$ as well as updates that are found

 $00:05:26.680 \rightarrow 00:05:28.970$ in the governor's council on climate change,

00:05:28.970 --> 00:05:30.790 Connecticut governor's council on climate change report

 $00:05:30.790 \rightarrow 00:05:33.590$ from the science and technology committee.

 $00{:}05{:}33{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37{.}300$ And one thing to note is that there's high confidence

 $00{:}05{:}37{.}300$ --> $00{:}05{:}40{.}880$ in projected changes through mid-century, so about 2050,

 $00{:}05{:}40{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}44{.}570$ but then the projections after mid-century really depends

 $00{:}05{:}44.570$ --> $00{:}05{:}47.840$ on the actions that we take now to mitigate climate change

 $00:05:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.820$ and reduce an end our use of fossil fuels.

 $00:05:51.820 \rightarrow 00:05:55.430$ And in fact, the GC3 report wrote recently

00:05:55.430 --> 00:05:59.230 coordinated mitigation now means it's more likely

 $00:05:59.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.710$ that the temperature will stabilize after 2050

 $00:06:01.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.033$ if not warming is likely to accelerate.

 $00:06:05.300 \rightarrow 00:06:08.840$ So to summarize some of the projections.

 $00:06:08.840 \rightarrow 00:06:12.830$ This is from the UCONN CIRCA report.

 $00:06:12.830 \rightarrow 00:06:14.580$ They project a five degree increase

 $00{:}06{:}14.580$ --> $00{:}06{:}19.580$ in annual average temperature by mid-century compared

 $00:06:19.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.630$ to the base period of 1970 to 1999.

 $00{:}06{:}24.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}29.070$ In that same period, 8.5% increase in annual precipitation

 $00:06:29.070 \dashrightarrow 00:06:31.160$ but this is mostly due to increases

 $00:06:31.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.213$ in the winter and the spring.

00:06:35.199 --> 00:06:38.810 Because of that increase in heavy rainfall events,

00:06:38.810 --> 00:06:39.993 a greater flood risk.

 $00{:}06{:}40{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}44{.}640$ And while there is more annual precipitation,

 $00{:}06{:}44{.}640$ --> $00{:}06{:}47{.}580$ there's less in the summer increasing summer droughts

 $00:06:48.599 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.480$ up to three times as often

 $00:06:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.280$ by the end of the century I believe.

 $00{:}06{:}52{.}780$ --> $00{:}06{:}57{.}620$ Additionally warm spell days which are like heat waves.

 $00{:}06{:}57{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00{.}190$ They project those to increase from less than three

 $00:07:00.190 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.320$ per year in the 1950s to 44 per year in 2050

 $00:07:04.320 \rightarrow 00:07:07.080$ and more than 120 per year by 2100.

 $00{:}07{:}07{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}10.453$ That's with business as usual high emissions scenario.

 $00:07:13.210 \dashrightarrow 00:07:15.920$ For sea level rise, there are projections of 20 inches

 $00:07:15.920 \dashrightarrow 00:07:19.640$ or a half a meter by 2050, but then what happens

 $00{:}07{:}19.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22.260$ after that really depends on our climate actions.

 $00{:}07{:}22.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.260$ So without a strong reduction in CO2 emissions,

 $00{:}07{:}27{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28{.}850$ recent work indicates that it could be

 $00:07:28.850 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.593$ up to 80 inches or 6.7 feet by 2100.

00:07:33.859 --> 00:07:36.670 And finally Atlantic hurricanes are expected

 $00{:}07{:}36{.}670$ --> $00{:}07{:}40{.}210$ to become more intense, meaning greater wind speed

 $00:07:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.173$ with greater amounts of precipitation.

 $00:07:45.920 \rightarrow 00:07:48.130$ Well, climate change affects everyone.

 $00:07:48.130 \rightarrow 00:07:50.710$ It does not affect everyone equally.

 $00:07:50.710 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.393$ It's often called a climate risk amplifier.

 $00:07:54.330 \rightarrow 00:07:56.240$ Some people are more vulnerable to others

 $00{:}07{:}56{.}240$ --> $00{:}07{:}59{.}340$ because of where they live or work their age or race,

 $00{:}07{:}59{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02{.}390$ their health condition, their social economic status.

 $00:08:02.390 \rightarrow 00:08:05.050$ And you can see that depicted in this graphic.

 $00:08:05.050 \rightarrow 00:08:07.670$ And essentially vulnerability is a function

 $00:08:07.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.110$ of three factors, exposure or how much a person

 $00:08:11.110 \rightarrow 00:08:13.630$ is in contact with the climate hazard,

 $00:08:13.630 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.520$ sensitivity which is how much

 $00:08:20.180 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.210$ the climate hazard affects them

 $00:08:22.210 \rightarrow 00:08:23.990$ which can differ from person to person

 $00{:}08{:}23{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27{.}720$ based on biological traits and socioe conomic status,

 $00{:}08{:}27.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30.500$ and an individual or community's adaptive capacity

 $00:08:30.500 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.780$ which is its ability to adapt

 $00:08:32.780 \rightarrow 00:08:35.280$ or to cope with that climate hazard.

 $00{:}08{:}35{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37{.}210$ And as you can imagine, this can be bolstered

 $00{:}08{:}37{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39{.}900$ by resilience planning or by access to resources

 $00{:}08{:}39{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43{.}240$ and it can be hampered

 $00:08:43.240 \rightarrow 00:08:46.230$ by historic disinvestment in communities

 $00{:}08{:}47{.}930$ --> $00{:}08{:}51{.}093$ structural racism and larger structural factors.

 $00:08:54.110 \rightarrow 00:08:57.040$ And I'll return to this issue of vulnerability

 $00:08:57.040 \rightarrow 00:09:00.393$ and equity throughout the presentation.

 $00:09:01.890 \dashrightarrow 00:09:05.050$ So I'll move on to the reports findings

00:09:05.050 --> 00:09:07.120 first around temperature.

00:09:07.120 --> 00:09:10.029 So annual average temperature is increased

 $00:09:10.029 \rightarrow 00:09:12.850$ over three degrees Fahrenheit across Connecticut

 $00:09:12.850 \rightarrow 00:09:16.470$ and in each County over the last 125 years.

 $00{:}09{:}16.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}19.680$ And in fact, six of the hottest years in Connecticut

 $00:09:19.680 \rightarrow 00:09:20.587$ have been since 2005.

 $00{:}09{:}20.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}23.840$ And so you can see on this graph or the center line

 $00{:}09{:}23.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}27.780$ is the average for the 1900s of temperature.

 $00{:}09{:}27.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}32.660$ So all of the bars in later years are above zero

 $00:09:32.660 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.910$ meaning that they're higher than the average.

 $00:09:37.900 \dashrightarrow 00:09:39.950$ So what does this mean for health?

 $00{:}09{:}39{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}42{.}110$ So there's wide range in effects

 $00:09:42.110 \rightarrow 00:09:44.913$ and I'll talk about some of them in later slides.

 $00:09:45.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.790$ High heat days can cause

 $00:09:46.790 \dashrightarrow 00:09:50.090$ heat stress, heat stroke and even death.

 $00:09:50.090 \rightarrow 00:09:51.970$ High temperatures interact with air pollution $00:09:51.970 \rightarrow 00:09:56.463$ particularly smog to produce even larger health impacts.

 $00:09:57.700 \rightarrow 00:10:01.430$ Warmer winters create conditions for larger tick

 $00:10:01.430 \rightarrow 00:10:03.540$ and mosquito populations that are active

 $00:10:03.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.270$ over a greater proportion of the year.

 $00:10:06.270 \rightarrow 00:10:08.400$ It creates a longer season for ragweed pollen

 $00:10:08.400 \rightarrow 00:10:10.683$ which causes hay fever, exacerbates asthma.

00:10:11.710 --> 00:10:15.170 We have another indicator that I don't present here

 $00{:}10{:}15{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18{.}400$ but where we looked at frost days, which are days under 30

 $00{:}10{:}18{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}21{.}010$ under freezing where the temperature reaches under freezing.

00:10:21.010 --> 00:10:22.940 And we found that it decreased

 $00:10:22.940 \rightarrow 00:10:26.463$ from 1950 to 2018 in four of the eight counties.

 $00{:}10{:}28.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.310$ And this has important ecological

 $00:10:30.310 \rightarrow 00:10:32.850$ and then human health consequences.

 $00:10:32.850 \rightarrow 00:10:35.540$ It can lead to more plant pests and longer season

 $00{:}10{:}35{.}540$ --> $00{:}10{:}38{.}860$ for their activity affecting both forests and agriculture.

 $00:10:38.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.920$ And I'll point in particular to something

 $00:10:42.920 \dashrightarrow 00:10:45.513$ that the 2018 National Climate Assessment,

 $00:10:46.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.760$ they framed the Northeast chapter around

 $00:10:49.760 \rightarrow 00:10:52.170$ changes in how this affects our seasonality

 $00:10:52.170 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.420$ and how that affects our sense of place.

 $00{:}10{:}54{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}56{.}700$ They noted that the seasonality of the Northeast

 $00:10:56.700 \rightarrow 00:10:58.430$ is central to the region's sense of place

 $00{:}10{:}58{.}430$ --> $00{:}11{:}01{.}970$ and that it's an important driver of rural economies.

 $00{:}11{:}01{.}970$ --> $00{:}11{:}06{.}970$ So wide range in impacts from these warming temperatures.

00:11:09.890 --> 00:11:12.640 Digging down a little bit more on heat related illness.

 $00:11:15.610 \rightarrow 00:11:17.520$ Extreme heat stresses the body's ability

 $00:11:17.520 \rightarrow 00:11:19.240$ to maintain it's normal temperature,

 $00:11:19.240 \rightarrow 00:11:21.140$ which can lead to heat related illness.

00:11:21.140 --> 00:11:23.440 And this may require emergency medical treatment

 $00{:}11{:}23{.}440$ --> $00{:}11{:}27{.}800$ or hospitalization, severe cases that can cause death.

00:11:27.800 --> 00:11:30.740 In Connecticut from 2007 to 2016,

 $00:11:30.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.000$ there were an average 422 ed visits

 $00:11:34.000 \rightarrow 00:11:37.623$ and 45 hospitalizations per year for heat stress.

00:11:40.000 --> 00:11:43.700 As I said before, vulnerability is a function of exposure,

00:11:43.700 --> 00:11:47.150 sensitivity, and adaptive capacity.

00:11:47.150 --> 00:11:51.310 And on the right, you see a figure

 $00{:}11{:}51{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52{.}860$ of the urban heat island effect.

 $00:11:52.860 \rightarrow 00:11:56.930$ So this is the phenomenon where cities are hotter

 $00:11:56.930 \rightarrow 00:11:58.970$ than the surrounding areas because of

00:12:00.810 --> 00:12:05.210 the greater heat generation and the absorption of heat

 $00{:}12{:}05{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}09{.}423$ due to the human materials.

 $00:12:10.410 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.740$ So you can see that there's greater exposure

 $00:12:12.740 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.283$ to heat in cities in Connecticut than in other parts.

 $00:12:17.140 \rightarrow 00:12:20.090$ And that this is particularly an issue

 $00:12:20.090 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.000$ for residents in cities who have low financial

 $00:12:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.853$ or social resources to adapt.

 $00{:}12{:}26{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}29{.}720$ After our workers are another group with higher exposure

 $00{:}12{:}29{.}720$ --> $00{:}12{:}33{.}837$ to extreme heat, and may have limited ability to change.

 $00:12:35.450 \rightarrow 00:12:36.530$ They have to work outside

 $00:12:36.530 \rightarrow 00:12:39.091$ and if there aren't protective policies,

 $00:12:39.091 \rightarrow 00:12:41.190$ then they may be at more risk.

 $00{:}12{:}41{.}190$ --> $00{:}12{:}44{.}080$ Other vulnerable populations include the old and the young,

 $00:12:44.080 \rightarrow 00:12:46.400$ those with pre-existing medical conditions,

 $00:12:46.400 \rightarrow 00:12:48.810$ those with limited social and financial resources,

 $00:12:48.810 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.793$ athletes and pregnant women.

00:12:51.700 --> 00:12:54.110 In Connecticut well young people are more likely

 $00:12:54.110 \rightarrow 00:12:56.530$ to be treated in hospital emergency rooms

 $00:12:56.530 \rightarrow 00:12:59.750$ for heat related illness than other age groups.

 $00:12:59.750 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.310$ The risk of inpatient admission.

 $00:13:01.310 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.480$ So more serious heat related illness

 $00:13:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.420$ in Connecticut increases with age

 $00:13:07.420 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.733$ and it's highest for those 75 and older.

 $00:13:11.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.980$ And importantly note that

 $00:13:14.158 \rightarrow 00:13:16.220$ these vulnerability factors are cumulative.

 $00:13:16.220 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.180$ So if you're someone that we're both,

00:13:19.180 --> 00:13:22.310 we're multiple correspond to you,

 $00:13:22.310 \rightarrow 00:13:23.710$ then you're at greater risk.

 $00:13:31.129 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.363$ So what can we do?

 $00:13:34.060 \rightarrow 00:13:38.970$ These are a number of possible steps forward

 $00:13:38.970 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.180$ in terms of both policy and personal action.

 $00{:}13{:}42{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}45{.}110$ So the first is to make homes cooler, more energy efficient

00:13:45.110 - 00:13:47.313 and powered by renewable energy.

 $00{:}13{:}48{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}50{.}820$ And we can do that in Connecticut

 $00:13:50.820 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.450$ through some specific ways.

 $00:13:52.450 \rightarrow 00:13:56.100$ We can expand our energy assistance program

 $00:13:56.100 \rightarrow 00:13:58.030$ called (indistinct) to include cooling assistance

 $00{:}13{:}58{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03{.}030$ to make those that can't afford air conditioning

 $00:14:03.510 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.120$ particularly if they are medically vulnerable

 $00:14:07.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.320$ to heat related illness to make that more available.

 $00{:}14{:}10{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}15{.}320$ At the same time, we need to also address weatherization

 $00:14:16.930 \rightarrow 00:14:18.963$ to make homes more energy efficient.

 $00{:}14{:}20{.}794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}22{.}970$ There's a lot of work happening in the State right now

 $00:14:22.970 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.870$ to address the barriers to weatherization

 $00{:}14{:}25{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}27{.}680$ so that more people can get their homes weatherized

 $00:14:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.020$ and more energy efficient.

 $00:14:29.020 \rightarrow 00:14:32.240$ And then finally, we wanna do all these actions

00:14:32.240 --> 00:14:34.830 while ramping up renewable energy programs

 $00:14:34.830 \rightarrow 00:14:36.840$ like shared solar to make sure that they work

 $00:14:36.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.560$ for low and middle income customers and renters

 $00{:}14{:}40.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42.950$ so that there is both the protection

 $00:14:42.950 \rightarrow 00:14:46.289$ against heat while also making sure

 $00:14:46.289 \rightarrow 00:14:48.593$ that we are using renewable energy to do that.

 $00:14:50.760 \rightarrow 00:14:54.480$ Another way to cool our neighborhoods

 $00{:}14{:}54{.}480{\:}{-}{>}00{:}14{:}57{.}530$ is by supporting an urban tree planting and maintenance.

 $00{:}14{:}57{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01{.}860$ And I think on this point, it's important to consider

 $00{:}15{:}01{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05{.}210$ that the greatest cooling effect

 $00:15:06.090 \rightarrow 00:15:08.040$ is often from a larger shade tree.

00:15:08.040 --> 00:15:09.160 So it's not just planting,

 $00:15:09.160 \rightarrow 00:15:11.440$ but it's also maintaining our larger trees.

00:15:11.440 --> 00:15:13.790 And there's some really interesting programs

00:15:13.790 --> 00:15:18.130 around shade tree ordinances or increasing funding

 $00:15:18.130 \rightarrow 00:15:21.033$ around maintenance for existing trees.

00:15:22.900 --> 00:15:25.100 We need to protect against heat related illnesses

 $00:15:25.100 \rightarrow 00:15:27.390$ at work sites, schools, and sports teams

00:15:27.390 --> 00:15:32.390 by creating plans and enforcing them to make sure

 $00{:}15{:}32{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35{.}650$ that those that are exerting themselves outside

00:15:37.257 --> 00:15:42.257 are acclimated and receive proper rest watershed

 $00:15:42.580 \rightarrow 00:15:45.520$ and other important health provisions.

 $00:15:45.520 \dashrightarrow 00:15:47.740$ And municipalities can develop

 $00:15:47.740 \rightarrow 00:15:50.510$ and maintain local heat response plans.

 $00{:}15{:}50{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52{.}800$ There's a recommendation in the governor's council

 $00{:}15{:}52{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56{.}540$ on climate change report that the State create a framework

 $00{:}15{:}56{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}00{.}640$ that the municipalities could build from.

00:16:00.640 --> 00:16:03.970 And then for personal action, elderly,

00:16:03.970 --> 00:16:05.560 you can check on elderly neighbors

00:16:05.560 --> 00:16:07.810 during extreme heat events

 $00{:}16{:}07{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10{.}500$ and you can help to cool your neighborhoods

 $00{:}16{:}10{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}13{.}480$ through tree plantings and maintenance

00:16:13.480 --> 00:16:15.933 or by painting your roof white.

 $00{:}16{:}19.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22.283$ And we'll move on to extreme events.

 $00:16:24.250 \rightarrow 00:16:29.250$ So in this, just check my papers.

 $00:16:30.495 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.610$ So this next indicator,

 $00:16:33.610 \rightarrow 00:16:37.120$ we track the number of weather disasters

00:16:37.120 --> 00:16:41.000 federally declared disasters through FEMA

 $00{:}16{:}41.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}43.810$ and found that from 2010 to 2019

 $00{:}16{:}43.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46.860$ there were nine federal disaster declarations

00:16:46.860 --> 00:16:48.750 for weather events in Connecticut

 $00:16:48.750 \rightarrow 00:16:52.320$ compared to only 13 in the previous 56 years.

 $00{:}16{:}52{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54{.}560$ And you can see here that there are a number

00:16:54.560 --> 00:16:58.283 of quite memorable storms like Irene and Sandy,

 $00:16:59.842 \rightarrow 00:17:03.340$ the Halloween or Easter, and some others

 $00:17:03.340 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.623$ and that they affected all counties in the State.

 $00:17:08.980 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.830$ So what does this mean for health?

00:17:11.830 --> 00:17:13.390 There are, of course, the immediate dangers

 $00{:}17{:}13.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}17.080$ from severe storms and flooding like drowning or injuries

 $00:17:18.070 \rightarrow 00:17:21.930$ but there are other impacts, particularly due

 $00:17:21.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.640$ to disruption of critical infrastructure

 $00:17:24.640 \rightarrow 00:17:27.200$ like the likes of electricity or sanitation,

 $00{:}17{:}27.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31.960$ drinking water supplies, food, refrigeration, phone service.

 $00{:}17{:}31{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}34{.}780$ And this is important because it can interfere

 $00{:}17{:}34.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36.393$ with access to medical care.

 $00:17:37.920 \rightarrow 00:17:41.130$ It may be that if someone loses electricity

 $00:17:41.130 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.810$ and then they're on an electric medical device

 $00:17:43.810 \rightarrow 00:17:46.730$ like for dialysis, that can be life-threatening.

 $00{:}17{:}46.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.810$ Roads may be closed so that ambulances

 $00{:}17{:}49.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}52.400$ can't reach someone in need.

 $00:17:52.400 \rightarrow 00:17:54.080$ So these are important ways where

 $00:17:56.200 \rightarrow 00:17:59.130$ there are larger longer-term ramifications

 $00:18:00.100 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.150$ from extreme events.

 $00{:}18{:}02{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05{.}940$ There are also less visible but critically important issues

 $00:18:05.940 \rightarrow 00:18:09.823$ related to mental health from disasters.

 $00{:}18{:}10.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}13.950$ Individuals, for instance whose households experienced

 $00{:}18{:}15{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19{.}680$ a flood reported higher levels of depression than anxiety.

 $00:18:19.680 \rightarrow 00:18:22.463$ These can persist for several years after an event.

 $00:18:23.730 \rightarrow 00:18:27.630$ And finally, there is the building stock

 $00:18:27.630 \rightarrow 00:18:29.330$ in lower income neighborhoods is often

00:18:29.330 --> 00:18:32.950 at increased risk for damage from natural disasters.

 $00:18:32.950 \rightarrow 00:18:37.370$ And that this is in part due to structural inequality

 $00:18:37.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.690$ because of historic patterns

 $00{:}18{:}38{.}690$ --> $00{:}18{:}42{.}920$ of development in vulnerable areas and under investment

 $00:18:42.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.820$ in the public infrastructure in some areas

 $00{:}18{:}45{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}50{.}340$ leaving some more at risk than others

 $00:18:50.340 \rightarrow 00:18:52.263$ within a given location.

 $00:18:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.900$ The next indicator looked at

 $00:18:58.750 \rightarrow 00:19:00.960$ an interesting issue of Superfund sites.

 $00{:}19{:}00{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}05{.}500$ So the CIRCLA federal law on nicknamed Superfund

 $00:19:05.500 \rightarrow 00:19:07.530$ identifies and cleans up polluted sites.

 $00:19:07.530 \rightarrow 00:19:09.770$ There are thousands of these across the country,

00:19:09.770 --> 00:19:12.150 manufacturing facilities and processing plants,

 $00{:}19{:}12{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}16{.}550$ land fills, mining sites, and for this indicator

00:19:16.550 --> 00:19:19.000 we use data from the government accountability office

00:19:19.000 --> 00:19:22.600 where they looked at all Superfund sites in the country

 $00{:}19{:}22{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25{.}970$ and using GIS they mapped which ones were vulnerable

 $00:19:25.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.083$ to different climate impacts.

00:19:28.920 --> 00:19:33.070 And for Connecticut, they found that seven sites,

 $00:19:33.070 \rightarrow 00:19:36.160$ those marked on the map, out of Connecticut 16

 $00:19:37.630 \rightarrow 00:19:40.010$ are vulnerable to climate change impacts.

 $00:19:40.010 \rightarrow 00:19:42.260$ This is particularly that they're vulnerable,

 $00:19:43.410 \rightarrow 00:19:45.540$ most are vulnerable to inland flooding,

 $00{:}19{:}45{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47{.}180$ as you can see most of them are inland.

 $00{:}19{:}47{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}49{.}810$ There's one side at the bottom

 $00:19:49.810 \rightarrow 00:19:53.890$ that's also vulnerable to hurricane impacts

 $00:19:53.890 \rightarrow 00:19:57.523$ and hurricane storm surge and sea level rise.

 $00{:}19{:}58{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00{.}120$ And this is a concern for human health

 $00{:}20{:}00{.}120$ --> $00{:}20{:}04{.}400$ because people can become exposed to the contaminants

 $00:20:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.290$ if they are released due to this impact

 $00:20:07.290 \rightarrow 00:20:10.240$ and if they enter the ground or surface water

 $00:20:10.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.440$ or they get released into the air

 $00{:}20{:}12.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14.233$ or they leach into the soil.

 $00:20:16.980 \rightarrow 00:20:21.980$ Of course, this is another reason to prioritize

 $00{:}20{:}22{.}340$ --> $00{:}20{:}26{.}943$ investing in speedily cleaning up these contaminated sites.

 $00:20:30.730 \rightarrow 00:20:33.020$ The next indicator I'll cover is high tide flooding.

 $00:20:33.020 \rightarrow 00:20:35.530$ So high tide flooding is what it sounds like.

00:20:35.530 --> 00:20:38.603 It's that an area floods only during high tide,

 $00:20:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.190$ but that is related to sea level.

 $00:20:45.190 \rightarrow 00:20:48.310$ And so as sea level increases,

 $00:20:48.310 \rightarrow 00:20:51.373$ then high tide flooding becomes more common.

 $00{:}20{:}52{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54{.}140$ And we can see that that is the case.

 $00{:}20{:}54{.}140$ --> $00{:}20{:}57{.}780$ There are two sites in Connecticut where this is measured,

00:20:57.780 --> 00:20:59.220 in New London and in Bridgeport.

 $00:20:59.220 \rightarrow 00:21:04.220$ And I'm showing here the New London figure,

 $00{:}21{:}05{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09{.}280$ but we see that the number has increased significantly

 $00:21:09.280 \rightarrow 00:21:11.833$ since the beginning of the measurement period.

00:21:14.770 --> 00:21:17.970 And in and of itself high tide flooding

00:21:19.262 --> 00:21:22.100 is not of significant health risk

00:21:25.350 - 00:21:26.770 but as it becomes more common

 $00:21:26.770 \rightarrow 00:21:31.220$ then it can become certainly more concerning.

 $00:21:31.220 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.220$ And why is that?

 $00:21:33.220 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.990$ So one reason is that it

00:21:34.990 --> 00:21:37.670 can transmit pathogens like Vibrio bacteria

 $00{:}21{:}37.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}40.390$ if you're walking through waters that are contaminated.

00:21:40.390 --> 00:21:42.910 It also can contaminate drinking water supplies

 $00{:}21{:}42{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}47{.}150$ particularly if they're wells that are close to the Coast

 $00:21:47.150 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.203$ or contaminate coastal agricultural fields.

 $00{:}21{:}52{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55{.}120$ And with highly developed coast lines, Connecticut is also

 $00:21:55.120 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.850$ at risk for high tide flooding

00:21:56.850 --> 00:22:00.900 affecting large number of roads, homes, businesses

 $00:22:00.900 \rightarrow 00:22:03.603$ and other infrastructure that are along the Coast.

00:22:09.860 --> 00:22:12.770 So again, what can we do about this?

00:22:12.770 --> 00:22:15.550 In terms of policy and planning,

 $00:22:15.550 \rightarrow 00:22:17.350$ we can make our homes more affordable,

 $00{:}22{:}17.350$ --> $00{:}22{:}21.410$ healthy and climate resilient, particularly recognizing

 $00:22:21.410 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.810$ that many homes are in

 $00:22:22.810 \rightarrow 00:22:25.683$ either floodplains or in coastal areas.

 $00:22:27.590 \rightarrow 00:22:30.900$ And this is especially important for low income

 $00{:}22{:}30{.}900$ --> $00{:}22{:}33{.}300$ communities who are disproportionately under-insured

 $00:22:33.300 \rightarrow 00:22:36.130$ for protection or renters who are vulnerable

 $00{:}22{:}36{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}38{.}510$ to displacement after a disaster.

 $00:22:38.510 \rightarrow 00:22:42.613$ And so the more that we can make housing secure,

 $00{:}22{:}43{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}46{.}843$ the better prepared we are for future climate impacts.

00:22:47.790 --> 00:22:50.450 Another specific action that municipalities can take

 $00{:}22{:}50{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}54{.}920$ is to enroll in FEMA's community rating system program

 $00{:}22{:}54{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56{.}590$ which is a voluntary incentive program

 $00{:}22{:}56{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00{.}940$ that discounts flood insurance, premium rates for residents

 $00:23:00.940 \rightarrow 00:23:03.550$ in the municipalities that participate.

 $00{:}23{:}03{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06{.}890$ There are about 19 municipalities in Connecticut

 $00:23:06.890 \rightarrow 00:23:08.013$ that now participate.

 $00{:}23{:}10{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}14{.}330$ We can do more emergency planning in a shared backup power

 $00{:}23{:}14{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}17{.}590$ at both congregate settings and senior living facilities

 $00:23:17.590 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.030$ to be sure that those sites

 $00:23:19.030 \rightarrow 00:23:24.030$ where there are more vulnerable residents

 $00:23:24.220 \rightarrow 00:23:26.913$ that they're prepared for extreme weather events.

 $00:23:28.220 \rightarrow 00:23:31.550$ And then for personal action, know your risk.

 $00:23:31.550 \rightarrow 00:23:33.310$ You can look up whether you're in a flood zone

 $00{:}23{:}33{.}310$ --> $00{:}23{:}35{.}930$ or what kind of hurricane evacuations on your end,

 $00{:}23{:}35{.}930$ --> $00{:}23{:}39{.}540$ you can look up what your hurricane evacuation route is.

 $00{:}23{:}39{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}41{.}903$ If you're in that area, you can make a plan.

 $00:23:43.210 \rightarrow 00:23:47.440$ And say this recognizing that there are limitations

 $00:23:48.540 \rightarrow 00:23:52.430$ that make that kind of planning needs there

 $00:23:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.540$ for some people than others.

 $00:23:54.540 \rightarrow 00:23:56.690$ And then I didn't cover it,

 $00{:}23{:}56{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}59{.}300$ but we do have an indicator on drought.

00:23:59.300 --> 00:24:01.210 And as I mentioned before in the future,

 $00:24:01.210 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.770$ Connecticut is expected to experience

 $00:24:04.770 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.883$ more drought than in the past.

 $00{:}24{:}07{.}953 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10{.}200$ And so it's important to now adopt

 $00:24:10.200 \rightarrow 00:24:11.930$ more water conservation measures

00:24:11.930 --> 00:24:15.140 both at the individual level and the municipal levels

00:24:15.140 --> 00:24:18.240 including installation of efficient appliances

 $00{:}24{:}18{.}240$ --> $00{:}24{:}22{.}823$ and installing low impact designs and making retrofits.

 $00:24:25.910 \rightarrow 00:24:28.453$ Third, we'll move to infectious diseases.

 $00{:}24{:}31{.}600$ --> $00{:}24{:}35{.}450$ We conducted a detailed assessment of mosquito abundance

 $00:24:35.450 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.220$ for this indicator using data

 $00{:}24{:}37{.}220$ --> $00{:}24{:}40{.}660$ from the Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station.

 $00:24:40.660 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.970$ And we found that during 2001 to 2019

 $00{:}24{:}43{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47{.}530$ of the 28 species found in Connecticut to carry viruses,

 $00:24:47.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.300$ that cause human disease,

 $00:24:49.300 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.800$ 10 of those showed increasing abundance

 $00:24:51.800 \rightarrow 00:24:54.810$ and three show trends of decrease in abundance.

 $00{:}24{:}54{.}810$ --> $00{:}24{:}57{.}280$ And this is important because mosquito abundance

 $00:24:57.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.390$ is a key factor that influences

 $00{:}24{:}59{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}02{.}690$ the capacity of the mosquito to transmit the virus

 $00{:}25{:}02.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.163$ and the rate in which infections spread.

 $00:25:06.450 \rightarrow 00:25:10.540$ And you can see here a list of the mosquito species

 $00:25:12.330 \rightarrow 00:25:14.150$ that each of the mosquito species you attract

00:25:14.150 --> 00:25:15.870 has been found to carry one or more

 $00:25:15.870 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.530$ of the following viruses that infect humans.

 $00{:}25{:}18.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21.640$ And I'll note that we also have indicators

00:25:21.640 --> 00:25:25.153 that covered two these Tripoli and West Nile virus.

 $00:25:26.350 \rightarrow 00:25:28.870$ And our findings here are important again,

 $00{:}25{:}28{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31{.}780$ because increases in the abundance of mosquito species

 $00{:}25{:}31.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}34.200$ that are vectors for these diseases

 $00{:}25{:}34{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}38{.}293$ can lead to increases in the number of viral infections.

00:25:42.770 --> 00:25:45.720 On tick-borne illnesses, in fact,

 $00{:}25{:}45{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48{.}870$ we found that the total number of cases of Lyme disease

 $00{:}25{:}48.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}53.020$ have decreased Statewide over the last decade or so,

 $00:25:53.020 \rightarrow 00:25:54.133$ which is good news.

 $00:25:55.710 \rightarrow 00:25:58.503$ However, there are emergency concerns.

 $00:25:59.370 \rightarrow 00:26:00.900$ One issue that we highlight in the report

 $00:26:00.900 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.350$ is around lone star ticks.

 $00{:}26{:}03{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}04{.}960$ Lone star ticks transmit a number

 $00{:}26{:}04{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}07{.}430$ of diseases and medical conditions.

 $00:26:07.430 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.850$ And you can see the list there.

 $00{:}26{:}09{.}850$ --> $00{:}26{:}12{.}420$ The lone star tick is the most common human biting disease

 $00:26:12.420 \rightarrow 00:26:15.020$ in the Southeastern United States.

00:26:15.020 --> 00:26:16.900 It's expanding into Connecticut likely

 $00{:}26{:}16{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20{.}343$ due to climate factors particularly warming winters.

 $00:26:21.270 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.370$ And importantly that

00:26:22.370 --> 00:26:24.610 Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station

 $00:26:24.610 \rightarrow 00:26:27.010$ discovered established breeding populations

 $00{:}26{:}27.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31.410$ in Fairfield County in 2018 and Haven County in 2019,

 $00{:}26{:}31{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}33{.}220$ meaning that the insects aren't transients

 $00:26:33.220 \rightarrow 00:26:35.120$ that they're established in our State.

 $00{:}26{:}37{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}42{.}270$ I mentioned foodborne Vibrio or Vibrio briefly earlier.

 $00{:}26{:}42.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}45.233$ So Vibrio bacteria live in warm coastal waters,

 $00:26:46.700 \rightarrow 00:26:49.560$ especially in lower salinity estuaries.

00:26:49.560 --> 00:26:52.320 Humans can become infected through two routes.

 $00{:}26{:}52{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}57{.}320$ One is by walking through water

 $00{:}26{:}57{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58{.}770$ that carries the Vibrio bacteria

 $00:26:58.770 \rightarrow 00:27:00.950$ especially with an exposed wound.

 $00{:}27{:}00{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}03{.}770$ But the second and the focus of this indicator

 $00:27:03.770 \rightarrow 00:27:05.893$ is by eating contaminated seafood,

 $00:27:06.860 \rightarrow 00:27:09.057$ especially shellfish that's where (indistinct).

 $00:27:10.400 \rightarrow 00:27:12.600$ And you can see from the figure on the left

 $00:27:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.190$ the annual incidents of confirmed cases

 $00{:}27{:}15.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}18.033$ of vibrio infections has increased.

 $00{:}27{:}20{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22{.}540$ Foodborne infections from Vibrio

 $00{:}27{:}22{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25{.}870$ typically result in symptoms, including abdominal cramps

 $00{:}27{:}25.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30.190$ and nausea, diarrhea, fever and chills.

00:27:30.190 --> 00:27:32.420 Most of them aren't significant,

 $00:27:32.420 \rightarrow 00:27:35.300$ many people don't seek medical care

 $00{:}27{:}35{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37{.}450$ so actually the numbers are under reported.

 $00:27:40.190 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.920$ But foodborne vibrio infections can be serious

 $00{:}27{:}42{.}920$ --> $00{:}27{:}46{.}270$ especially if they're caused by one particular species

00:27:46.270 - 00:27:49.740 Vibrio vulnificus which causes 95%

 $00{:}27{:}49{.}740$ --> $00{:}27{:}53{.}070$ of all seafood related mortality in the United States.

 $00:27:53.070 \rightarrow 00:27:54.820$ But fortunately, these kinds

 $00:27:54.820 \rightarrow 00:27:56.983$ of infections are very rare in Connecticut.

 $00:27:58.550 \rightarrow 00:28:03.550$ And you'll see on the right sea surface temperature

 $00{:}28{:}03.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05.040$ at one site in Connecticut,

 $00{:}28{:}05{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08{.}460$ on Niantic Bay during the summertime, over the same period

 $00{:}28{:}09{.}701 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12{.}273$ as we're reporting the Vibrio infections.

 $00{:}28{:}15{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17{.}710$ The bacteria grow best in warm water.

 $00:28:17.710 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.430$ And so you can see the strong association

 $00:28:20.430 \rightarrow 00:28:23.100$ between higher sea surface temperature, the right

 $00:28:23.100 \rightarrow 00:28:25.903$ and the greater vibrio abundance on the left.

00:28:27.380 --> 00:28:29.070 And already it's been observed

 $00:28:29.070 \rightarrow 00:28:32.210$ that these infections increase during heat waves

 $00:28:32.210 \rightarrow 00:28:35.370$ when this has been studied around the world.

 $00:28:35.370 \rightarrow 00:28:40.370$ And this is one of the quite clear indications

 $00:28:41.890 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.150$ that we see in Connecticut so far of an association

 $00:28:46.150 \rightarrow 00:28:48.993$ of climate change and health impacts.

 $00:28:53.630 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.993$ What can we do here?

00:28:56.220 --> 00:28:59.250 In terms of policies and programs,

 $00{:}28{:}59{.}250$ --> $00{:}29{:}02{.}470$ I'll note also on this point that the governor's council

 $00:29:02.470 \rightarrow 00:29:05.590$ on climate change has issued its report recently

 $00{:}29{:}05{.}590$ --> $00{:}29{:}08{.}760$ that includes actions around public health and safety

 $00{:}29{:}08{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}12{.}337$ and that a number of our recommendations in our report

 $00:29:12.337 \rightarrow 00:29:15.420$ and in this presentation are quite similar

 $00:29:15.420 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.030$ to those that are in the GC3 report $00:29:18.030 \rightarrow 00:29:22.713$ and that's including in this instance. 00:29:23.870 --> 00:29:26.637 So we recommend surveillance of vectors $00:29:26.637 \rightarrow 00:29:28.900$ and the sea doesn't take associated disease $00:29:28.900 \rightarrow 00:29:30.150$ that is happening through $00:29:30.150 \rightarrow 00:29:31.600$ the Connecticut Agricultural Station. $00:29:31.600 \rightarrow 00:29:34.160$ And it's really important, particularly $00:29:34.160 \rightarrow 00:29:38.460$ as they're emerging vectors and diseases in our area. $00:29:38.460 \rightarrow 00:29:41.950$ And so relatedly, it's important to continue $00:29:41.950 \rightarrow 00:29:46.950$ with public education on these emerging vectors in diseases $00:29:46.960 \rightarrow 00:29:49.543$ and around prevention, best practices. $00:29:50.420 \rightarrow 00:29:54.400$ And third and this is directly from the GC3 report, $00:29:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.530$ to develop vector-borne disease prevention $00:29:56.530 \rightarrow 00:29:59.650$ and management guidelines for schools, outdoor recreation $00:29:59.650 \rightarrow 00:30:04.250$ and homes to provide best practices at those sites $00:30:04.250 \rightarrow 00:30:07.763$ for reducing infections or reducing disease. $00:30:09.938 \rightarrow 00:30:12.270$ And then for personal action, $00:30:12.270 \rightarrow 00:30:15.770$ you can create a tick safe zone in your yard. $00:30:15.770 \rightarrow 00:30:18.180$ Many of us know already about best practices $00:30:18.180 \rightarrow 00:30:21.140$ around tick prevention of wearing long pants. $00:30:21.140 \rightarrow 00:30:25.610$ Using the insect repellent, doing a tick check. $00:30:25.610 \rightarrow 00:30:29.660$ And then we want to keep mosquitoes out 00:30:29.660 --> 00:30:30.850 with high quality housing, $00:30:30.850 \rightarrow 00:30:33.453$ mosquito tight screens and windows and doors. $00:30:35.030 \rightarrow 00:30:38.900$ And there are some helpful resources, including 00:30:38.900 --> 00:30:42.350 from the Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Stations $00:30:42.350 \rightarrow 00:30:45.253$ and I've won friends won the tick management handbook.

 $00{:}30{:}48{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}53{.}950$ Finally, we'll discuss the final domain of air quality.

 $00:30:56.037 \rightarrow 00:30:58.963$ As you may be aware, Connecticut has issues

 $00:31:00.210 \rightarrow 00:31:01.840$ with ground-level ozone pollution.

 $00{:}31{:}01{.}840$ --> $00{:}31{:}05{.}210$ And in fact, the American Lung Association gave each County

00:31:05.210 --> 00:31:08.713 an F grade for ozone pollution in its 2019 report.

 $00:31:10.020 \rightarrow 00:31:12.730$ And similarly, we found that while the number

 $00:31:12.730 \rightarrow 00:31:15.490$ of air quality days has decreased over time,

 $00{:}31{:}15{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}18{.}420$ so you can see the downward trend of those bar graphs

 $00:31:18.420 \rightarrow 00:31:21.850$ for each County that more needs to be done

 $00{:}31{:}21.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}23.253$ to protect human health.

 $00:31:24.206 \rightarrow 00:31:26.700$ So ground-level ozone is the result largely

 $00:31:26.700 \rightarrow 00:31:28.800$ burning fossil fuels whether in our vehicles

 $00:31:28.800 \rightarrow 00:31:30.980$ or in power plants.

 $00:31:30.980 \rightarrow 00:31:33.260$ And so importantly, this is where we can see

 $00:31:33.260 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.783$ strong health co-benefits of climate actions.

 $00:31:39.390 \rightarrow 00:31:41.450$ When we switched to clean energy sources

00:31:41.450 --> 00:31:44.070 or make our active transportation safer and easier,

 $00:31:44.070 \rightarrow 00:31:45.700$ then we're also reducing

 $00:31:45.700 \rightarrow 00:31:48.453$ these local drivers of air pollution.

 $00{:}31{:}50{.}820$ --> $00{:}31{:}55{.}060$ It's worth noting as well that much of our air pollution

00:31:55.060 --> 00:31:57.633 does come from States to our West,

 $00:31:58.500 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.010$ and so this points the need

 $00:32:00.010 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.740$ for a strong federal and regional action

 $00:32:02.740 \rightarrow 00:32:05.013$ to address climate change and air pollution.

 $00{:}32{:}07{.}670$ --> $00{:}32{:}10{.}630$ Many of us are familiar with ground-level ozone or smog,

 $00{:}32{:}10{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}13{.}130$ but it's worth a reminder about the health effects.

 $00{:}32{:}13.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}15.640$ So it's a strong lung irritant.

 $00:32:15.640 \rightarrow 00:32:18.530$ It can cause the shortness of breath or coughing, $00:32:18.530 \rightarrow 00:32:20.497$ but it can cause more serious consequences $00:32:20.497 \rightarrow 00:32:23.700$ and it can aggravate lung diseases like asthma, $00:32:23.700 \rightarrow 00:32:26.171$ emphysema and chronic bronchitis. $00:32:26.171 \rightarrow 00:32:29.680$ It can increase the frequencies of asthma attacks $00:32:29.680 \rightarrow 00:32:31.150$ and it may contribute to $00:32:31.150 \rightarrow 00:32:34.023$ the initial development of asthma in children. $00:32:34.970 \rightarrow 00:32:37.890$ And it's worth noting that nationally asthma $00:32:37.890 \rightarrow 00:32:40.040$ is the leading cause of school absenteeism. $00:32:41.380 \rightarrow 00:32:43.150$ And as I said before, the combination 00:32:43.150 --> 00:32:46.900 of air quality, air quality alert days, $00:32:46.900 \rightarrow 00:32:48.650$ poor quality days and high heat days $00:32:48.650 \rightarrow 00:32:50.533$ is particularly dangerous to health. $00:32:52.270 \rightarrow 00:32:54.620$ Looking forward under climate change $00:32:54.620 \rightarrow 00:32:57.800$ under further climate change, $00:32:57.800 \rightarrow 00:32:59.900$ there's concern that past progress $00:32:59.900 \rightarrow 00:33:02.440$ on reducing ground-level ozone pollution is likely $00:33:02.440 \rightarrow 00:33:05.370$ to be counteracted by something called the climate penalty, $00:33:05.370 \rightarrow 00:33:08.430$ which is that higher temperatures and other climatic changes $00:33:08.430 \rightarrow 00:33:12.640$ are expected to bring about higher ground $00:33:12.640 \rightarrow 00:33:13.840$ level ozone concentrations, $00:33:13.840 \rightarrow 00:33:15.993$ especially in already polluted areas. $00:33:16.960 \rightarrow 00:33:19.530$ However, to underscore a point that we've made $00:33:19.530 \rightarrow 00:33:21.560$ throughout the presentation, the size of that $00:33:21.560 \rightarrow 00:33:25.050$ climate penalty depends on our action on climate change now. $00:33:25.050 \rightarrow 00:33:28.260$ So when we look at a moderate emissions pathway,

 $00{:}33{:}28.260 \dashrightarrow > 00{:}33{:}32.650$ so taking more action on climate change compared

 $00{:}33{:}32{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35{.}870$ to a business as usual, that could prevent approximately

 $00:33:35.870 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.400$ 360 deaths per year by 2090 in the Northeast

 $00:33:40.290 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.633$ according to one study.

 $00:33:46.000 \rightarrow 00:33:49.621$ For the final indicator that I'll cover here,

 $00:33:49.621 \rightarrow 00:33:52.160$ this is on aeroallergens.

 $00{:}33{:}52{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55{.}140$ We use data from a monitoring seitan in Waterbury

 $00:33:56.110 \rightarrow 00:34:01.060$ that measured outdoor mold and grass pollen,

 $00:34:01.060 \rightarrow 00:34:02.793$ tree pollen, and weed pollen.

 $00:34:03.970 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.260$ And we only found one significant trends

 $00:34:07.260 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.460$ and that was that since 2007,

 $00:34:10.460 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.250$ the percent of measure days with higher

 $00{:}34{:}12{.}250$ --> $00{:}34{:}15{.}423$ very high outdoor mold concentrations has increased.

 $00:34:17.370 \rightarrow 00:34:20.060$ However, there are some national indications

 $00:34:20.060 \rightarrow 00:34:22.650$ about changes in pollen exposure

 $00:34:22.650 \rightarrow 00:34:24.900$ that might be associated with climate change.

 $00{:}34{:}26{.}220$ --> $00{:}34{:}30{.}460$ And this is due to increased atmospheric CO2 concentrations.

 $00{:}34{:}30{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}35{.}460$ and one more temperatures that can cause longer seasons

 $00:34:35.910 \rightarrow 00:34:37.390$ for pollen production.

 $00:34:37.390 \rightarrow 00:34:39.510$ It can change the geographic distribution

00:34:39.510 --> 00:34:43.410 upon producing plants, and it can increase pollen,

 $00:34:43.410 \rightarrow 00:34:46.540$ the actual pollen production per year

 $00:34:46.540 \rightarrow 00:34:50.170$ and that this can overall, we may see

 $00:34:52.343 \rightarrow 00:34:55.403$ more such pollen and more allergic reactions

00:34:57.080 - 00:34:58.830 in the future under climate change.

 $00:35:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.330$ What can we do?

 $00:35:04.330 \rightarrow 00:35:07.970$ I'm focusing here on actions that are

 $00:35:07.970 \rightarrow 00:35:10.660$ making most use of addressing of reaping

 $00:35:10.660 \rightarrow 00:35:13.120$ the health co-benefits of climate action.

 $00{:}35{:}13.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}16.160$ So first, Connecticut is considering

00:35:16.160 --> 00:35:20.780 a goal of 100% zero carbon electricity supply by 2040.

 $00:35:20.780 \dashrightarrow 00:35:23.440$ And we think that that is a strong way

 $00:35:23.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.440$ to also address local to gain that those local

 $00:35:28.950 \rightarrow 00:35:32.003$ health co-benefits of climate action.

00:35:33.510 --> 00:35:35.320 Another is to electrify

 $00:35:35.320 \rightarrow 00:35:36.990$ the transportation and heating sectors.

 $00:35:36.990 \rightarrow 00:35:39.023$ That's certainly easier said than done,

 $00:35:39.860 \rightarrow 00:35:42.450$ but they come with real health co-benefits.

 $00:35:42.450 \rightarrow 00:35:45.190$ In particular, you can think about electrifying

 $00:35:45.190 \rightarrow 00:35:49.070$ heavy duty municipal buses or school buses,

 $00:35:49.070 \dashrightarrow 00:35:50.710$ and how that can really improve

 $00:35:50.710 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.213$ the local air quality in a given location.

00:35:54.420 --> 00:35:56.600 Improving active transportation options

 $00:35:56.600 \rightarrow 00:35:58.420$ is reducing carbon emissions,

 $00:35:58.420 \rightarrow 00:36:01.270$ but it's also increasing physical activity

 $00{:}36{:}01{.}270$ --> $00{:}36{:}05{.}720$ and brings similar co-benefits from that greater activity.

00:36:09.980 --> 00:36:11.740 And again, supporting strong federal action

 $00:36:11.740 \rightarrow 00:36:14.130$ to limit interstate pollution recognizing

 $00{:}36{:}14.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}16.720$ that our action alone in Connecticut

 $00{:}36{:}17.690$ --> $00{:}36{:}22.610$ doesn't completely solve our air pollution concerns.

 $00{:}36{:}22.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}26.410$ For personal action, you can sign up for an energy audit.

 $00{:}36{:}26{.}410$ --> $00{:}36{:}29{.}070$ And in the fall through with energy efficiency measures

 $00{:}36{:}29{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}33{.}980$ and weatherization, many supported by Connecticut policies

 $00:36:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.490$ can opt into renewable electricity,

 $00:36:36.490 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.580$ utilize active transportation

 $00:36:38.580 \rightarrow 00:36:42.030$ and electrify your homes with heat pumps

 $00:36:42.030 \rightarrow 00:36:45.103$ and your vehicles by switching to EVs.

00:36:49.410 --> 00:36:51.410 And finally, I'll wrap up with some

00:36:51.410 --> 00:36:55.633 of our large overarching report recommendations.

 $00:36:56.680 \rightarrow 00:37:00.000$ The first is above all swift action to reduce

 $00:37:00.000 \dashrightarrow 00:37:02.590$ and eliminate carbon emissions.

 $00:37:02.590 \dashrightarrow 00:37:05.480$ Connecticut is committed to reducing greenhouse gases

 $00:37:05.480 \dashrightarrow 00:37:10.480$ by 245% below 2001 levels by 2030 and 80% below by 2050.

 $00{:}37{:}14.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}16.650$ So we need to assure that this is accomplished

 $00:37{:}16.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}18.240$ and that Connecticut goes further

 $00:37:18.240 \rightarrow 00:37:21.143$ toward achieving zero carbon future.

 $00:37:23.050 \rightarrow 00:37:25.060$ Additionally, we need to continue

 $00{:}37{:}25.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}28.230$ to monitor these climate conditions

 $00{:}37{:}28{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32{.}160$ and project trends in Connecticut, understanding how

 $00:37:32.160 \rightarrow 00:37:34.020$ climate change is affecting our health

 $00:37:34.020 \rightarrow 00:37:35.933$ and how we can respond accordingly,

 $00{:}37{:}36{.}790$ --> $00{:}37{:}40{.}390$ and provide this information to local decision-makers.

 $00:37:40.390 \rightarrow 00:37:42.100$ Second, we can invest

 $00:37:42.100 \rightarrow 00:37:44.430$ in the social determinants of health.

 $00:37:44.430 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.800$ So social factors like housing and education

 $00:37{:}47.800$ --> $00{:}37{:}50.930$ and employment are major drivers of population health.

 $00{:}37{:}50{.}930$ --> $00{:}37{:}53{.}390$ And we think that they're important possible synergies

 $00:37:53.390 \dashrightarrow 00:37:56.650$ by taking action on climate change

 $00{:}37{:}56{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}00{.}410$ both mitigation and adaptation in ways that also invest

 $00:38:00.410 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.950$ in the social determinants of health.

 $00:38:01.950 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.640$ And you can think about that

 $00:38:03.640 \rightarrow 00:38:06.920$ in terms of housing and neighborhood design,

 $00:38:06.920 \rightarrow 00:38:09.683$ our food choices and our transportation options.

00:38:15.568 --> 00:38:17.870 We pointed principles of environmental justice

 $00:38:17.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.943$ to say that addressing climate change

 $00:38:18.943 \rightarrow 00:38:21.960$ and the health inequities requires confronting

 $00:38:21.960 \rightarrow 00:38:24.560$ their root causes by challenging historic

 $00{:}38{:}24{.}560$ --> $00{:}38{:}27{.}470$ and systemic burdens faced by low-income communities

 $00:38:27.470 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.980$ and communities of color.

 $00:38:28.980 \rightarrow 00:38:31.370$ And that includes environmental pollution,

 $00:38:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.440$ income inequality, racism

 $00:38:33.440 \rightarrow 00:38:36.570$ and inequitable access to power and resources.

 $00{:}38{:}36{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}39{.}360$ And so solutions need to be addressing

00:38:39.360 --> 00:38:43.853 these deeper drivers to be ultimately effective.

00:38:46.760 --> 00:38:48.290 We recommend, as I've mentioned before,

00:38:48.290 --> 00:38:52.150 pursuing actions that integrate climate mitigation

 $00{:}38{:}52{.}150$ --> $00{:}38{:}55{.}340$ and climate adaptation with immediate health co-benefits

 $00:38:55.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.200$ to fully utilize the benefits

 $00:38:58.200 \rightarrow 00:39:01.483$ that can be achieved through policy action.

 $00:39:05.480 \dashrightarrow 00:39:08.410$ We encourage building the capacity of health professionals

 $00:39:08.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.990$ and decision-makers to address climate and health

 $00:39:11.990 \rightarrow 00:39:14.727$ knowing that many professionals weren't trained

 $00:39:14.727 \rightarrow 00:39:16.310$ and many health professionals weren't trained

 $00:39:16.310 \rightarrow 00:39:19.430$ in climate change, many other decision-makers

 $00{:}39{:}19{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}22{.}070$ weren't trained in climate change or health, perhaps.

 $00{:}39{:}22.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}27.070$ And that that kind of this knowledge gap is important

 $00:39:28.280 \rightarrow 00:39:31.053$ for addressing these issues in the future.

00:39:35.200 --> 00:39:37.470 We recommend incorporating climate change

 $00:39:37.470 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.420$ into decision-making across sectors.

 $00:39:40.420 \rightarrow 00:39:43.623$ So of course climate change is not a siloed issue.

 $00:39:44.465 \rightarrow 00:39:48.610$ Its causes and its solutions go across all areas

00:39:48.610 --> 00:39:51.510 of government and a society, and it's important

 $00{:}39{:}51{.}510$ --> $00{:}39{:}56{.}510$ to take a intersectoral approach toward bringing solutions.

00:40:01.270 --> 00:40:06.270 And finally, we encourage incorporating public health

 $00:40:06.600 \rightarrow 00:40:09.123$ into climate change decision-making.

 $00{:}40{:}10.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}12.450$ There's a concept in public health

 $00:40:12.450 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.100$ called a health and all policies approach

 $00{:}40{:}14.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}17.860$ which is that public health should be at the table

00:40:17.860 --> 00:40:22.860 in making decisions from transportation to urban planning

 $00{:}40{:}24{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}26{.}960$ because these importantly affect health as well.

 $00{:}40{:}26{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30{.}320$ And we believe that this is important

00:40:30.320 --> 00:40:31.500 in addressing climate change,

 $00:40:31.500 \rightarrow 00:40:35.070$ particularly on mitigation to make sure

00:40:35.070 - 00:40:36.680 that these health benefits

 $00:40:36.680 \rightarrow 00:40:41.680$ and health harms are fully addressed.

 $00:40:45.490 \rightarrow 00:40:50.490$ That wraps up this speed through our report.

 $00:40:50.490 \rightarrow 00:40:52.810$ Again, I encourage you to download the report

 $00:40:53.930 \rightarrow 00:40:56.590$ or sign up for our newsletter on our website

00:40:56.590 --> 00:40:59.630 and I look forward to hearing

 $00:40:59.630 \rightarrow 00:41:02.373$ your questions coming up, thanks again.

00:41:09.220 --> 00:41:12.203 All right, Myra, do you wanna-

 $00{:}41{:}13.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}15.980$ - Yeah, thanks so much for that, Laura.

00:41:15.980 --> 00:41:19.480 I'm gonna just do a reverse chronological order

 $00{:}41{:}19{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}21{.}940$ if that's okay as I scrolled through these.

 $00{:}41{:}21{.}940$ --> $00{:}41{:}25{.}940$ So it looks like we just had a question come in from Rachel.

 $00:41:25.940 \rightarrow 00:41:28.270$ I'm wondering if you looked at any indicators

 $00:41:28.270 \rightarrow 00:41:32.000$ related to agriculture or the food industry

 $00{:}41{:}32.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}33.710$ especially given the health co-benefits

 $00:41:33.710 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.973$ of plant based diets.

 $00:41:36.830 \rightarrow 00:41:39.140$ - Good question, so the report was focused

 $00:41:39.140 \rightarrow 00:41:43.330$ on climate impacts in particular.

 $00{:}41{:}43{.}330$ --> $00{:}41{:}48{.}330$ So we weren't looking explicitly at mitigation solutions.

 $00:41:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.560$ So we didn't look at,

 $00:41:51.560 \rightarrow 00:41:55.490$ there wasn't something specifically on food

 $00:41:56.500 \rightarrow 00:41:58.910$ though it's addressed sort of indirectly

 $00:41:58.910 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.593$ in a number of other indicators.

00:42:03.580 --> 00:42:05.450 - All right thank you. - And I just say Rob

 $00{:}42{:}05{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}09{.}360$ again as coauthor please feel free to jump in any-time.

00:42:15.400 --> 00:42:16.690 - All right, thank you, Laura.

 $00:42:16.690 \rightarrow 00:42:20.443$ We have another question from Ursula.

 $00:42:22.500 \rightarrow 00:42:25.470$ Are businesses mandated to recycle

 $00:42:25.470 \rightarrow 00:42:28.870$ or invest in efficient energy systems?

00:42:28.870 --> 00:42:30.410 It looks like business or hospitals

 $00:42:30.410 \rightarrow 00:42:32.310$ if I'm reading the question correctly.

00:42:33.460 --> 00:42:36.040 - [Ursula] Yes, thank you, that's what I meant.

00:42:36.040 --> 00:42:36.903 - [Myra] Thank you, Ursula.

 $00{:}42{:}37.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}39.193$ - That's a good question.

 $00:42:40.039 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.990$ I don't know the answer.

00:42:40.990 --> 00:42:43.670 I think Rob, maybe you know this

 $00:42:43.670 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.120$ if recycling is mandated.

 $00:42:45.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.100$ It may be at a municipal level

 $00{:}42{:}47.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}49.050$ that those kinds of decisions are made.

 $00{:}42{:}53{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}55{.}580$ Rob, do you know more about that in Connecticut?

 $00{:}42{:}59{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}01{.}850$ - All I can say is that there,

 $00:43:01.850 \rightarrow 00:43:05.713$ if there aren't strong mandates, if there are any.

 $00{:}43{:}07{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}11{.}100$ Yeah, so essentially the answer is no.

 $00{:}43{:}11{.}100$ --> $00{:}43{:}14{.}210$ - Yeah, but it is worth noting that we have a colleague

 $00{:}43{:}14{.}210$ --> $00{:}43{:}16{.}470$ at the Center on Climate Change and Health Study,

00:43:16.470 --> 00:43:19.530 Sherman who does like world-renowned research

00:43:19.530 --> 00:43:21.740 on reducing unhealthcare sustainability

 $00:43:21.740 \rightarrow 00:43:25.260$ and reducing the impact of the healthcare sector. $00:43:25.260 \rightarrow 00:43:30.260$ And so there's really promising options in hospi-

 $00:43:31.820 \rightarrow 00:43:35.780$ and others to reduce their consumption of plastics $00:43:39.490 \rightarrow 00:43:41.940$ and other kinds of materials.

00:43:41.940 --> 00:43:44.710 - [Ursula] Yes, I don't think it's that regulation.

00:43:44.710 --> 00:43:46.830 I don't think we're gonna get anywhere.

 $00:43:46.830 \rightarrow 00:43:49.410$ I finished the certificate program

 $00{:}43{:}49{.}410$ --> $00{:}43{:}52{.}520$ and I'm trying to introduce concepts to my colleagues

 $00:43:52.520 \rightarrow 00:43:57.280$ and without regulation (laughing)

 $00{:}43{:}57{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}59{.}703$ they need someone from the top down like telling them

 $00:43:59.703 \rightarrow 00:44:01.740$ they have to do this or they're gonna get fined.

00:44:01.740 --> 00:44:04.410 It's really sad but I'm still clamoring

 $00:44:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.800$ a way to make some impact (laughing).

 $00:44:06.800 \rightarrow 00:44:07.700$ - Good, thank you.

 tals

00:44:11.540 --> 00:44:16.130 - Great, I see one from Sandy, is Connecticut

00:44:16.130 --> 00:44:19.223 considering more enticing EV credits in the future?

00:44:23.200 --> 00:44:28.027 - I hope so, but I don't know specifics.

00:44:31.550 --> 00:44:34.670 - Yeah, I haven't seen anything about that.

00:44:34.670 --> 00:44:36.560 - But Connecticut released a report

 $00:44:36.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.490$ an EV roadmap last year

 $00{:}44{:}38{.}490$ --> $00{:}44{:}42{.}200$ that set out a number of actions for the State to take.

 $00:44:42.200 \rightarrow 00:44:44.920$ And it's also worth noting that Connecticut

 $00:44:46.170 \rightarrow 00:44:48.730$ signed onto the transportation climate initiative

 $00:44:48.730 \rightarrow 00:44:52.970$ which is the regional program to reduce emissions

 $00:44:52.970 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.150$ from the transportation sector.

 $00{:}44{:}54{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}57{.}423$ So if that is passed through the Connecticut legislature,

 $00:44:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.890$ it would produce revenue that could be used

 $00:45:03.890 \rightarrow 00:45:06.453$ toward decarbonizing transportation.

00:45:08.300 --> 00:45:11.093 - Yeah, I'll just add to the EV issue.

 $00:45:12.000 \rightarrow 00:45:14.630$ So there's a whole range of issues in terms

 $00:45:14.630 \rightarrow 00:45:19.630$ of converting to the transportation sector to EVs,

 $00:45:19.740 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.280$ which is of course critical.

 $00:45:21.280 \rightarrow 00:45:24.283$ So in addition to making the EV affordable,

00:45:27.464 --> 00:45:29.750 building the whole network of charging stations

 $00:45:29.750 \rightarrow 00:45:31.640$ which I think maybe that's one of the things

 $00:45:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.800$ you were referring to learn

 $00:45:32.800 \rightarrow 00:45:35.170$ that Connecticut is paying attention to.

 $00{:}45{:}35{.}170$ --> $00{:}45{:}40{.}170$ And then there's the technology is improving all the time

 $00:45:41.390 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.720$ for the fast charging occurs

 $00:45:44.720 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.930$ which is another critical factor.

00:45:46.930 --> 00:45:48.670 Like you don't wanna have to wait

 $00{:}45{:}48.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}51.680$ for six hours in the middle of your trip

 $00:45:51.680 \rightarrow 00:45:54.783$ to get your car fully charged again.

 $00:45:55.860 \rightarrow 00:45:58.053$ And that's also been improving.

 $00:45:59.430 \rightarrow 00:46:01.523$ And then one more of course is the,

 $00:46:03.450 \rightarrow 00:46:06.800$ how many miles you could travel on one charge

 $00:46:07.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.460$ and that's been improving as well

 $00{:}46{:}11.460$ --> $00{:}46{:}16.460$ where Tesla now has a car that's not yet really affordable.

00:46:17.080 --> 00:46:21.723 It's \$75,000, but it has a range of 400 miles.

 $00:46:23.400 \rightarrow 00:46:25.460$ And all of these things go together

 $00:46:25.460 \rightarrow 00:46:30.313$ because as the infrastructure improves, et cetera,

 $00{:}46{:}31{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}35{.}650$ then as there's more demand for EVs

 $00:46:35.650 \rightarrow 00:46:38.020$ then the price will start to come down

 $00:46:38.020 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.133$ to the economy of scale.

00:46:44.600 --> 00:46:45.827 - Exactly, thank you.

00:46:49.620 --> 00:46:51.830 Any more credits will help though, right?

 $00{:}46{:}51{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}54{.}613$ For us to move in that direction.

00:46:54.613 --> 00:46:55.690 - Yeah - Yeah, absolutely.

 $00{:}46{:}55{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}56{.}740$ - I really love that.

 $00:46:59.210 \rightarrow 00:47:02.513$ - There's an early question here from Brenda,

 $00:47:04.350 \rightarrow 00:47:06.800$ is there a way to categorize severe weather events

 $00{:}47{:}06{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}10{.}010$ such as climate change disasters or warming disasters?

 $00:47:10.010 \rightarrow 00:47:13.447$ So it seems like labeling, how do we do that

 $00:47:14.435 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.268$ or how can we do that?

 $00:47:22.485 \rightarrow 00:47:27.485$ - I don't know if I quite understand the question

 $00:47:27.578 \rightarrow 00:47:30.080$ of how do we categorize,

00:47:30.080 - 00:47:33.639 Brenda, do you wanna specify that?

00:47:33.639 --> 00:47:34.920 - Oh okay. - Go ahead.

00:47:34.920 --> 00:47:37.077 - [Brenda] I was just thinking more about the (indistinct).

 $00:47:38.725 \rightarrow 00:47:41.475$ (Brenda mumbles)

00:47:45.520 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:47:49.160 You know these disasters, but I know

 $00:47:49.160 \rightarrow 00:47:52.800$ that it's probably a challenge to try to figure out

 $00{:}47{:}52{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}57{.}800$ how to separate or define how you can go about that.

00:47:57.950 --> 00:48:01.560 But for me, it's just about people are onboarded 00:48:01.560 --> 00:48:06.370 to the fact that these severe weather events are not common

 $00:48:06.370 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.860$ because the weather is in front of you.

00:48:08.860 --> 00:48:13.860 - Yeah, no, I think it's a really great point.

 $00{:}48{:}14.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}16.470$ I think we also have kind of a shifting baseline

 $00:48:16.470 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.100$ of accepting what seems normal.

 $00{:}48{:}19{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}20{.}760$ When if you look back in time,

```
00:48:20.760 \rightarrow 00:48:23.400 it's certainly quite extraordinary.
00:48:23.400 \rightarrow 00:48:28.400 And there are studies that are looking at,
00:48:30.090 \rightarrow 00:48:32.570 that look at the the climate contribution
00:48:32.570 \rightarrow 00:48:35.410 for a given large scale event,
00:48:35.410 \rightarrow 00:48:37.620 but generally that kind of connection
00:48:37.620 \rightarrow 00:48:39.963 for each individual one is difficult to do.
00:48:41.560 --> 00:48:45.320 I'll mention one interesting campaign
00:48:45.320 \rightarrow 00:48:48.410 that a number of groups are putting forward
00:48:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.654 which is to name heat waves.
00:48:51.654 \rightarrow 00:48:55.630 So in the way that we name hurricanes
00:48:55.630 \rightarrow 00:48:57.510 giving a name to heat waves
00:48:57.510 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.370 to show how they're significant
00:49:01.600 \rightarrow 00:49:04.343 and that they are becoming more prevalent.
00:49:07.650 --> 00:49:09.450 Rob do you wanna add anything there?
00:49:12.060 \rightarrow 00:49:13.980 - No, I was gonna mention the heat waves too.
00:49:13.980 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.890 I think that would be a nice step
00:49:17.890 \rightarrow 00:49:20.310 in the right direction to kind of emphasis,
00:49:20.310 \rightarrow 00:49:21.560 it would really help to emphasize
00:49:21.560 \rightarrow 00:49:25.810 their importance more if they gave them a name.
00:49:25.810 --> 00:49:26.643 - Yeah.
00{:}49{:}29{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}30{.}760 - Great, thank you both.
00:49:30.760 \rightarrow 00:49:33.870 We have a question from Matthew.
00:49:33.870 --> 00:49:37.653 How can we find similar reports from other States?
00:49:39.460 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.000 - Well, it's one of the reasons that
00:49:41.000 \rightarrow 00:49:44.030 we gave this webinar was to encourage others
00:49:44.030 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.683 to produce similar reports.
00:49:50.340 \rightarrow 00:49:52.840 I'll mention that there are a number of cities and
States
00:49:52.840 \rightarrow 00:49:53.980 around the country that are funded
00:49:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.840 through the CDC to have a climate
```

 $00:49:57.840 \rightarrow 00:49:59.570$ and health program in their health department.

 $00{:}49{:}59{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}04{.}570$ And through that, they've created reports looking at

 $00{:}50{:}04.660$ --> $00{:}50{:}07.560$ climate impacts and projecting impacts in the future.

00:50:07.560 --> 00:50:10.200 So if you live in one of those States,

 $00{:}50{:}10{.}200$ --> $00{:}50{:}13{.}640$ if you look up something like CDC climate and health program

 $00:50:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.323$ you can see reports there.

00:50:20.430 --> 00:50:22.793 Wisconsin, I'll mention to Wisconsin,

 $00:50:24.090 \rightarrow 00:50:27.820$ some of our extended colleagues in Wisconsin

 $00:50:27.820 \rightarrow 00:50:30.350$ just put out a really great report for their State.

 $00:50:30.350 \rightarrow 00:50:33.140$ And it's especially focused on inspiring

 $00{:}50{:}33{.}140$ --> $00{:}50{:}36{.}303$ health professionals to take action on climate change.

00:50:37.590 --> 00:50:40.320 - Yeah, I think as far as we know

 $00{:}50{:}40{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}44{.}640$ there aren't other reports, do you agree with that, Laura?

 $00:50:44.640 \rightarrow 00:50:48.663$ - I think using this indicator approach is unique.

 $00{:}50{:}53{.}200$ --> $00{:}50{:}56{.}180$ - [Jacy] I had a followup question, Jacy McGaw-Cesaire here.

 $00:50:56.180 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.100$ I wanted to on that note know

00:50:59.100 - 00:51:01.310 if there was a kind of scorecard

 $00:51:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.330$ in the process or the pipeline

00:51:04.330 --> 00:51:08.930 to the compare States responses to climate change

 $00:51:08.930 \rightarrow 00:51:13.293$ and health, and maybe having like an NCD

 $00:51:15.060 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.463$ but for States to compare that.

 $00:51:19.136 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.136$ - It's a great idea.

 $00:51:21.867 \rightarrow 00:51:25.287$ I don't know of any existing work on that.

 $00:51:28.060 \rightarrow 00:51:29.540$ It's probably also worth mentioning

 $00{:}51{:}29{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33{.}100$ that one inspiration for this report is the Lancet countdown

 $00:51:33.100 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.190$ on health and climate change

 $00:51:34.190 \rightarrow 00:51:37.477$ which is a global effort to assess climate impacts

 $00:51:37.477 \rightarrow 00:51:40.750$ and climate action from a health perspective.

 $00:51:40.750 \rightarrow 00:51:45.430$ And that does some of that tracking.

 $00{:}51{:}45{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}48{.}470$ Like one of their indicators I think is looking at countries

 $00{:}51{:}48.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}51.760$ that have a health adaptation, climate adaptation plan.

 $00{:}51{:}51{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}55{.}130$ So you can imagine doing something like that in the US too.

 $00:51:55.130 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.580$ I think that's a great point.

00:52:02.640 --> 00:52:04.983 - Great, thanks Laura, let's see.

 $00:52:05.971 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.970$ I have a question from Susan.

 $00{:}52{:}06{.}970$ --> $00{:}52{:}09{.}970$ Are there any municipalities or towns that are doing

00:52:09.970 --> 00:52:11.230 a better job incorporating

 $00:52:11.230 \rightarrow 00:52:12.983$ these concerns into their planning?

00:52:14.010 --> 00:52:15.900 - Brings up a great program to reference

 $00:52:15.900 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.223$ which is sustainable CT.

 $00{:}52{:}18{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}21{.}460$ And so that's a voluntary program where municipalities

 $00:52:21.460 \rightarrow 00:52:25.940$ can opt to join in and then become certified

 $00:52:25.940 \rightarrow 00:52:28.643$ by taking on different sustainability actions.

 $00{:}52{:}29{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}32{.}580$ And those have a whole different range.

 $00{:}52{:}32{.}580$ --> $00{:}52{:}35{.}740$ Sustainability actions is not just about climate change

 $00{:}52{:}35{.}740$ --> $00{:}52{:}40{.}740$ and there are some that relate to climate and health

 $00:52:41.960 \rightarrow 00:52:45.020$ but we would have actually been chatting

 $00{:}52{:}45{.}020$ --> $00{:}52{:}47{.}700$ with them a little bit about how that could be built out

 $00{:}52{:}47{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}51{.}210$ to make sure that municipalities are really acting

 $00{:}52{:}51{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}53{.}193$ on these issues of climate and health.

 $00{:}52{:}54{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}57{.}793$ Oh, great and Myra put in a link to the organizations.

00:52:59.880 --> 00:53:03.130 - A question here from Jeremy specific

 $00:53:03.130 \rightarrow 00:53:06.910$ to the Lyme disease indicator,

 $00{:}53{:}06{.}910$ --> $00{:}53{:}09{.}640$ were any factors considered into why the total number

 $00:53:09.640 \rightarrow 00:53:12.033$ of Lyme disease cases have been decreasing?

 $00:53:16.300 \dashrightarrow 00:53:17.496$ - Rob do you wanna grab? - I can do that.

00:53:17.496 --> 00:53:19.200 - Yeah, please. - Yeah.

 $00:53:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.670$ So well first I'll say that we then try

 $00:53:23.670 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.350$ to rigorously figure that out

 $00:53:28.350 \rightarrow 00:53:30.800$ but we have some informed guesses

 $00{:}53{:}30{.}800$ --> $00{:}53{:}33{.}930$ about why there's actually been a decrease in Lyme disease.

 $00:53:33.930 \rightarrow 00:53:36.660$ And the main guess is that it's because,

 $00:53:36.660 \rightarrow 00:53:38.890$ over the last decade or so, there's been a lot

 $00:53:38.890 \rightarrow 00:53:42.200$ more awareness about Lyme disease in the State

 $00:53:43.130 \rightarrow 00:53:45.350$ and about the protective measures

 $00:53:45.350 \rightarrow 00:53:50.350$ that people could take to avoid infection.

 $00:53:50.690 \rightarrow 00:53:53.203$ So I think that's our best guess about why.

 $00:53:54.940 \rightarrow 00:53:58.233$ That was one of the initially surprising trends.

 $00{:}53{:}59{.}170$ --> $00{:}54{:}01{.}650$ We fully expected to see an increase in Lyme disease,

 $00:54:01.650 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.700$ but you have to go with the data

 $00:54:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.780$ and that's what we saw.

00:54:06.780 --> 00:54:09.790 And I don't think it's an artifact in any way

 $00{:}54{:}09{.}790$ --> $00{:}54{:}14{.}790$ because if anything, there would be an increase in actually,

 $00:54:23.190 \rightarrow 00:54:27.360$ not missing Lyme disease cases as we proceed

 $00:54:27.360 \rightarrow 00:54:29.160$ in time as opposed to the opposite.

 $00:54:29.160 \rightarrow 00:54:31.720$ There's no reason why there'd be more cases

 $00:54:31.720 \rightarrow 00:54:34.993$ missed in recent years than in former years.

00:54:40.200 --> 00:54:42.130 - Great, thanks, Rob.

 $00:54:42.130 \rightarrow 00:54:44.790$ We might have time for one or two more questions.

00:54:44.790 --> 00:54:48.683 I see one here from from Mike Pascucilla,

 $00{:}54{:}49{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}51{.}870$ can you discuss the New England agreement

00:54:51.870 - 00:54:53.520 with other States, for example,

 $00{:}54{:}53{.}520$ --> $00{:}54{:}56{.}563$ Rhode Island is one of the leaders in the Northeast?

00:55:00.850 --> 00:55:02.300 - Mike, do you wanna...

 $00:55:02.300 \rightarrow 00:55:05.310$ I'm not totally sure what you mean by that.

00:55:05.310 - 00:55:06.433 Do you wanna specify?

 $00:55:12.130 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.080$ Oop you're on mute.

00:55:24.090 --> 00:55:28.790 - Okay, of course, Dr Bozzi excellent report.

00:55:28.790 --> 00:55:31.110 As I put in my message,

00:55:31.110 --> 00:55:32.840 it's not just research it's reality.

 $00:55:32.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.110$ What I like about this report is

 $00{:}55{:}36{.}300$ --> $00{:}55{:}39{.}340$ it has these indicators, things that people can relate to.

 $00:55:39.340 \rightarrow 00:55:41.490$ I know my colleagues and I have used it

 $00:55:41.490 \rightarrow 00:55:44.230$ and we pushed it out to our community.

 $00{:}55{:}44{.}230$ --> $00{:}55{:}46{.}927$ We actually got some feedback from few of our community,

 $00:55:46.927 \rightarrow 00:55:48.960$ so it's a great report.

00:55:48.960 --> 00:55:52.320 And as far as I know, I do not think there is

 $00:55:52.320 \rightarrow 00:55:55.390$ another State that has done something like this.

00:55:55.390 - > 00:55:57.000 We're may have some version of it

 $00:55:57.000 \rightarrow 00:55:59.717$ but not this comprehensive, so kudos (indistinct).

 $00:56:03.668 \rightarrow 00:56:06.730$ So I have to say this, the reason why we

 $00:56:06.730 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.250$ have lower Lyme disease rates,

 $00:56:08.250 \rightarrow 00:56:10.090$ because us at the local health department

 $00:56:10.090 \rightarrow 00:56:13.927$ are doing a good job, having a little fun here.

 $00:56:13.927 \rightarrow 00:56:15.690$ You don't get to have lot of fun.

 $00{:}56{:}15.690$ --> $00{:}56{:}20.527$ (indistinct) Interesting is to see what happens next year

 $00:56:20.527 \rightarrow 00:56:21.850$ and the following year now

 $00:56:21.850 \rightarrow 00:56:24.260$ that our trails are packed, right?

 $00:56:24.260 \rightarrow 00:56:26.513$ So that is probably gonna change.

 $00{:}56{:}27.740$ --> $00{:}56{:}31.160$ So going back to the question about the newly pack.

 $00{:}56{:}31{.}160$ --> $00{:}56{:}35{.}870$ As I understand, and the governor through the G3

 $00:56:35.870 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.360$ is working with other States.

 $00:56:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.270$ And I heard about this

 $00:56:39.270 \rightarrow 00:56:41.410$ and there's been some newspaper articles

 $00{:}56{:}41{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}44{.}330$ but I haven't seen anything substantial.

 $00:56:44.330 \rightarrow 00:56:46.530$ And I know the governor has been working

 $00:56:46.530 \rightarrow 00:56:48.690$ with some other States and some climate change

 $00{:}56{:}48.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}52.490$ trying to sync, that's what our governor is trying to do.

00:56:52.490 --> 00:56:55.370 And I just was wondering if you seen anything,

00:56:55.370 --> 00:56:56.310 I know it's happening

 $00:56:56.310 \rightarrow 00:56:59.853$ but I'm not sure it's actually in a report yet.

 $00{:}57{:}01.716$ --> $00{:}57{:}05.650$ - Well, first so I should give Mike some thanks and credit.

 $00:57:05.650 \dashrightarrow 00:57:09.610$ So he leads the local health department

 $00{:}57{:}09{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}12{.}190$ at the East Shore Health District

 $00:57:12.190 \rightarrow 00:57:15.390$ and really leads on bringing climate change

 $00{:}57{:}15{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}17{.}510$ to the local health districts in Connecticut.

00:57:17.510 --> 00:57:18.860 So thank you for your work.

 $00:57:20.190 \rightarrow 00:57:22.463$ And does it well while addressing COVID.

 $00:57:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.683$ So I don't know.

 $00{:}57{:}26.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}28.830$ I think the New England governors and in Northeast governors

 $00:57:28.830 \rightarrow 00:57:30.110$ are always collaborating on things

 $00:57:30.110 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.190$ and I think fairly see eye to eye

 $00:57:32.190 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.800$ on climate change issues.

 $00:57:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.960$ The one that maybe has been

 $00{:}57{:}35{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}37{.}740$ in the papers recently is what I mentioned

 $00:57:37.740 \rightarrow 00:57:39.640$ about the transportation climate initiative.

 $00:57:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.420$ So this is addressing

00:57:41.420 --> 00:57:44.140 and so far three States have signed on Connecticut,

 $00{:}57{:}44.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}46.060$ Rhode Island and Massachusetts.

 $00:57:46.060 \rightarrow 00:57:51.060$ So that may be what has come up, but I agree.

 $00{:}57{:}51{.}620$ --> $00{:}57{:}54{.}853$ In these small States, again, it's like the air pollution.

 $00{:}57{:}55{.}970$ --> $00{:}57{:}59{.}900$ We are very impacted by what happens around us

 $00{:}57{:}59{.}900$ --> $00{:}58{:}02{.}780$ and also that there is an efficiency of working together.

 $00{:}58{:}02{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}06{.}570$ And so if that can happen and now particularly supported

 $00:58:06.570 \rightarrow 00:58:11.160$ by federal government actions and incentives

 $00:58:11.160 \rightarrow 00:58:13.160$ I think that that's where we need to go.

 $00:58:14.628 \rightarrow 00:58:16.211$ - Great, thank you.

00:58:19.750 --> 00:58:23.680 - Great, so it looks like we're out of time,

00:58:23.680 --> 00:58:28.013 but if you have any questions again,

 $00{:}58{:}30{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}33{.}023$ you can find my contact information or I'll put it here,

 $00:58:34.350 \rightarrow 00:58:35.970$ feel free to follow up.

 $00:58:35.970 \rightarrow 00:58:39.670$ I'm so happy to have a really engaged audience.

00:58:39.670 --> 00:58:41.820 Thank you again for joining us

 $00:58:41.820 \rightarrow 00:58:43.950$ and thank you to all of you for your interest

 $00:58:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:58:45.283$ in your work in this area.

00:58:49.270 --> 00:58:50.653 Great, take care.

00:58:51.710 --> 00:58:53.600 - [Michael] Have a good weekend, thank you.

00:58:53.600 --> 00:58:54.950 - [Rob] Yeah, by everyone.

00:58:55.850 --> 00:58:56.683 - [Paula] Excellent job,

 $00:58:56.683 \rightarrow 00:58:58.180$ thank you so much for sharing in.