WEBVTT

 $2~00{:}00{:}03.720$ --> $00{:}00{:}07.560$ the Yale Center of Climate Change and Health seminar series.

3 00:00:07.560 --> 00:00:09.930 I'm Dr Kai Chen, assistant professor

4 00:00:09.930 --> 00:00:11.650 at the Yale School of Public Health

 $5~00{:}00{:}11.650$ --> $00{:}00{:}14.590$ and also the Yale Center on Climate Change and Health.

 $6\ 00:00:14.590 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.060$ So this is my great pleasure today to introduce

7 00:00:18.060 --> 00:00:21.490 our today's speaker Professor Michelle Bell.

8 00:00:21.490 --> 00:00:25.880 Professor Bell is the Mary E
 Pinchot Health Professor

9 00:00:25.880 --> 00:00:29.640 of Environmental Health at the Yale School of Environment.

10 00:00:29.640 --> 00:00:32.903 She's a recipient of many awards,

11 00:00:33.910 --> 00:00:37.660 including the Rosenblith New Investigator Award

12 00:00:37.660 --> 00:00:40.030 from the Health Effect Institute,

13 00:00:40.030 --> 00:00:44.400 the NIH Outstanding New Environmental Scientists Award

14 00:00:44.400 --> 00:00:45.730 the ONES award.

 $15\ 00:00:45.730 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.950$ Last year she was selected

 $16\ 00:00:47.950 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.010$ to the National Academy of Medicine.

17 00:00:51.010 --> 00:00:54.210 And I think there's no better coating

18 00:00:54.210 --> 00:00:58.130 for introduce her work from the National Academy

19 00:00:58.130 --> 00:01:01.570 of Medicine for her global latest

 $20\ 00{:}01{:}01{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05{.}410$ in environmental health addressing critical topics

21 00:01:05.410 --> 00:01:08.100 such as air pollution and climate change,

 $22\ 00:01:08.100 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.640$ and introducing large-scale models that have

 $23\ 00:01:11.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.640$ advanced environment research

 $24\ 00:01:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.110$ at both global and local levels.

25 00:01:17.110 --> 00:01:21.113 So without further ado, I would turn the mich to Michelle.

26 00:01:23.120 --> 00:01:25.560 <v ->Great, thank you for that kind introduction Kai.</v>

27 00:01:25.560 --> 00:01:27.880 I'm gonna share my screen

28 00:01:27.880 --> 00:01:32.260 and if someone could give me a thumbs up to let me know

 $29\ 00:01:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.313$ if it works in presentation mode.

30 00:01:36.600 --> 00:01:38.890 Went to the bottom of the presentation for some reason.

31 00:01:38.890 --> 00:01:40.190 Is it working?

32 00:01:40.190 --> 00:01:41.220 <v ->Yes, it works.</v>

33 00:01:41.220 --> 00:01:43.020 <v ->Okay, great, thank you.</v>

34 00:01:43.020 --> 00:01:45.260 So thank you so much for spending some of your day

 $35\ 00:01:45.260 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.360$ with me here to let me share my work.

36 00:01:47.360 --> 00:01:50.010 I'm very excited to share this research with you.

37 $00:01:50.010 \dashrightarrow 00:01:52.140$ And I want to thank Professor Chan

 $38\ 00:01:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.800$ and Professor Dubrow for this invitation.

39 00:01:54.800 --> 00:01:56.300 I also wanna let you know I'm suffering

40 00:01:56.300 --> 00:01:58.470 from major COVID vaccine side effects.

41 00:01:58.470 --> 00:02:02.160 So, just be kind with me today (laughing),

 $42\ 00:02:02.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.420$ but I'm very happy to be here.

43 $00{:}02{:}03{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}07{.}070$ So I'm gonna talk about some work we've done on wild fires,

 $44\ 00:02:07.070$ --> 00:02:09.977 air pollution and health with a special emphasis on climate

 $45\ 00:02:09.977 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.580$ given the sponsor of this seminar.

46 00:02:12.580 --> 00:02:14.250 And this is an outline of the talk

47 00:02:14.250 --> 00:02:15.210 I've prepared for you today.

48 00:02:15.210 --> 00:02:17.890 I'm gonna give some general background on wildfires,

 $49\ 00:02:17.890 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.690$ air pollution and climate.

50 00:02:19.690 --> 00:02:21.740 I wanna share with you some research studies 51 00:02:21.740 --> 00:02:25.360 that we have conducted looking at how air pollutions,

 $52\ 00{:}02{:}25{.}360$ --> $00{:}02{:}28{.}410$ or smoke from wild fires can impact human health,

 $53\ 00:02:28.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.030$ and some of the work we've done

 $54\ 00:02:30.030 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.320$ in the context of climate change as well.

55 00:02:32.320 --> 00:02:33.380 I wanna briefly share

56 00:02:33.380 $\rightarrow 00:02:35.640$ with you some ongoing and planned research

57 $00:02:35.640 \rightarrow 00:02:37.330$ and then I'll have a few concluding thoughts.

58 00:02:37.330 \rightarrow 00:02:40.000 So let me start with some background.

59 00:02:40.000 \rightarrow 00:02:42.510 So this is a slide that I've had for many years.

 $60\ 00:02:42.510$ --> 00:02:44.362 Some of my students may recognize this

61 00:02:44.362 --> 00:02:47.910 and I update it every year just to show the number

 $62\ 00:02:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.180$ of peer reviewed journal articles

63 00:02:50.180 --> 00:02:51.850 on climate change in health.

 $64\ 00:02:51.850 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.860$ And you can see this just incredible growth.

 $65\ 00:02:54.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.620$ This is updated through 2020,

 $66\ 00{:}02{:}56.620$ --> $00{:}03{:}01.010$ where we have this incredibly strong scientific interest

 $67\ 00:03:01.010 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.843$ in climate change in health.

68 00:03:01.843 --> 00:03:03.810 And I would argue a public interest

 $69\ 00:03:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.830$ and perhaps, political interest as well.

 $70\ 00{:}03{:}06.830$ --> $00{:}03{:}11.190$ If we look at the major laws and regulations worldwide

 $71\ 00:03:11.190 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.060$ for the environment, not all of them

 $72\ 00:03:13.060 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.070$ but most of them relate to human health.

 $73\ 00:03:15.070 - 00:03:16.930$ And human health has been missing

 $74\ 00:03:16.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.420$ from much of the climate change debate.

 $75\ 00:03:19.420 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.090$ And so I think that this is actually

76 $00:03:22.090 \dashrightarrow 00:03:24.890$ really critically important in that

77 00:03:24.890 --> 00:03:28.620 this Yale Center that Kai and Rob run is really critical

78 00:03:28.620 --> 00:03:30.750 to advancing that effort.

79 00:03:30.750 \rightarrow 00:03:33.060 This is a figure, it's a bit complicated.

8000:03:33.060 --> 00:03:35.050 I'm gonna walk you through parts of it

 $81\ 00:03:35.050$ --> 00:03:38.800 but this is from a recent review article that we published.

 $82\ 00{:}03{:}38{.}800$ --> $00{:}03{:}40{.}510$ I'm gonna talk more about this article in a minute

83 00:03:40.510 --> 00:03:41.630 but we talk about the links

84 00:03:41.630 --> 00:03:44.560 between climate change, wild
fire smoke and health.

 $85\ 00:03:44.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.570$ And my point for this figure is just to show

86 $00:03:47.570 \rightarrow 00:03:49.660$ that there are so many different pathways

 $87\ 00:03:49.660$ --> 00:03:52.230 through which climate change could impact wild fires.

 $88\ 00:03:52.230 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.930$ It's not just that there's an overall warming.

89 00:03:54.930 --> 00:03:58.790 And so are you able to see my cursor maybe?

90 00:03:58.790 --> 00:04:02.220 Well, if you look in the upper right-hand side

91 00:04:02.220 --> 00:04:05.090 under climate change, you'll see there's high temperatures

 $92\ 00:04:05.090 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.350$ possibly lightening making a role,

 $93\ 00:04:07.350 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.990$ changes in precipitation,

 $94\ 00:04:08.990 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.350$ changes in wind patterns and so on.

95 00:04:11.350 --> 00:04:14.220 And then you see greenhouse gas emissions is combustion

 $96\ 00:04:14.220$ --> 00:04:17.410 of fossil fuels leading down to impacts on human health.

 $97\ 00:04:17.410 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.530$ So my key point here being that there are a lot

98 00:04:19.530 --> 00:04:22.550 of really complex pathways through which climate change

99 00:04:22.550 --> 00:04:25.283 could impact wild
fires, could impact human health.

 $100\ 00{:}04{:}26.120$ --> $00{:}04{:}29.240$ And this is from an article that not one of my articles,

101 00:04:29.240 --> 00:04:31.840 another researcher's article showing an increased

 $102 \ 00:04:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.320$ in forest fires in the Western United States.

103 00:04:34.320 --> 00:04:35.660 And I wanna draw your attention

 $104\ 00:04:35.660 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.550$ to that lower figure with the red dots.

 $105\ 00:04:38.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.160$ And that's showing from 1984 to 2017

 $106\ 00{:}04{:}42.160$ --> $00{:}04{:}47.160$ this enormous change in wild fires, this is area burn.

 $107\ 00:04:47.260 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.360$ So this is not the smoke.

 $108\ 00:04:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.310$ This is the fire.

109 00:04:50.310 --> 00:04:54.500 I also wanna point out these kind of cyclical up and down,

 $110\ 00:04:54.500 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.640$ how we have this variation that goes up

 $111\ 00:04:56.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.540$ but in this zigzag pattern.

112 00:04:58.540 --> 00:05:01.490 This makes it very easy for someone either innocently

113 $00:05:01.490 \rightarrow 00:05:05.840$ or intentionally to downgrade or downplay

 $114\ 00:05:05.840 \rightarrow 00:05:09.790$ the role that climate change has on wildfires.

115 00:05:09.790 --> 00:05:12.897 If they just pick off two or three points from this

116 00:05:12.897 --> 00:05:15.797 and there it looks like it's going down or not increasing.

117 00:05:18.120 --> 00:05:21.690 And I thought it might be useful today to take a step back

118 00:05:21.690 --> 00:05:24.060 to what I was thinking when I first started looking

119 00:05:24.060 --> 00:05:27.080 at wild
fires and human health several years ago.

120 $00:05:27.080 \rightarrow 00:05:29.390$ And so I just was interested in this topic

121 $00:05:29.390 \rightarrow 00:05:31.830$ and started reading some publications

 $122\ 00:05:31.830$ --> 00:05:33.790 from the United States government.

123 $00:05:33.790 \dashrightarrow 00:05:35.570$ And I just wanna point out two of them.

124 00:05:35.570 --> 00:05:38.570 One is from the US Forest Service, which noted

 $125\ 00{:}05{:}38.570$ --> $00{:}05{:}41.180$ that forest fire smoke was hazardous to human health.

126 00:05:41.180 --> 00:05:43.540 Even though there really has not been very many studies

 $127\ 00:05:43.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.340$ at the time, but they felt the US FS felt that

 $128\ 00:05:47.340 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.630$ given the overwhelming strong evidence

129 00:05:49.630 $\rightarrow 00:05:51.450$ that particulate matter from other sources

130 00:05:51.450 --> 00:05:53.700 is harmful to human health, we really should think

 $131\ 00:05:53.700$ --> 00:05:56.660 that wild fire smoke is harmful to human health as well.

132 00:05:56.660 --> 00:06:00.310 And then I saw lots and lots of economic damages

133 00:06:00.310 --> 00:06:01.143 associated from wild
fires.

 $134\ 00:06:01.143 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.920$ And I'm just pulling out one example here.

135 00:06:03.920 --> 00:06:07.510 So this is from NOAA, a US government agency

136 00:06:07.510 --> 00:06:11.350 and they estimated almost \$7 billion in property damage

137 00:06:11.350 --> 00:06:13.770 and \$58 million in crop damage

 $138\ 00:06:13.770 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.070$ over that period of about a decade.

 $139\ 00:06:17.070 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.849$ What's really interesting to me

 $140\ 00:06:18.849 \rightarrow 00:06:21.300$ was part of why I got studied in this research

141 00:06:21.300 \rightarrow 00:06:24.200 is none of the estimates I found at that time

142 00:06:24.200 --> 00:06:25.270 considered human health.

143 00:06:25.270 --> 00:06:27.360 It's like nobody coughed, right?

144 00:06:27.360 --> 00:06:30.990 So my argument is that the damages from wild fires

145 00:06:30.990 --> 00:06:34.510 are grossly underestimated because they're not incorporating

 $146\ 00:06:34.510 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.210$ this change in human health.

 $147\ 00:06:36.210 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.050$ And that we had compelling evidence

 $148\ 00:06:38.050 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.330$ that wildfire smoke harms human health,

 $149\ 00:06:40.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.333$ but there's really a lot of uncertainty.

150 00:06:43.880 --> 00:06:45.650 And just wanna give a little more background

 $151\ 00:06:45.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.740$ about particulate matter.

 $152\ 00:06:46.740 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.000$ So particularly matter is

153 00:06:48.000 --> 00:06:50.510 the primary air pollutant we're concerned about.

154 00:06:50.510 --> 00:06:52.170 I just wanna give some background.

 $155\ 00:06:52.170 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.860$ I think many people on this seminar will know

 $156\ 00:06:54.860 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.220$ a lot about particulate matter,

157 00:06:56.220 --> 00:06:58.620 but in case you're not familiar maybe you work in water

158 00:06:58.620 $\rightarrow 00:07:01.130$ or some other field, it's really just exactly

159 00:07:01.130 --> 00:07:01.963 what it sounds like.

160 00:07:01.963 $\rightarrow 00:07:04.360$ It's particles in the air that can be made up

161 00:07:04.360 --> 00:07:06.040 of different chemical composition,

162 00:07:06.040 --> 00:07:08.930 they can have different sizes and different shapes.

 $163\ 00:07:08.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.670$ And then really the size matters a lot.

 $164\ 00:07:12.670 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.000$ So this is a schematic from EPA

 $165\ 00:07:16.000 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.530$ showing the width of a human hair

166 00:07:17.530 --> 00:07:20.113 and then showing how small these particles can be.

167 00:07:21.112 --> 00:07:22.080 I wanna draw your attention

 $168\ 00:07:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.150$ to what's (indistinct) the pink particles.

169 00:07:24.150 --> 00:07:25.330 Of course, they're not pink

 $170\ 00:07:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.340$ but in this figure of the pink particles

 $171\ 00:07:27.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.940$ showing that the PM 2.5 particles

172 00:07:29.940 --> 00:07:32.130 from combustion are very, very small.

173 00:07:32.130 --> 00:07:34.640 They penetrate deeper into the respiratory system

174 00:07:34.640 --> 00:07:35.790 than do larger particles.

 $175\ 00:07:35.790 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.075$ And this is what we're having

 $176\ 00:07:37.075$ --> 00:07:39.733 from combustion from wildfires.

177 00:07:41.840 --> 00:07:43.900 Okay, I wanna go back to this review article

 $178\ 00:07:43.900 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.170$ that we published recently in

179 00:07:45.170 --> 00:07:46.610 the "New England Journal of Medicine,"

180 00:07:46.610 --> 00:07:48.500 and throughout the talk, I'm gonna highlight

181 $00:07:48.500 \rightarrow 00:07:50.310$ some of the researchers and collaborators.

182 00:07:50.310 --> 00:07:52.130 And so this photo is Rongbin Xu,

183 $00:07:52.130 \dashrightarrow 00:07:54.610$ who was the lead author on this paper.

 $184\ 00:07:54.610 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.290$ And so this is an article that's a review article

185 00:07:59.290 --> 00:08:01.780 that gives some kind of synthesis of what do we know

186 00:08:01.780 --> 00:08:04.710 about wild
fires, global climate change and human health.

187 00:08:04.710 --> 00:08:06.900 I have a slide on some of our references

 $188\ 00:08:06.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.360$ at the end of this, if you're interested.

189 00:08:08.360 --> 00:08:10.390 So if you wanna know more about this topic

190 00:08:10.390 --> 00:08:14.140 and wanna read one article or small number of articles

191 00:08:14.140 --> 00:08:16.130 this might be one you wanna check out.

 $192\ 00:08:16.130 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.300$ And I wanna highlight that we went through

 $193\ 00:08:18.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.610$ and looked at the characteristics

 $194\ 00:08:19.610 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.480$ and health risks of wild fires.

 $195\ 00:08:21.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.800$ Like what's the state of the science.

 $196\ 00:08:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.150$ So let me blow up part of our table.

 $197\ 00:08:25.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.030$ So for mortality, we concluded

198 00:08:27.030 --> 00:08:29.290 there was consistent evidence.

199 00:08:29.290 --> 00:08:31.940 Those of you who have taken my class know that I

200 $00{:}08{:}31{.}940$ --> $00{:}08{:}34{.}700$ and other people in public health are very cautious

201 $00{:}08{:}34.700$ --> $00{:}08{:}38.560$ about using words like cause or proven or things like that.

 $202\ 00:08:38.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.060$ So we use a lot of this couched language,

203 00:08:41.060 --> 00:08:45.120 consistent evidence but that should by no means

204 00:08:45.120 --> 00:08:48.330 be considered that we don't have very strong evidence.

205 00:08:48.330 --> 00:08:50.550 It's just scientists being really careful.

206 00:08:50.550 --> 00:08:52.720 So we have consistent evidence that there's an increase

 $207\ 00:08:52.720 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.180$ in mortality from wildfire smoke

 $208\ 00:08:55.180 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.470$ and a real critical question is,

209 00:08:58.470 --> 00:09:00.710 are the particles from wild
fire more

210 00:09:00.710 --> 00:09:03.600 or less harmful than particles from other sources?

211 00:09:03.600 --> 00:09:05.980 This is a broader question where we could look at particles

212 00:09:05.980 --> 00:09:08.330 from vehicles versus particles from coal combustion

 $213\ 00:09:08.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.830$ versus all combustion versus agriculture

 $214\ 00:09:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.600$ and now versus wild fires.

215 00:09:12.600 --> 00:09:15.760 And the evidence on this it's not perfectly consistent.

 $216\ 00:09:15.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.060$ So we said that for mortality

 $217\ 00:09:17.060 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.890$ wildfire particles may have a stronger effect.

218 00:09:19.890 --> 00:09:21.620 Now why would different sources

 $219\ 00:09:21.620 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.730$ of particles have different impacts?

220 00:09:23.730 --> 00:09:26.190 Well, as I mentioned, the particles have different sizes

221 00:09:26.190 --> 00:09:28.320 but they also have different chemical compositions.

 $222\ 00:09:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.600$ So if you have a particle

223 00:09:29.600 --> 00:09:31.970 that's coming a lot from oil combustion

 $224\ 00:09:31.970 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.620$ it's gonna have a lot of niclin vanadium.

225 00:09:33.620 --> 00:09:34.960 If it's coming from coal combustion,

226 00:09:34.960 --> 00:09:37.460 it's gonna have a lot of ammonium sulfate and so on.

227 00:09:37.460 --> 00:09:39.740 So different particles have different chemical structures.

 $228\ 00:09:39.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.320$ And we know these chemical structures matter $229\ 00:09:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.500$ for human health impacts.

230 $00{:}09{:}43.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}45.880$ Although none of the particles are good for you.

231 $00:09:45.880 \rightarrow 00:09:48.910$ So this is why this is a critical question.

232 00:09:48.910 --> 00:09:52.700 We concluded consistent evidence of respiratory impacts

233 00:09:52.700 --> 00:09:57.050 maybe a stronger effect on as
thma related events.

 $234\ 00:09:57.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.170$ So the basic summary of this table

235 00:09:59.170 --> 00:10:02.240 and my wording would be that we have very strong evidence

 $236\ 00:10:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.610$ that there is something happening

237 00:10:03.610 --> 00:10:05.820 for wildfire smoke harming human health,

238 00:10:05.820 \rightarrow 00:10:07.680 but there are a lot of unanswered questions

 $239\ 00:10:07.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.810$ that we could continue to investigate as well.

 $240\ 00:10:10.810 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.850$ So for example, the long-term health effects

241 00:10:12.850 --> 00:10:15.650 I've highlighted here we said they were largely unknown.

242 00:10:16.890 --> 00:10:19.510 So let me move to the second part of this talk 243 00:10:19.510 --> 00:10:21.778 where I really wanna highlight some of the research studies

244 00:10:21.778 --> 00:10:25.233 that my team has done looking at wild fire smoke.

245 00:10:26.160 --> 00:10:28.380 I wanna highlight my two key collaborators 246 00:10:28.380 --> 00:10:29.213 for this project.

247 00:10:29.213 --> 00:10:32.010 They're both at Harvard University, Francesca Dominici

248 00:10:32.010 --> 00:10:34.480 who's a biostatistician and Loretta Mickley

249 00:10:34.480 --> 00:10:37.410 who is a wild
fire modeler amongst other things,

250 00:10:37.410 --> 00:10:39.500 amongst other types of air quality modeling.

 $251\ 00:10:39.500 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.470$ So I know there's a lot in this slide

 $252\ 00:10:41.470 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.210$ but let me just through some key features.

 $253\ 00:10:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.800$ So the first upper left,

 $254\ 00{:}10{:}45{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}47{.}900$ we started with forest fire emissions.

255 00:10:47.900 --> 00:10:49.640 And by we, this is Loretta Mickley

 $256\ 00{:}10{:}49.640$ --> $00{:}10{:}52.160$ who's an expert in this, and we have information

257 00:10:52.160 --> 00:10:54.590 on forest fires, on anthropogenic emissions,

258 00:10:54.590 --> 00:10:57.490 meteorological data we're using the GEOS-Chem model.

259 00:10:57.490 --> 00:10:58.760 And then as you move to the right,

260 00:10:58.760 --> 00:11:00.000 I don't know if you can see my cursor

 $261\ 00:11:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.395$ but these two orange blocks in the upper right.

 $262\ 00:11:02.395 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.050$ We have daily estimates of PM 2.5

263 00:11:04.955 --> 00:11:09.670 from all sources and from wild fire and from non fires.

264 00:11:09.670 --> 00:11:12.210 And then we can estimate the PM 2.5

265 00:11:12.210 --> 00:11:14.610 from wildfires specifically,

266 00:11:14.610 --> 00:11:17.040 This is an enormous advancement over

267 00:11:17.040 --> 00:11:19.410 some of the previous studies that look at satellite imagery

 $268\ 00:11:19.410 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.203$ and so on to really look at,

269 00:11:22.270 --> 00:11:25.440 does there appear to be a wild
fire here, yes, no.

270 00:11:25.440 --> 00:11:27.290 Here we're actually estimating

271 00:11:27.290 --> 00:11:29.570 the actual level of wildfire pollution.

272 00:11:29.570 --> 00:11:31.960 And we're able to distinguish between particles

 $273\ 00:11:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.870$ from wildfires and particles that aren't.

274 00:11:34.870 --> 00:11:37.440 In the second aim, we're linking these estimates

275 00:11:37.440 --> 00:11:41.940 to Medicare data for the United States

276 00:11:41.940 --> 00:11:44.180 or for urban areas in the United States,

277 00:11:44.180 --> 00:11:47.350 trying to see if these wild
fire smoke is associated

 $278\ 00:11:47.350 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.960$ with increase in hospitalizations

 $279\ 00:11:48.960 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.600$ and I'll show you some results later.

 $280\ 00:11:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.530$ And then in the third aim, we wanna look

281 00:11:52.530 --> 00:11:55.783 at what wild
fires might look like under a changing climate.

282 00:11:57.500 --> 00:12:01.040 So in my talks, I like to give you a little peek 283 00:12:01.040 --> 00:12:03.380 behind the curtain of what's happening in the research.

 $284\ 00:12:03.380 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.170$ So this is what I'm gonna do right now.

285 00:12:06.170 --> 00:12:07.858 Sometimes I just don't like when I do this,

286 00:12:07.858 --> 00:12:10.290 you're giving away our secrets, but let me tell you

 $287\ 00:12:10.290 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.460$ some things that happened for wildfire smoke. $288\ 00:12:12.460 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.124$ So when I first started working on wildfires,

289 00:12:15.124 --> 00:12:17.387 the wildfire modelers, including Loretta Mick-

ley

290 00:12:17.387 --> 00:12:20.580 and others kept talking about the validation of their model.

291 00:12:20.580 --> 00:12:24.070 This is what they were talking about is area burned, right?

292 00:12:24.070 --> 00:12:26.200 The models did very, very well for area burned,

293 00:12:26.200 --> 00:12:28.340 but I wasn't interesting area burned.

294 00:12:28.340 --> 00:12:30.100 I'm interested in this.

295 00:12:30.100 --> 00:12:32.710 So this is something really important for climate change

296 00:12:32.710 --> 00:12:34.490 human health research more broadly.

297 00:12:34.490 --> 00:12:37.190 And while we need interdisciplinary research,

298 00:12:37.190 --> 00:12:40.300 it's not good enough to just say my model was validated,

 $299 \ 00:12:40.300 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.210$ validated for what?

 $300\ 00:12:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.400$ Validated to accurately estimate area burned,

301 00:12:45.400 --> 00:12:48.150 doesn't mean you're getting accurate estimates of smoke

 $302\ 00:12:49.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.300$ thousands of kilometers away.

303 00:12:51.300 --> 00:12:54.590 Alright, Loretta Mickley who's my favorite wildfire modler,

 $304\ 00:12:54.590 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.423$ one of my favorites.

 $305\ 00:12:55.423 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.030$ She got this very, very quickly.

 $306\ 00:12:57.030 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.970$ And so she went and did some validation

 $307\ 00:12:58.970 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.240$ of the wildfire smoke and we found

 $308\ 00:13:01.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.253$ that the models work well for that too.

309 00:13:05.360 --> 00:13:07.690 Next, I wanna highlight some of the research we've done

310 00:13:07.690 --> 00:13:09.930 looking at wildfire PM 2.5.

311 00:13:09.930 --> 00:13:13.010 So these are small particles fine particles in health.

 $312\ 00:13:13.010 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.700$ In the photo there is a one of

 $313\ 00:13:14.700 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.390$ the lead researchers for this work.

314 00:13:16.390 --> 00:13:19.093 She's a former PhD student Coco Liu.

315 00:13:20.380 --> 00:13:23.340 And so in this research, we had several challenges

 $316\ 00:13:23.340 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.180$ and I wanna highlight two of the key challenges

317 00:13:25.180 --> 00:13:27.510 to give you again a little peek behind the curtain.

318 00:13:27.510 --> 00:13:29.850 The first one is we really were interested

319 00:13:29.850 --> 00:13:34.620 in estimating wild
fire smoke, the PM 2.5 from wild
fires

320 00:13:34.620 --> 00:13:37.410 not just PM 2.5 during a wildfire.

321 00:13:37.410 --> 00:13:40.593 And we use that with our wildfire modeling and GEOS-Chem.

322 00:13:41.540 --> 00:13:44.090 The second challenge is one that we didn't really

323 00:13:44.090 --> 00:13:48.130 anticipate is that the day-to-day structure

 $324\ 00:13:48.130 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.080$ of how wildfire smoke varies in concentration

 $325\ 00:13:52.080 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.380$ is very different from other pollutants.

 $326\ 00:13:54.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.460$ So you can think of ozone is kind of low,

327 00:13:56.460 --> 00:13:58.370 it's high in summer, and it comes back down

 $328\ 00:13:58.370 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.990$ or it has a diurnal pattern

 $329\ 00:13:59.990 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.230$ and particles kind of do like this.

330 00:14:02.230 --> 00:14:03.920 Wildfires it's radically different.

331 00:14:03.920 --> 00:14:06.190 It's nothing, nothing, nothing crazy, crazy high,

332 00:14:06.190 --> 00:14:08.200 nothing nothing, nothing.

333 00:14:08.200 --> 00:14:12.170 So the traditional air pollution by statistical models

 $334\ 00:14:12.170 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.290$ to look at air pollution didn't function as well.

335 00:14:16.290 --> 00:14:18.560 So what we did and actually Coco

336 00:14:18.560 --> 00:14:21.230 came up with this new concept called a smoke weight.

 $337\ 00:14:21.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.350$ It's really analogous to a heat wave.

338 00:14:23.350 --> 00:14:28.220 So it's a series of contiguous days that have a high level

339 00:14:28.220 --> 00:14:32.080 of PM 2.5 specifically from wildfires.

340 00:14:32.080 --> 00:14:35.550 And we use this to characterize wild fire pollution episodes

341 00:14:35.550 --> 00:14:37.450 and compare the risk of different health events

 $342\ 00:14:37.450 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.450$ during that episode to non wildfire episodes

343 00:14:41.450 --> 00:14:44.420 that were not right up against that wild fire episode.

344 $00{:}14{:}44{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}47{.}530$ So we used a variety of different definitions

345 00:14:47.530 --> 00:14:48.970 to categorize the smoke wave.

346 00:14:48.970 --> 00:14:50.400 I'm gonna show you some results,

347 00:14:50.400 --> 00:14:53.160 but you could really think of it too similar to a heat wave

 $348\ 00:14:53.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.830$ where you might have a heat wave defined

349 00:14:54.830 --> 00:14:58.070 as two or more consecutive days with temperature

350 00:14:58.070 --> 00:15:00.060 over the 95th percentile, or you could have three

 $351\ 00:15:00.060 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.090$ or more consecutive days,

 $352\ 00:15:01.090 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.523$ or you could use the 96 percentile and so on.

353 00:15:06.220 --> 00:15:08.000 So here's some of our results

 $354\ 00:15:08.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.700$ were published a few years ago.

 $355\ 00:15:09.700 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.540$ For this particular result I'm showing you

356 00:15:11.540 --> 00:15:13.540 it's a smoke web definition of two or more days

 $357\ 00:15:13.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.050$ with wildfire specific PM 2.5 greater than 37.

 $358\ 00:15:17.050 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.750$ That should be microgram per cubic meter.

359 00:15:18.750 --> 00:15:22.550 That's a typo, I'll fix it later, my apologies.

360 00:15:22.550 --> 00:15:27.210 And so what we've found or Coco's paper is that there was

361 00:15:27.210 --> 00:15:30.810 over 7% increase in respiratory hospital admissions

 $362\ 00{:}15{:}30{.}810$ --> $00{:}15{:}33{.}470$ for people 65 and older in the United States.

363 00:15:33.470 --> 00:15:35.110 Let's just the Western United States

364 00:15:35.110 --> 00:15:38.670 during smoke waves compared to non-smoker wave events.

 $365\ 00:15:38.670 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.410$ I wanna highlight another point here

 $366\ 00:15:40.410 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.800$ about epidemiological public health research.

367 00:15:42.800 --> 00:15:45.130 Sometimes when I'm talking to decision makers

 $368\ 00:15:45.130 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.000$ and people in Congress and so on,

369 00:15:47.000 --> 00:15:49.470 7% might seem like a big number to them

 $370\ 00:15:49.470 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.800$ or it might seem like a small number to them.

 $371\ 00:15:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.260$ And my students know that I caution us

 $372\ 00:15:54.260 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.550$ against using words like only 72.2% or trying $373\ 00:15:58.550 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.750$ to make the number sounded bigger, sound small.

374 00:16:00.750 --> 00:16:03.100 I prefer to let the numbers speak for themselves.

375 00:16:03.100 --> 00:16:06.440 I do wanna point out that this number,

376 00:16:06.440 --> 00:16:11.230 the 7.2% increase is on every body exposed to the event.

 $377\ 00:16:11.230 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.670$ So a 7% increase that only affected 100 people $378\ 00:16:14.670 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.260$ might have one public health burden,

 $379\ 00:16:16.260 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.440$ but a 7% increase that affects huge swaths

380 00:16:20.440 --> 00:16:22.750 of population in the Western United States

381 00:16:22.750 --> 00:16:25.023 is in much much larger public health burden.

382 00:16:27.260 --> 00:16:29.490 And next I wanna share with you some results 383 00:16:29.490 --> 00:16:32.250 where we looked at different types of smoke waves

384 00:16:32.250 --> 00:16:36.990 looking at intensity and also timing.

 $385\ 00:16:36.990 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.740$ So let's just look at the left-hand side.

 $386\ 00:16:38.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.930$ So this is again, the percent increase

 $387\ 00:16:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.110$ of respiratory hospitalizations in this case,

388 00:16:45.110 --> 00:16:46.480 looking at smoke wave intensity.

389 00:16:46.480 --> 00:16:48.960 And what you find here is that with as you move

 $390\ 00:16:48.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.420$ to the right-hand side of that left panel,

 $391\ 00:16:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.433$ the effect estimates go up.

392 00:16:52.433 --> 00:16:55.520 What this means is is that when smoke waves are more intense

393 00:16:55.520 --> 00:16:58.640 by which I mean a wild
fire with higher levels of pollution,

 $394\ 00:16:58.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.950$ the risk goes up and you see a very clear trend.

 $395\ 00:17:00.950 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.450$ And that makes a lot of sense.

396 00:17:03.780 --> 00:17:04.800 On the right-hand side,

397 00:17:04.800 --> 00:17:08.220 I'm looking at the days within the smoke wave.

398 00:17:08.220 --> 00:17:10.520 Again, this is really kind of analogous to a heat wave.

399 00:17:10.520 --> 00:17:13.250 So the first and second day is not where we see

 $400\ 00:17:13.250 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.790$ the largest health impact.

 $401\ 00:17:14.790 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.700$ It was really on a week,

402 00:17:17.700 --> 00:17:20.030 but really the third to seven
th day of the week.

 $403\ 00:17:20.030 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.430$ And then the effect went down later.

404 00:17:21.430 --> 00:17:23.610 So there's really some interesting things that we need

405 00:17:23.610 --> 00:17:26.980 to start thinking about for when in a smoke wave

406 00:17:26.980 --> 00:17:30.190 to the highest health impacts for respiratory causes occur.

407 00:17:30.190 --> 00:17:32.440 You can imagine this would be really critically important

 $408\ 00:17:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.750$ if you were trying to do some type

 $409\ 00:17:33.750 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.250$ of public health intervention.

410 00:17:38.840 --> 00:17:42.590 Now, let's take these estimates of wild fire smoke

 $411\ 00:17:42.590 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.840$ and start thinking about them

 $412\ 00:17:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.440$ in the context of climate change.

 $413\ 00:17:45.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.610$ And here again, I wanna give you another

414 00:17:47.610 --> 00:17:48.900 little peek behind the curtain.

 $415\ 00:17:48.900 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.290$ So we generated this map at the County level

 $416\ 00:17:52.290 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.780$ showing changes in different smoke

417 $00:17:53.780 \rightarrow 00:17:56.080$ with characteristics under climate change.

418 00:17:56.080 --> 00:17:57.810 This is what I call a middle

 $419\ 00:17:57.810 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.500$ of the road climate change policy.

 $420\ 00:17:59.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.320$ So it's not everybody goes crazy

421 00:18:02.320 --> 00:18:03.720 lowering greenhouse gas emissions

 $422\ 00:18:03.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.590$ and it's not everybody goes crazy

 $423\ 00:18:05.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.760$ raising greenhouse gas emissions.

 $424\ 00:18:06.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.230$ It's a middle of the road scenario.

 $425\ 00:18:09.230 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.670$ And we're looking at the change in the number

426 00:18:11.670 --> 00:18:16.420 of smoke waves from 2046 2051 representing the future.

 $427\ 00:18:16.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.310$ And this is really only for the fire season,

 $428\ 00:18:18.310 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.300$ to 2004 to 2009 representing the current day.

429 00:18:22.300 --> 00:18:23.610 And everything I'm showing you today

 $430\ 00:18:23.610 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.370$ is using state-of-the-art models.

 $431\ 00:18:25.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.950$ There's no reduced four models here.

432 00:18:27.950 --> 00:18:31.410 And what I want to just know before he went to the details

 $433\ 00:18:31.410 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.770$ of this map is that we generated

 $434\ 00:18:33.770 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.210$ an online version of this map,

 $435\;00{:}18{:}35{.}210$ --> $00{:}18{:}38{.}510$ where you can click on your County or County of interests

 $436\ 00:18:38.510 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.780$ and look at different features.

 $437\ 00:18:39.780 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.570$ Look at the demographics of that County,

438 00:18:41.570 --> 00:18:44.870 look at the anticipated future demographics of the County,

439 00:18:44.870 --> 00:18:47.120 look at different features of a smoke wave and so on.

440 00:18:47.120 --> 00:18:50.260 And we spend a lot of time developing this map.

441 00:18:50.260 --> 00:18:52.060 And then we just hired a Yale undergraduate

 $442\ 00:18:52.060 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.150$ who did it in like a weekend, super quick.

443 00:18:56.150 --> 00:18:58.250 And then I asked him,

444 00:18:58.250 --> 00:19:00.070 can I hire you to do some more work?

445 00:19:00.070 --> 00:19:02.370 And he was like, no, I'm going to work at Google.

 $446\ 00:19:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.770$ So we lost our great map builder.

447 00:19:04.770 --> 00:19:08.200 But I think that my point I'm trying to make here

448 00:19:08.200 --> 00:19:10.330 is that this type of interactive map

 $449\ 00:19:10.330 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.790$ or some way are really helping get the results

 $450\ 00{:}19{:}13.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}18.210$ to a digestible usable format for decision makers

 $451\ 00{:}19{:}18{.}210$ --> $00{:}19{:}20{.}870$ in the general public is really critically important.

 $452\ 00:19:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.410$ And in some cases, it's very difficult.

453 00:19:23.410 --> 00:19:26.940 And in some cases there might be some easier solutions

 $454\ 00:19:26.940 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.773$ than we had thought of.

455 00:19:27.773 --> 00:19:31.470 Like in my case, hiring a undergrad computer genius

 $456\ 00:19:31.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.520$ to do it so that we didn't do it.

457 00:19:33.520 --> 00:19:35.760 So we have this map and we're looking at the difference

 $458\ 00:19:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.150$ in the number of smoke waves.

459 00:19:37.150 --> 00:19:39.320 And in green that shows that those counties 460 00:19:39.320 --> 00:19:42.890 will have fewer smoke waves in the future than they do now.

 $461\ 00:19:42.890 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.460$ And then going up to red where they

 $462\ 00:19:44.460 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.320$ have many, many more smoke waves.

463 00:19:46.320 --> 00:19:48.530 And we're gonna look at some other maps as well.

 $464\ 00:19:48.530 -> 00:19:51.380$ And I want you to look at whatever section

465 00:19:51.380 --> 00:19:53.500 is of interest to you, but perhaps draw your attention

466 00:19:53.500 --> 00:19:55.270 to Northern California.

 $467\ 00:19:55.270 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.660$ So we see there that there's really

468 00:19:57.660 --> 00:19:59.770 either goes down for the number of smoke waves

 $469\ 00:19:59.770 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.410$ or perhaps it goes up a little bit,

470 00:20:01.410 --> 00:20:03.750 we don't have a whole lot in Northern California.

 $471\ 00:20:03.750 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.940$ I'm just using this as an example.

472 00:20:04.940 --> 00:20:05.773 In Northern California

 $473\ 00:20:05.773 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.660$ where we go to this really high level,

474 00:20:09.240 \rightarrow 00:20:11.030 but maybe those smoke waves last longer.

 $475\ 00:20:11.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.290$ So this is looking at the difference

 $476\ 00:20:12.290$ --> 00:20:14.410 in the length of smoke ways where the previous one

477 00:20:14.410 --> 00:20:16.280 looked at the number of smoke waves.

478 00:20:16.280 --> 00:20:18.540 And here we see a different picture

479 00:20:18.540 \rightarrow 00:20:20.980 where we see again in Northern California,

 $480\ 00:20:20.980 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.040$ the smoke waves are not lasting as long.

481 00:20:23.040 --> 00:20:24.560 They're gonna be shorter, but for many

482 00:20:24.560 --> 00:20:27.840 many parts of the Western United States, in Colorado,

483 00:20:27.840 --> 00:20:31.170 Washington, Montana, Idaho and central California,

 $484\ 00:20:31.170 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.530$ the smoke waves are anticipated to last longer.

 $485\ 00:20:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.140$ And then finally looking at the intensity.

 $486\ 00:20:37.140 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.050$ So this is how much pollution is

487 00:20:39.050 \rightarrow 00:20:42.517 being generated by all these wildfires.

488 00:20:42.517 --> 00:20:45.450 And here, if we'd been looking at Northern California,

 $489\ 00:20:45.450 \rightarrow 00:20:48.210$ we see that there really is a dramatic increase

490 00:20:48.210 --> 00:20:51.060 with Northern California having much more pollution

 $491\ 00:20:51.060 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.060$ from wildfires than they did previously.

492 00:20:54.060 --> 00:20:56.750 So another reason I wanted to show these maps is to show

493 00:20:56.750 --> 00:20:59.890 that these different characteristics of smoke waves

494 00:20:59.890 --> 00:21:03.980 or air pollution from wild
fires, what metric you use

495 00:21:03.980 --> 00:21:05.720 in environmental health research more broadly

496 00:21:05.720 --> 00:21:10.720 can really dictate what the impression is to policy makers.

497 00:21:11.690 --> 00:21:14.105 And again, the false impression could happen innocently

498 00:21:14.105 --> 00:21:15.730 or it can happen on purpose

499 00:21:15.730 --> 00:21:18.570 but you could imagine someone looking at this map

 $500\ 00:21:18.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.760$ and just saying, smoke waves are gonna last

501 00:21:20.760 --> 00:21:23.180 a shorter period of time in Northern California.

502 00:21:23.180 --> 00:21:25.010 So perhaps doesn't look like a big problem

 $503\ 00:21:25.010 \rightarrow 00:21:27.350$ but really things are much more complex.

504 00:21:27.350 --> 00:21:30.440 And overall, our results found that under climate change

 $505\ 00:21:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.670$ we anticipate the wildfires to occur more often,

 $506\ 00:21:33.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.660$ we anticipate them to last longer

 $507\ 00:21:35.660 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.623$ and we anticipate them to burn hotter.

 $508\ 00{:}21{:}39.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}43.150$ I wanna raise the issue of environmental justice

 $509~00{:}21{:}43.150$ --> $00{:}21{:}46.480$ which I'm using in the framework that environmental justice

 $510\ 00:21:46.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.140$ is the concept and the reality

 $511\ 00:21:49.140 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.990$ that certain subpopulations suffer

 $512\ 00:21:50.990 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.760$ a disproportionate public health burden

 $513\ 00:21:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.610$ from environmental conditions.

514 00:21:54.610 --> 00:21:55.900 And I wanna talk about this

515 00:21:55.900 --> 00:21:59.590 in the context of wild
fires under a changing climate.

516 00:21:59.590 --> 00:22:01.960 And again, Loretta Mickley was our wildcard modeler

 $517\ 00:22:01.960 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.800$ on this project you see there.

 $518\ 00:22:03.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.640$ And then the other photo is Lucio Woo

 $519\ 00:22:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.200$ who's a former master student.

520 00:22:07.200 --> 00:22:08.770 She's graduated master's student

521 00:22:08.770 \rightarrow 00:22:10.030 from the Yale School of the Environment.

522 00:22:10.030 --> 00:22:13.050 And this was part of her master's research project.

523 00:22:13.050 --> 00:22:14.223 She did an amazing job.

524 00:22:15.150 --> 00:22:18.130 And so Lucio was interested in estimating

525 00:22:18.130 --> 00:22:21.460 what wildfire smoke looked like in Alaska.

526 00:22:21.460 --> 00:22:23.760 And so just to show you what that looked like,

527 00:22:24.850 --> 00:22:27.770 there's a map showing that we see an increase

528 00:22:27.770 --> 00:22:30.950 in smoke from PM 2.5 from wild fires

529 00:22:30.950 --> 00:22:33.540 by the 2050s compared to the present day.

530 00:22:33.540 --> 00:22:36.870 But we also see a very distinct geographical pattern

531 00:22:36.870 --> 00:22:39.757 where some parts of Alaska see a very small increase

532 00:22:39.757 --> 00:22:42.023 and some parts see a larger increase.

533 00:22:43.370 --> 00:22:47.110 Well, Lucio was also interested in thinking about

534 00:22:47.110 --> 00:22:50.770 which populations we're going to experience this increase.

535 00:22:50.770 --> 00:22:53.670 And she presented her work at a conference and meeting

536 00:22:53.670 --> 00:22:56.740 that involved many native American tribes in Alaska.

537 00:22:56.740 --> 00:22:59.910 And they gave her guidance on how to define

538 00:22:59.910 --> 00:23:02.350 the native American tribes for her research.

539 00:23:02.350 --> 00:23:07.240 So we followed their guidance, their self definitions

 $540\ 00:23:07.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.730$ of how they wanted this work done.

541 00:23:09.730 $\rightarrow 00:23:11.550$ And one of the things that Lucio notice is

542 00:23:11.550 --> 00:23:16.010 that the Alaskan Athabaskan tribe was really concentrated

543 00:23:16.010 --> 00:23:17.850 in certain parts of Alaska.

 $544\ 00:23:17.850 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.950$ And you see there that six to 13%.

 $545\ 00:23:20.950 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.080$ And here what we're plotting here

546 00:23:23.080 $\rightarrow 00:23:24.660$ are different native American tribes.

547 00:23:24.660 --> 00:23:29.330 Each tribe is a different color showing you the change

548 00:23:29.330 --> 00:23:33.040 or the smoke PM 2.5 exposure going

 $549\ 00:23:33.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.700$ from May to September,

550 00:23:34.700 --> 00:23:38.160 and is a function of where the tribes are located

551 00:23:38.160 $\rightarrow 00:23:40.640$ and where we anticipate wildfire smoke.

552 00:23:40.640 --> 00:23:43.050 You can see that this one tribe is really

553 00:23:43.050 --> 00:23:45.020 suffering a disproportionate burden

554 $00{:}23{:}45{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}48{.}030$ of this anticipated increase in wild fire smoke

555 00:23:48.030 --> 00:23:49.830 under a changing climate.

556 00:23:49.830 --> 00:23:52.880 So large areas of Alaska would be anticipated

557 00:23:52.880 --> 00:23:55.070 to experience a double or tripling

 $558\ 00:23:55.070 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.500$ of monthly smoke exposure.

559 00:23:56.500 --> 00:24:00.500 So this is air pollution from wild fires by the 2050s,

 $560\ 00:24:00.500 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.080$ but there are very strong implications

561 $00:24:02.080 \rightarrow 00:24:03.920$ for indigenous people where these effects

 $562\ 00:24:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.280$ will not be experienced uniformly

563 00:24:07.280 --> 00:24:09.423 even across indigenous people in Alaska.

 $564\ 00:24:12.015 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.050$ All right, next I wanna share

 $565\ 00:24:13.050 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.650$ with you some ongoing and planned research

 $566\ 00:24:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.900$ that we have for the future related

567 00:24:17.900 --> 00:24:21.280 to wild
fires and human health and climate change.

568 00:24:21.280 --> 00:24:23.950 And I put this figure here just 'cause I think it's neat.

569 00:24:23.950 --> 00:24:27.380 So anyone guess where my project is gonna be?

570 00:24:27.380 --> 00:24:29.307 You all know it's Australia (chuckles).

571 00:24:30.550 $\rightarrow 00:24:33.240$ So let me just start with these two projects

 $572\ 00{:}24{:}33{.}240$ --> $00{:}24{:}35{.}530$ that we have one ongoing and one's about to get started.

573 00:24:35.530 --> 00:24:38.540 So on the left, there's a project led by Yuming Guo.

574 00:24:38.540 --> 00:24:40.844 His photo is the far left of that photo

575 00:24:40.844 --> 00:24:43.880 right at the bottom, his photo is kind of in the middle.

576 00:24:43.880 --> 00:24:47.100 And Yuming is leading a project with many researchers

577 00:24:47.100 --> 00:24:49.680 around the world, including me and many, many others,

 $578\ 00:24:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.660$ but we're looking at wildfires and air pollution

579 00:24:51.660 --> 00:24:54.780 in relation to a variety of human health end points.

580 00:24:54.780 --> 00:24:57.570 And this was sponsored by the Australian Research Council.

581 00:24:57.570 --> 00:24:58.745 So some of the advantages of this project

 $582\ 00:24:58.745 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.560$ is we're really trying to go global

 $583~00{:}25{:}01.560$ --> $00{:}25{:}04.620$ and look at wild fires in different parts of the world.

584 $00{:}25{:}04{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}07{.}050$ And then the second project which is planned

585 $00{:}25{:}07{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}09{.}530$ and we hope to start out into this summer

 $586\ 00:25:09.530 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.700$ is looking at bushfires

 $587\ 00:25:10.700 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.837$ which is the Australian term for wildfires,

588 00:25:12.837 --> 00:25:16.320 and air pollution and risk of birth outcomes in Australia.

 $589\ 00:25:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.090$ And then I've listed the names there

590 00:25:18.090 --> 00:25:21.600 of the different collaborators, including Josh Warren

591 00:25:21.600 --> 00:25:23.990 who's a biostatistician here at Yale,

592 00:25:23.990 --> 00:25:27.830 and then several collaborators from Australia 593 00:25:27.830 --> 00:25:30.810 from multiple three different universities in Australia.

 $594\ 00:25:30.810 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.120$ And Yuming is in the middle there

 $595\ 00:25:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.990$ because he's in both projects.

596 00:25:33.990 --> 00:25:36.720 And so for this project, as you all know,

597 00:25:36.720 --> 00:25:41.660 Australia experienced devastating wild fires in recent years.

598 00:25:41.660 --> 00:25:43.610 And for this project, what we're really interested

599 00:25:43.610 --> 00:25:46.920 in looking at is how mothers exposure to air pollution

600 00:25:46.920 --> 00:25:49.090 from wildfires during pregnancy

601 00:25:49.090 --> 00:25:51.380 impacts risks of adverse birth outcomes,

 $602\ 00{:}25{:}51{.}380$ --> $00{:}25{:}54{.}240$ looking at things like low birth weight, preterm birth

 $603\ 00:25:54.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.040$ and a variety of other adverse birth outcomes.

 $604\ 00:25:58.040 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.870$ And we will be able in this project,

60500:25:59.870 --> 00:26:03.180 we plan I should say, we plan to also look at differences

60600:26:03.180 --> 00:26:05.690 for the indigenous population as compared

 $607\ 00:26:05.690 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.910$ to the general population overall,

60800:26:07.910 --> 00:26:11.630 and also to look at differences by socioeconomic position

 $609\ 00{:}26{:}11.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}15.450$ and other types of factors that could be effect modifiers

 $610\ 00{:}26{:}15{.}450$ --> $00{:}26{:}19{.}260$ and mean that some subpopulations might respond differently.

611 00:26:19.260 --> 00:26:21.980 So I wanna highlight here again, the earlier work I showed

612 00:26:21.980 --> 00:26:24.300 from Alaska with Lucio Woo was looking

 $613\ 00{:}26{:}24.300$ --> $00{:}26{:}27.050$ at environmental justice with relation to exposure.

614 00:26:27.050 --> 00:26:29.610 In this work, we're also looking at environmental justice

 $615\ 00{:}26{:}29{.}610$ --> $00{:}26{:}32{.}560$ in relation to response to a given health outcome.

 $616\ 00:26:32.560 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.240$ So they're really multiple pathways

 $617\ 00:26:34.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.760$ through which some populations could have

 $618\ 00:26:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.263$ a disproportionate burden.

 $619\ 00:26:38.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.750$ Given the sponsor of the seminar,

620 00:26:40.750 --> 00:26:43.890 I wanna talk a little bit more about some

621 00:26:43.890 --> 00:26:46.050 our ongoing work looking at air pollution

 $622\ 00:26:46.050 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.400$ health and climate change.

623 00:26:47.400 --> 00:26:49.240 This work is not wildfire specific

62400:26:49.240 --> 00:26:53.070 although, we may look at wildfires in here as well

 $625\ 00:26:53.070 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.310$ but this is work that was funded

62600:26:54.310 --> 00:26:56.940 by the Welcome Trust Institute that has Yuqjang Zang

627 00:26:56.940 --> 00:26:58.870 and Northeastern University.

 $628\ 00:26:58.870 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.030$ And then you'll see our collaborators

 $629\ 00:27:01.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.730$ from multiple universities in Brazil as well.

630 00:27:03.730 --> 00:27:06.280 And here we're really focusing on two major cities,

631 00:27:06.280 --> 00:27:09.270 San Paulo and Rio de Janeiro.

63200:27:09.270 $-\!\!>$ 00:27:11.730 And these slides are kind of wordy so I apologize.

 $633\ 00:27:11.730 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.500$ But I'm gonna walk through our ongoing work $634\ 00:27:14.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.740$ and our plans for that.

635 00:27:15.740 --> 00:27:18.227 So we're gonna use state of the science air quality

 $636\ 00:27:18.227 \rightarrow 00:27:20.680$ and climate change modeling to look at what

 $637\ 00:27:20.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.390$ different types of air pollutants might look

 $638\ 00:27:22.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.200$ like in the future for these cities.

 $639~00{:}27{:}24.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26.310$ And for those of you who work with air quality modeling,

640 00:27:26.310 --> 00:27:29.520 that's our proposed triple nested modeling domain.

 $641\ 00:27:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.853$ For those of you who don't work with that,

642 00:27:30.853 --> 00:27:32.290 what that means is we start

643 00:27:32.290 --> 00:27:35.090 off with a coarser spatial resolution domain

644 00:27:35.090 --> 00:27:37.790 and then use the results from that modeling brand

 $645\ 00:27:37.790 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.410$ as the inputs and boundary conditions

646 00:27:39.410 --> 00:27:41.160 of a smaller domain and so on.

647 00:27:41.160 --> 00:27:43.100 And this is a technique that's been used for a very

64800:27:43.100 --> 00:27:46.580 long time to get higher spatial resolution of estimates.

649 00:27:46.580 --> 00:27:49.890 We couldn't computationally run the high spatial resolution

 $650\ 00:27:49.890 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.460$ for the whole country of Brazil, for example.

651 00:27:52.460 --> 00:27:54.710 So just to summarize, we really wanna understand

 $652\ 00{:}27{:}54.710$ --> $00{:}27{:}56.803$ air pollution and weather today and in the future.

653 00:27:56.803 --> 00:27:59.550 Whether the levels of air pollution and weather

65400:27:59.550 --> 00:28:02.110 throughout these cities today will have

655 00:28:02.110 --> 00:28:05.390 very high spatial resolved estimates

65600:28:05.390 --> 00:28:07.230 beyond what we could get from monitors.

657 00:28:07.230 --> 00:28:09.330 And we'll also know what they're anticipated to look

65800:28:09.330 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:28:11.730 like in the future for air pollution or climate.

 $659\ 00{:}28{:}12.770$ --> $00{:}28{:}15.810$ Next, we wanna link those exposures to human health.

660 00:28:15.810 --> 00:28:17.403 And we're looking at mortality.

661 00:28:18.520 --> 00:28:22.110 Brazil as many of know is suffered greatly

66200:28:22.110 --> 00:28:25.750 under the pandemic, and so we have had some struggles

 $663\ 00{:}28{:}25.750$ --> $00{:}28{:}27.530$ getting the health data from the government.

 $664~00{:}28{:}27.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}30.350$ This is not a criticism of them because they're busy.

66500:28:30.350 --> 00:28:33.100 So the public health departments in Brazil

666 00:28:33.100 --> 00:28:35.580 are very busy dealing with more pressing issues.

 $667\ 00:28:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.890$ So that has slowed us down,

 $668\ 00:28:36.890 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.370$ but we still are getting mortality data

669 00:28:39.370 --> 00:28:40.780 for one of our two cities

 $670\ 00:28:40.780 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.195$ and we'll hopefully get the other city soon.

671 00:28:43.195 --> 00:28:46.150 So we really wanna look at how mortality changes

 $672\ 00{:}28{:}46{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}49{.}300$ from air pollution, heat waves, and also single days

673 00:28:49.300 --> 00:28:52.580 of heat and cold under the current climate,

 $674\ 00:28:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.010$ and then we'll estimate what

67500:28:54.010 --> 00:28:56.820 those concentration response functions

676 00:28:56.820 --> 00:28:59.400 or exposure response functions might imply under

 $677\ 00{:}28{:}59{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}02{.}040$ future conditions where we've estimated those exposure.

67800:29:02.040 --> 00:29:05.360 So we're linking air pollution or weather and health today,

 $679\ 00:29:05.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.710$ and then we're using this estimates

 $680\ 00:29:06.710 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.210$ to look at this in the future.

681 00:29:09.730 --> 00:29:11.740 Just another point I wanna make

682 00:29:11.740 --> 00:29:14.380 is I'm kind of peppering this talk with some

 $683\ 00{:}29{:}14.380$ --> $00{:}29{:}17.280$ of my thoughts on climate change research in general.

 $684\ 00:29:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.400$ I believe that it is critically important

685 00:29:19.400 --> 00:29:21.990 to understand these systems in the present day,

 $686\ 00:29:21.990 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.300$ before we start estimating what they look

 $687 \ 00{:}29{:}24.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}25.230$ like in the future.

688 00:29:25.230 --> 00:29:28.960 So for example, I would like to know how people respond

 $689\ 00:29:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.140$ to heat waves in the present day,

 $690\ 00:29:30.140 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.890$ before we start estimating how people

 $691\ 00:29:31.890 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.610$ will respond to heat waves in the future.

 $692 \ 00:29:33.610 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.290$ This is not a universally shared position

693 00:29:36.290 --> 00:29:38.240 amongst all climate change researchers,

 $694\ 00:29:38.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.240$ climate change and health researchers.

695~00:29:40.240 --> 00:29:42.940 And then there's two more tasks of this work 696~00:29:42.940 --> 00:29:44.950 I wanna share with you that are really exciting.

 $697\ 00:29:44.950 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.690$ One is looking at sector specific simulations.

698 00:29:47.690 --> 00:29:49.870 So by this, I just mean that we're gonna be able

699 00:29:49.870 --> 00:29:53.550 to distinguish between the air pollution coming from traffic

 $700\ 00:29:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.560$ the air pollution coming from industry,

701 $00:29:55.560 \rightarrow 00:29:57.570$ the air pollution coming from other sources.

702 00:29:57.570 --> 00:30:02.570 And so we'll be able to discuss and evaluate which types

703 00:30:02.630 --> 00:30:06.380 of sources of air pollution are more or less harmful.

 $704\;00{:}30{:}06{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}08{.}500$ And this relates to the comment I made earlier

705 00:30:08.500 --> 00:30:10.610 about wild
fire particles could potentially

706 00:30:10.610 --> 00:30:13.680 be more or less harmful than other types of particles

707 00:30:13.680 --> 00:30:14.700 given the different source

 $708\ 00:30:14.700 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.550$ and the different chemical structure.

709 00:30:16.550 --> 00:30:19.350 So here just to summarize what sources are most harmful.

 $710\ 00:30:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.430$ And then finally, we wanna look at co-benefits.

 $711\ 00:30:23.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.820$ So we're gonna analyze what would be

712 00:30:25.820 --> 00:30:28.720 some potential greenhouse gas emission policies

713 00:30:28.720 --> 00:30:31.870 that could take place today, and what would be their impact

 $714\ 00:30:31.870 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.300$ on not greenhouse gas emissions

 $715\ 00:30:34.300 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.770$ but on air quality in the short-term.

716 00:30:36.770 - 00:30:39.790 So if we had something that, for example

 $717\ 00:30:39.790 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.470$ change transportation patterns

718 00:30:41.470 --> 00:30:43.460 or increased public transportation

719 00:30:43.460 --> 00:30:45.550 that might be a policy for greenhouse gas emissions

720 00:30:45.550 --> 00:30:48.850 but it would also likely lower particulate matter

721 00:30:48.850 --> 00:30:51.570 ozone, carbon monoxide and other pollutants 722 00:30:51.570 --> 00:30:55.460 in the near term, unlike the one to 10 year timeframe.

723 00:30:55.460 --> 00:30:59.440 So this term is really most accurately called co-impacts

 $724\ 00:30:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.500$ but it's commonly called co-benefits

 $725\ 00:31:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.727$ because they tend to be positive benefits.

726 00:31:03.727 --> 00:31:05.630 And so we really wanna estimate what are the

727 00:31:05.630 --> 00:31:09.250 short-term improvements in air quality from climate change.

728 00:31:09.250 --> 00:31:11.710 So next, I've allowed a lot of time for questions.

729 00:31:11.710 --> 00:31:12.760 I hope many of you are coming

 $730\ 00:31:12.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.982$ up with some questions or comments,

731 00:31:14.982 --> 00:31:18.460 but I just wanna give a few more just concluding thoughts.

 $732\ 00:31:18.460 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.993$ I just have a few more slides.

733 $00:31:21.090 \rightarrow 00:31:22.870$ So these are just some summary of the points

 $734\ 00:31:22.870 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.950$ that I tried to make today

 $735\ 00:31:23.950 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.240$ that they're multiple complex pathways

736 00:31:26.240 --> 00:31:29.570 through which climate change and wild fires interact.

737 00:31:29.570 --> 00:31:32.390 There's changes in the type of fuel,

738 00:31:32.390 --> 00:31:36.400 so that's what the wildfire modelers call trees is fuel.

739 00:31:36.400 --> 00:31:39.150 So there's changes in the type of fuel that could happen

740 00:31:39.150 --> 00:31:40.850 due to climate precipitation,

741 00:31:40.850 --> 00:31:43.600 as well as our anthropogenic management of forest,

 $742\ 00:31:43.600 - 00:31:45.230$ there's changes in the overall warming,

743 00:31:45.230 --> 00:31:46.390 there's changes in drought.

 $744\ 00:31:46.390 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.830$ It's really quite complex.

745 00:31:48.830 --> 00:31:52.350 And so we really take these estimates of wild-fires

746 $00{:}31{:}52{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56{.}040$ in the future as kind of an overall estimate.

747 00:31:56.040 --> 00:31:58.190 We wouldn't look at like, what we think is gonna happen

748 00:31:58.190 --> 00:32:00.763 on July 5th, 2051 or something like that.

749 00:32:01.800 --> 00:32:04.640 But the state of the science models from Loretta Mickley

 $750\ 00:32:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.280$ and others show that wildfires are increasing

 $751\ 00:32:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.420$ in frequency, duration, and intensity.

752 00:32:09.420 --> 00:32:12.410 You'll notice, I didn't say is anticipated to increase.

 $753\ 00:32:12.410 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.630$ So that was done deliberately.

 $754\ 00:32:13.630 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.760$ So it was believed that the wildfires are already

 $755\ 00:32:17.760 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.020$ due to climate change increasing in frequency,

756 00:32:20.020 --> 00:32:22.990 we're having more wild
fires, they're overall lasting longer

 $757\ 00:32:22.990 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.803$ and they're overall burning hotter.

758 00:32:25.850 --> 00:32:28.700 I wanna re-emphasize the point that exposure patterns

 $759\ 00:32:28.700 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.980$ due to where people live and where these

 $760\ 00:32:33.662 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.230$ smokes with fire takes place,

761 00:32:35.230 --> 00:32:37.850 the some populations are particularly vulnerable.

762 00:32:37.850 --> 00:32:40.110 Populations can also be vulnerable in other ways.

763 00:32:40.110 --> 00:32:42.850 One is one group might have a higher health response

 $764\ 00:32:42.850 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.130$ to exposure from smoke than others.

765 00:32:45.130 --> 00:32:48.160 Another is people might have different capacity to mitigate

 $766\ 00:32:48.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.550$ or adapt to these conditions like

 $767\ 00:32:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.190$ who can afford to move,

768 00:32:52.190 --> 00:32:54.560 who can afford filtration systems and so on.

 $769\ 00:32:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.240$ So there's really a lot of complex

 $770\ 00:32:57.240$ --> 00:33:01.293 interesting aspects of vulnerability for wild fire smoke.

771 00:33:02.760 --> 00:33:04.840 And even though, as I showed in that review article,

772 00:33:04.840 --> 00:33:07.370 there are uncertainties and a lot of research to be done,

773 00:33:07.370 --> 00:33:09.590 like looking at birth outcomes and so on,

774 00:33:09.590 --> 00:33:12.300 there is overwhelming evidence that wild
fire smoke

775 00:33:12.300 --> 00:33:14.710 does have a substantial public health burden. 776 00:33:14.710 --> 00:33:16.097 And as we anticipate wildfires

777 00:33:16.097 --> 00:33:18.900 and wild
fire smoke to be higher in the future, $% \left(1-1\right) =0$

778 $00:33:18.900 \rightarrow 00:33:23.060$ we anticipate that burden to go even higher.

779 $00:33:23.060 \rightarrow 00:33:24.880$ And we still have some remaining questions.

780 00:33:24.880 --> 00:33:26.300 So some of the remaining questions

 $781\ 00:33:26.300 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.810$ and these are by no means all of them,

782 00:33:27.810 --> 00:33:30.470 but some of them are looking at other health outcomes.

783 00:33:30.470 --> 00:33:32.660 Most of the work to date has been done for mortality

784 00:33:32.660 --> 00:33:34.470 or hospital admissions, but you can imagine there

 $785\ 00:33:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.593$ many other health outcomes as well,

 $786\ 00:33:36.593 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.990$ looking at vulnerabilities, which populations

787 00:33:38.990 --> 00:33:42.300 are most vulnerable from a variety of pathways

788 00:33:42.300 --> 00:33:44.190 such as ability to adapt,

789 $00:33:44.190 \dashrightarrow 00:33:47.303$ such as baseline health status and so on.

 $790\ 00:33:48.140 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.830$ There's a lot of work to be done on links

791 00:33:49.830 --> 00:33:53.110 to chemical composition is the particles from wild fires

792 00:33:53.110 $\rightarrow 00:33:54.640$ will have different chemical structures

793 00:33:54.640 --> 00:33:57.620 than particles from other sources, as different sources

794 00:33:57.620 --> 00:34:00.070 have different chemical structures and many more.

795 00:34:00.940 --> 00:34:05.080 And then I wanna re-highlight this point of of co-impacts,

 $796\ 00:34:05.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.810$ which is commonly called co-benefits

797 00:34:06.810 --> 00:34:08.610 with climate change policy.

798 00:34:08.610 --> 00:34:13.010 So air quality policies are typically designed to

799 00:34:13.010 --> 00:34:14.680 well some of them can be designed for visibility,

 $800\ 00{:}34{:}14.680$ --> $00{:}34{:}16.870$ but they're most air quality policies are designed

80100:34:16.870 --> 00:34:19.230 to protect human health in the short term.

 $802\ 00:34:19.230 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.030$ And then we have climate change policies

 $803\ 00{:}34{:}21.030$ --> $00{:}34{:}24.120$ that are designed to lower greenhouse gas emissions,

 $804\ 00:34:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.120$ but really many of the pathways through

80500:34:29.130 --> 00:34:32.040 which these different policies take place can be similar.

806 00:34:32.040 --> 00:34:33.410 As I mentioned one earlier like

 $807\ 00:34:33.410 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.130$ changes to public transportation,

 $808\ 00:34:35.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.920$ changes to vehicle miles per gallon,

 $809\ 00:34:36.920 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.340$ lots of things like that.

 $810\ 00:34:38.340 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.560$ So many of the policies to improve air quality

 $811\ 00:34:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.784$ would actually lower greenhouse gas emissions,

 $812\ 00{:}34{:}43.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}47.020$ and many policies to avoid or mitigate climate change

 $813\ 00:34:47.020$ --> 00:34:49.460 would actually improve air quality in the short term.

814 00:34:49.460 --> 00:34:53.010 So I believe firmly that both air quality policies

815 00:34:53.010 --> 00:34:55.690 and climate change policies typically,

 $816\ 00:34:55.690 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.870$ have both short-term health consequences

 $817\ 00:34:57.870 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.970$ and long-term health consequences.

818 00:34:59.970 --> 00:35:01.930 This has been known for a very long time 819 00:35:01.930 --> 00:35:05.140 but still to this day, they tend to be studied separately

 $820\ 00:35:05.140 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.740$ and even bigger, they tend to be analyzed

 $821\ 00:35:08.740 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.870$ in policy domains separately.

822 00:35:10.870 --> 00:35:13.330 Not always, there's a growing trend to look at this

823 00:35:13.330 --> 00:35:17.080 but overall, these policies tend to be looked at separately.

824 00:35:17.080 --> 00:35:18.990 And the point I'm trying to make here is that

825 00:35:18.990 --> 00:35:21.130 if we're looking at climate change policies

 $826\ 00:35:21.130 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.780$ and we wann think about, for example

827 00:35:22.780 --> 00:35:25.460 the cost benefits of a given climate change policy,

 $828\ 00{:}35{:}25{.}460$ --> $00{:}35{:}29{.}480$ if we are missing the links to improve air quality

 $829\ 00:35:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.190$ in the short term and those health benefits,

830 00:35:31.190 --> 00:35:34.000 then we do not have an accurate estimate of the implications

 $831\;00{:}35{:}34.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}36.780$ of that policy and are not making an informed decision.

832 00:35:36.780 --> 00:35:39.420 This links back to my earlier slide about studies

833 $00{:}35{:}39{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}41{.}970$ looking at the economic impacts of wild fires

 $834\ 00:35:41.970 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.060$ if we're not incorporating

 $835\ 00:35:43.060 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.430$ the public health burden from wildfires,

836 00:35:45.430 --> 00:35:47.720 then we're grossly underestimating that as well

837 00:35:47.720 --> 00:35:50.780 and perhaps not making the most effective decisions.

 $838\ 00:35:50.780 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.580$ There's some references.

839 00:35:52.580 --> 00:35:54.810 So if you asked me for a copy of the slides,

 $840\ 00:35:54.810 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.127$ I'll be glad to share them with

 $841\ 00:35:56.127 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.440$ and you can see some of our references.

842 $00:35:58.440 \dashrightarrow 00:36:00.030$ And then finally, I wanna thank the people

843 00:36:00.030 --> 00:36:02.283 who really do the work which are my team,

 $844\ 00:36:02.283 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.516$ and you see many of them there.

845 00:36:03.516 --> 00:36:04.520 And so thank all of you

846 00:36:04.520 --> 00:36:07.020 and I look forward to your questions and comments.

847 00:36:09.390 --> 00:36:10.440 <v ->Thank you, Michelle.</v>

 $848\ 00:36:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.025$ This is a wonderful presentation

849 00:36:12.025 --> 00:36:16.150 and I'm sure the audience all enjoyed like I did

 $850\ 00:36:16.150 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.520$ and I'm sure there will be a lot of questions.

85100:36:18.520 --> 00:36:21.840 But just a reminder everyone, if you have questions,

 $852\ 00:36:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.130$ please type it in the chat box.

 $853\ 00{:}36{:}24{.}130$ --> $00{:}36{:}27{.}253$ We have roughly 20 minutes for the Q and A section.

85400:36:28.315 --> 00:36:30.923 But before that, I will start with some questions

 $855\ 00{:}36{:}30{.}923$ --> $00{:}36{:}34{.}543$ that we have already collected from the students actually.

 $856\ 00:36:36.110 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.110$ So one of the question is kind of related to

 $857\ 00:36:39.110 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.540$ Michelle you mentioned that we should really

858 00:36:41.540 --> 00:36:44.320 try to understand better our present day

 $859\ 00:36:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.210$ before we try to protect the future.

860 00:36:47.210 \rightarrow 00:36:49.262 So one of the questions from students

861 $00{:}36{:}49{.}262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}53{.}390$ they notice that that a lot of mechanisms

 $862\ 00:36:53.390 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.600$ are behind the climate change and wildfire

 $863\ 00:36:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.340$ is not very well understood.

864 00:36:59.340 --> 00:37:03.330 So how can we consider these unknown conditions

865 $00{:}37{:}03{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}06{.}950$ or mechanisms when people want to do

 $866\ 00:37:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.780$ the future projection of wildfires?

 $867\ 00:37:09.780 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.253$ So how to consider this type of uncertainty?

868 00:37:13.580 --> 00:37:15.050 <v ->Yeah, so this is something,</v>

869 00:37:15.050 --> 00:37:18.130 so I'm not a wildfire modeler.

870 00:37:18.130 --> 00:37:20.810 And I also believe that people who have some caveat

871 00:37:20.810 --> 00:37:23.270 in my question right away, and I also believe 872 00:37:23.270 --> 00:37:27.530 that people who do that work well, really focus on that.

873 00:37:27.530 --> 00:37:30.590 So I wish Loretta Mickley or Yuqjang Zang were here

 $874\ 00:37:30.590 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.930$ to answer that question.

 $875\ 00:37:31.930 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.560$ So we know that there's some aspects

 $876\ 00:37:34.560 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.460$ but notice I'll still answer it.

877 00:37:36.460 --> 00:37:38.980 There there's some aspects of the wildfire modeling

 $878\ 00:37:38.980 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.220$ that we know work really well,

879 00:37:40.220 --> 00:37:43.250 and there other aspects that don't work as well,

880 00:37:43.250 --> 00:37:46.340 the same thing for estimating PM 2.5 more generally.

881 00:37:46.340 --> 00:37:49.610 So we can get pretty good estimates of PM 2.5 total mass,

 $882\ 00:37:49.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.070$ but we don't do as well and we try to look

883 $00{:}37{:}51.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}53.070$ at the different chemical components.

884 00:37:53.070 --> 00:37:55.070 So there's really a lot of work

 $885\ 00:37:55.930 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.020$ looking at validating the models

 $886\ 00{:}37{:}59{.}020$ --> 00:38:01.830 and seeing where it does well and where it doesn't do well.

 $887\ 00:38:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.180$ And where does well and doesn't do well

 $888\ 00:38:03.180 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.510$ could be in a literal where,

 $889\ 00{:}38{:}04{.}510$ --> $00{:}38{:}08{.}290$ like it may do well in some topographies than others,

 $890\ 00:38:08.290$ --> 00:38:11.360 it may do well under some conditions than others.

891 00:38:11.360 --> 00:38:13.410 And really my understanding from working

892 00:38:13.410 --> 00:38:15.690 with the wildfire modelers is that we really wanna think

89300:38:15.690 --> 00:38:19.380 of these as kind of large-scale estimates.

 $894\ 00:38:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.040$ So one of the things that we're looking at

 $895\ 00:38:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.040$ for the project we hope to get started

 $896\ 00:38:28.060 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.670$ that we'll be looking at wildfires in Australia, $897\ 00:38:30.670 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.330$ it does improve the underlying emissions inventory.

 $898\ 00:38:33.330 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.880$ So Loretta and her team have gone through

 $899\ 00:38:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.610$ the different pathways and trying to identify

900 00:38:38.610 --> 00:38:43.470 which ones are contributing the most to our uncertainty,

 $901\ 00:38:43.470 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.140$ and which ones are perhaps

 $902\ 00:38:45.140 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.930$ not perfectly captured by the model,

903 00:38:46.930 --> 00:38:49.720 but still maybe not making

 $904\ 00:38:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.890$ this big an impact on our estimates.

 $905\ 00:38:51.890 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.380$ And they have found that the underlying

906 00:38:54.380 --> 00:38:58.410 emissions of wild
fires, this incorporates things like

907 00:38:58.410 --> 00:39:00.570 the fuel, the type of fuel by which I mean trees

 $908\ 00:39:00.570 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.490$ and vegetation, that that's really critical.

909 00:39:02.490 --> 00:39:05.360 So one of the main contributions that we're gonna make,

910 00:39:05.360 --> 00:39:07.110 and I really should say that Loretta and her team

911 00:39:07.110 --> 00:39:08.220 are gonna make to Australia is

912 00:39:08.220 --> 00:39:10.520 to improve those underline emissions inventories.

913 00:39:10.520 --> 00:39:12.430 And then we will be making those publicly available

 $914\ 00:39:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.300$ for other people as well.

915 00:39:14.300 --> 00:39:16.500 I just wanna highlight that some people have asked

916 00:39:16.500 --> 00:39:20.510 for a copy of my slides and I put my email in the chat.

917 00:39:20.510 \rightarrow 00:39:23.030 So if you're interested in a copy of the slides,

918 00:39:23.030 --> 00:39:24.100 I'd be glad to send them to you

919 00:39:24.100 --> 00:39:26.000 please just shoot me an email, thanks.

920 00:39:27.150 --> 00:39:28.440 <v ->Thank you Michelle.</v>

921 00:39:28.440 $\rightarrow 00:39:33.440$ I think your talk illustrated the complexity

922 00:39:33.480 --> 00:39:35.710 of this multidisciplinary work.

 $923\ 00:39:35.710 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.660$ So another question from the students

924 00:39:38.660 --> 00:39:43.130 they're more interested in how the local communities

925 00:39:43.130 --> 00:39:47.570 can do about it in the short term kind of mitigation's way.

926 00:39:47.570 --> 00:39:50.250 So can you share some of your suggestions

927 00:39:51.360 --> 00:39:55.240 the local community when they're facing the danger

928 00:39:55.240 --> 00:39:58.160 from climate change health can they do about it?

929 00:39:58.160 --> 00:40:01.083 <
v ->Yeah, I wanna share a slide actually.</br/>/v>

930 00:40:02.980 --> 00:40:04.680 Can you see my slide, did it work?

931 00:40:04.680 --> 00:40:06.290 <v ->Yeah, okay.</v>

932 00:40:06.290 --> 00:40:09.130 <v ->So this is a figure that I just didn't include</v>

933 00:40:09.130 --> 00:40:11.060 an individual level protective measures

934 00:40:11.060 --> 00:40:12.303 from our review article.

935 00:40:13.434 --> 00:40:18.070 And here you can see we've kind of started at the top

936 00:40:18.070 --> 00:40:20.557 with most effective which is to eliminate the exposure.

 $937\ 00:40:20.557 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.500$ And so this is all based on the individual.

 $938\ 00:40:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.130$ This is not based on a like a federal policy.

939 00:40:26.130 --> 00:40:28.020 There's many other things they could do as well.

940 00:40:28.020 --> 00:40:33.020 So the first one, the biggest impact is to relocate, right?

941 00:40:35.700 --> 00:40:37.930 That could be permanent or temporary,

942 00:40:37.930 --> 00:40:40.350 but like to temporary relocate when there's wild fires

943 00:40:40.350 $\rightarrow 00:40:41.850$ and then there's engineering controls

 $944\ 00:40:41.850 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.657$ that can reduce exposure by 20 to 90%,

945 00:40:43.657 --> 00:40:45.900 depending on the quality of builders,

946 00:40:45.900 --> 00:40:46.840 none of them are perfect.

947 00:40:46.840 --> 00:40:49.600 You cannot get away from this with filters.

948 00:40:49.600 --> 00:40:51.250 Filtering industry doesn't like me to say that,

949 00:40:51.250 --> 00:40:52.950 but it's true.

 $950\ 00:40:52.950 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.123$ And then what we call administrative controls.

951 00:41:01.172 --> 00:41:02.803 So I'm gonna take a little bit of water.

 $952\ 00:41:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.230$ This vaccine is no joke.

953 00:41:09.040 --> 00:41:10.420 But yay science, I'm happy for it.

954 00:41:10.420 --> 00:41:13.390 So the third level down to shown in the kind of page

 $955\ 00:41:13.390 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.580$ is administrative controls.

 $956\ 00:41:14.580 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.630$ So that's things like staying indoors,

957 00:41:16.630 --> 00:41:19.550 avoiding heavy activity outside and so on,

 $958\ 00:41:19.550 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.210$ and then personal protective equipment

 $959\ 00:41:21.210 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.100$ like wearing a face mask and so on.

960 00:41:24.100 --> 00:41:27.010 And so you can see if we were to unpack $961\ 00:41:27.010 \rightarrow 00:41:30.420$ this a little bit further, some of these activities $962\ 00:41:30.420 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.200$ are easier than others like wearing a face mask, $963\ 00:41:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.260$ it's not that hard. $964\ 00:41:34.260 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.460$ Some of them are harder than others, $965\ 00:41:35.460 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.290$ like to literally leave your home. 966 $00:41:37.290 \rightarrow 00:41:38.770$ Some of them may be more feasible $967\ 00:41:38.770 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.450$ for some people than others, like the financial $\cos t$ 968 00:41:41.450 --> 00:41:44.430 of relocating, financial cost of filters. $969\ 00:41:44.430 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.300$ And some of them have other implications 970 00:41:46.300 --> 00:41:48.700 like social and cultural implications. 971 00:41:48.700 --> 00:41:50.640 Like, what does it mean if children 972 00:41:50.640 --> 00:41:53.943 can't play outside for months? $973\ 00:41:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.233$ So all of these things are really, 974 00:41:59.587 --> 00:42:00.590 I don't wanna say bandaid solutions, $975\ 00:42:00.590 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.420$ but none of them are fully satisfactory. $976\ 00:42:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.110$ But there are a variety of things $977\ 00:42:05.110 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.810$ that we've come up with to kind of highlight 978 00:42:07.810 --> 00:42:10.310 some things that an individual can do. 979 00:42:10.310 --> 00:42:12.910 But really what we'd love to do for exposure $980\ 00:42:12.910 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.780$ is everyone who works environment exposure knows $981\ 00:42:14.780 \rightarrow 00:42:17.820$ is to stop the exposure itself from happening, $982\ 00:42:17.820 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.700$ rather than trying to address $983\ 00:42:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.350$ the public health on the backend. 984 00:42:23.210 --> 00:42:24.300 <v -> Thanks, Michelle.</v> 985 00:42:24.300 --> 00:42:27.620 There's a question from the audience from Glenn Homan,

986 00:42:27.620 --> 00:42:30.813 are children more vulnerable to the effects of wildfires.

987 00:42:32.440 --> 00:42:34.830 <v ->So that's a really great question.</v>

988 00:42:34.830 --> 00:42:36.860 And so I'm gonna couch it in two parts.

989 00:42:36.860 --> 00:42:39.130 So the first answer is we really don't know

990 $00{:}42{:}39{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}41{.}640$ because there hasn't been as much research.

991 00:42:41.640 --> 00:42:45.020 The second part of my question is I would suspect yes.

992 00:42:45.020 --> 00:42:48.080 So children tend to be more vulnerable than healthy adults

993 00:42:48.080 --> 00:42:50.530 to air pollution in general, to particles in general.

994 00:42:50.530 --> 00:42:52.180 And there's a variety of reasons.

 $995\ 00:42:53.366 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.740$ Their systems are still under development.

996 00:42:55.740 --> 00:42:58.740 They breathe in more air per body weight than do adults

997 00:42:58.740 --> 00:43:02.160 and they historically spend more time outside. 998 00:43:02.160 --> 00:43:05.200 Although that's actually changed in the last few generations

 $999\ 00:43:05.200 \rightarrow 00:43:06.330$ but historically they spend more time outside.

 $1000\ 00:43:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.040$ So there's a variety of reasons why children

 $1001 \ 00:43:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.160$ are more susceptible to air pollution

1002 00:43:11.160 --> 00:43:14.140 writ large than are like other healthy adults. 1003 00:43:14.140 --> 00:43:17.550 Now, much older populations are also susceptible as well.

 $1004 \ 00:43:17.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.080$ And so while we don't have the evidence

 $1005\ 00{:}43{:}20.080$ --> $00{:}43{:}22.890$ the strong evidence for this for wild fire smoke,

1006 00:43:22.890 --> 00:43:25.420 I think it's certainly very plausible

1007 00:43:25.420 --> 00:43:26.970 and I would argue likely

 $1008 \ 00:43:26.970 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.410$ that some of those same mechanisms

1009 00:43:28.410 --> 00:43:33.020 would take place for children in wild fire smoke as well.

 $1010\ 00:43:33.020 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.050$ But this is certainly an area where

1011 00:43:34.050 --> 00:43:36.450 we need some more studies to really pinpoint it.

1012 00:43:37.920 --> 00:43:38.760 <v -> Thanks, Michelle.</v>

1013 00:43:38.760 --> 00:43:43.740 So I know we talk a lot about short-term effects here

 $1014 \ 00:43:43.740 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.210$ and the students are also wondering

1015 00:43:47.210 --> 00:43:52.210 like what can the policy
makers do to better report

1016 00:43:54.080 --> 00:43:58.930 or even do research on the long-term health consequences

 $1017 \ 00:43:58.930 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.403$ of the wildfire exposure?

1018 00:44:01.290 --> 00:44:05.500 <v ->Yeah, so I didn't mean to talk over you.</v>

1019 00:44:05.500 --> 00:44:08.530 <v ->No, no, no, the student's question is just,</v>

 $1020\ 00:44:08.530 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.130$ do you have any suggestions?

1021 00:44:11.130 --> 00:44:13.920 What would be the important pieces to focus on

 $1022\ 00:44:13.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.760$ such public health (indistinct)

 $1023 \ 00:44:15.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.123$ and how can we collect those data?

 $1024 \ 00:44:19.460 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.850 < v \longrightarrow Yeah$, so there's a lot there.

 $1025 \ 00:44:20.850 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.570$ And in the review article,

1026 00:44:23.570 --> 00:44:24.590 I think I may have mentioned this

 $1027 \ 00:44:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.990$ that we categorized the health impacts

1028 00:44:26.990 --> 00:44:30.255 of long-term exposure to wild
fires as being more uncertain.

1029 00:44:30.255 --> 00:44:33.360 So again, I'm gonna kind of answer

 $1030\ 00:44:33.360 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.360$ this in a few different parts.

 $1031\ 00{:}44{:}34.360$ --> $00{:}44{:}37.860$ So the first is it's more uncertain for long term effects,

1032 00:44:37.860 --> 00:44:40.310 much much more is known about short-term effects.

1033 00:44:41.550 --> 00:44:44.540 Again, it seems very plausible that both will play a role

103400:44:44.540 --> 00:44:48.270 because they do for particles more broadly, right?

1035 00:44:48.270 --> 00:44:51.680 Short-term exposure and so by this I mean my exposure today

 $1036\ 00:44:51.680 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.193$ and over the past few days, maybe to a week,

 $1037 \ 00:44:55.220 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.053$ my exposure to air pollution or

1038 00:44:56.053 --> 00:44:57.840 in that timeframe matters for my human health

1039 00:44:57.840 --> 00:45:00.840 but my exposure over the past several years matters.

 $1040\ 00:45:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.390$ And actually my exposure in neutral matters,

 $1041 \ 00:45:03.390 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.290$ like everything matters.

 $1042~00{:}45{:}05{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}08{.}270$ We don't know as much about that for wild-fires.

1043 00:45:08.270 --> 00:45:10.320 Some reasons why it's important to study

1044 00:45:10.320 --> 00:45:14.250 and difficult to study is that the concentration levels

1045 00:45:14.250 --> 00:45:17.840 for wild
fire smoke are very different from other pollutants.

1046 00:45:17.840 --> 00:45:19.020 As I mentioned, it's like nothing

1047 00:45:19.020 --> 00:45:21.770 nothing crazy high, nothing, nothing.

1048 00:45:21.770 --> 00:45:24.290 So what does it mean if you're getting

 $1049\ 00:45:24.290 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.310$ those stressors or those crazy high?

 $1050\ 00:45:27.310 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.160$ That's my scientific term

 $1051\ 00:45:28.160 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.430$ the crazy high wildfire pollution.

1052 00:45:30.430 --> 00:45:32.800 If you're getting it several times a year

 $1053\ 00:45:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.810$ or every year, year after year,

1054 00:45:35.810 --> 00:45:38.790 as opposed to just the impact from getting it once.

1055 00:45:38.790 --> 00:45:41.280 And that's very different from kind of like my exposure

1056 00:45:41.280 --> 00:45:44.970 to traffic particles, which is just going up and down,

 $1057 \ 00:45:44.970 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.070$ changes day to day of the week and so on

 $1058\ 00{:}45{:}48.070$ --> $00{:}45{:}50.430$ but really is not having these strong events.

1059 00:45:50.430 --> 00:45:52.690 So it's really these kinds of like huge stressors

1060 00:45:52.690 --> 00:45:55.230 that come and go away and come and go away.

 $1061 \ 00:45:55.230 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.050$ So to understand that we need things

 $1062\ 00{:}45{:}57.050$ --> $00{:}45{:}59.920$ like knowing where people have been for several years.

1063 00:45:59.920 --> 00:46:02.210 We need really good estimates of wild fire exposure

1064 00:46:02.210 --> 00:46:04.200 over several years, and I predict

1065 00:46:04.200 --> 00:46:06.740 that some of the future areas of research for this

1066 00:46:06.740 --> 00:46:10.050 will deal with some of the things on that previous slide

 $1067 \ 00:46:10.050 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.290$ relating to the other good question

 $1068 \ 00:46:11.290 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.070$ about individual level protective measures.

 $1069\ 00:46:13.070 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.020$ So, this group of people

1070 00:46:17.020 --> 00:46:18.640 had a pec major filtration systems

1071 00:46:18.640 --> 00:46:19.640 and trying to stay inside,

 $1072\ 00:46:19.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.750$ and these people were not able to.

1073 00:46:21.750 --> 00:46:24.230 There's a lot of things there that we really need

 $1074\ 00:46:24.230 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.930$ to parse out to really try to get

 $1075\ 00:46:25.930 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.803$ a handle of long-term exposure.

1076 00:46:30.226 --> 00:46:33.500 <v ->Thanks, Michelle, there are many other questions</v>

 $1077 \ 00:46:33.500 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.310$ from the students regarding

 $1078\ 00:46:35.310 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.943$ especially on the review paper.

1079 00:46:39.580 --> 00:46:41.650 But I also encourage the audience

 $1080\ 00:46:41.650 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.290$ if you have questions to the whole talk,

 $1081\ 00:46:45.290 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.210$ so please feel free to type in your questions.

1082 00:46:48.210 --> 00:46:50.370 Or if you like, you can unmute yourself

 $1083 \ 00:46:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.410$ and ask the questions.

1084 00:46:52.410 --> 00:46:55.593 I would just ask them one more question from the students.

1085 00:46:57.670 --> 00:47:01.150 Kind of related to the individual level

 $1086\ 00:47:01.150 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.200$ what the local communities can do,

 $1087 \ 00:47:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.630$ one of the ways is prescribed burning

 $1088 \ 00:47:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.610$ to mitigate the wildfires.

 $1089\ 00:47:09.610 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.411$ So several students are kind of interesting,

1090 00:47:12.411 --> 00:47:15.358 as a policymaker, how can you determine whether,

1091 00:47:15.358 --> 00:47:20.358 just let it burn or do something additional about it.

1092 00:47:20.500 --> 00:47:25.500 And students are wondering if there are any studies

1093 00:47:25.600 --> 00:47:29.280 to look at this prescribed burning

 $1094\ 00{:}47{:}29{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}33{.}603$ can we see does it cost effectively prevented the wild fires?

1095 00:47:35.960 --> 00:47:37.820 <v ->Yeah, so the prescribed burning question</v>

 $1096 \ 00:47:37.820 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.480$ is very interesting.

 $1097 \ 00:47:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.500$ There have not been as much study

 $1098\ 00:47:42.500 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.260$ on the health impacts of prescribed burn,

 $1099 \ 00:47:44.260 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.080$ but air pollution is air pollution.

1100 00:47:46.080 --> 00:47:48.490 So prescribed burn which is being done

 $1101\ 00:47:48.490 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.630$ is a forest management strategy

 $1102\ 00:47:52.630 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.610$ is also producing air pollution.

1103 00:47:54.610 --> 00:47:57.140 And so it's very interesting talking to communities

1104 00:47:57.140 --> 00:48:00.787 and decision-makers on their different, enforced managers

 $1105\ 00{:}48{:}00.787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05.400$ on their different perspectives and the different angles

 $1106\ 00:48:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.665$ at which they're coming at this issue.

 $1107\ 00:48:07.665 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.610$ So for many people in the community

1108 00:48:08.610 --> 00:48:12.110 like all fires are bad in their perception,

1109 00:48:12.110 --> 00:48:15.440 whether it be prescribed burn to stop a larger fire leader

1110 00:48:15.440 --> 00:48:17.120 or whether it be a wildfire

1111 00:48:17.120 --> 00:48:19.750 because they're getting the smoke of it regardless.

1112 00:48:19.750 --> 00:48:22.210 And from a forest management perspective,

1113 $00:48:22.210 \rightarrow 00:48:24.130$ you often will have prescribed burns

1114 00:48:24.130 --> 00:48:26.720 deliberately to try to avoid that things later on.

1115 00:48:26.720 --> 00:48:29.920 And I'm not a forest manager, but like these are done

1116 00:48:30.780 --> 00:48:33.051 based on scientific research that

 $1117\ 00:48:33.051 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.370$ we need to do this to control our forest.

1118 00:48:36.370 --> 00:48:40.750 And then from a climate change perspective, we have to think

1119 00:48:40.750 --> 00:48:42.737 about what does this mean for prescribed burns

 $1120\ 00:48:42.737 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.320$ and the changing in vegetation patterns.

 $1121 \ 00:48:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.720$ Are we gonna have more prescribed burns?

1122 $00:48:45.720 \rightarrow 00:48:47.450$ Are we gonna have fewer prescribed burns,

1123 00:48:47.450 --> 00:48:48.650 but like what should we do

1124 00:48:48.650 --> 00:48:51.524 for prescribed burns is very delicate,

1125 00:48:51.524 --> 00:48:54.790 especially in terms of the research for air pollution.

1126 00:48:54.790 --> 00:48:56.160 And I think that's part of why most

 $1127\ 00:48:56.160 \rightarrow 00:48:59.253$ of the research has really focused on wildfires.

1128 00:49:00.530 --> 00:49:02.830 I think Rob may have been trying to chime in

1129 $00{:}49{:}02{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}05{.}120$ and then I also see a question in the chat.

 $1130\ 00:49:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.481$ Rob, were you trying to chime in?

1131 00:49:07.481 --> 00:49:11.720 <v ->Yeah, the first great, great talk, Michelle.</v>

1132 00:49:11.720 --> 00:49:14.760 Yeah, I know your research focuses

1133 00:49:14.760 --> 00:49:18.230 on the wildfire smoke and air pollution,

1134 00:49:18.230 --> 00:49:20.840 but there's another element that I wonder

1135 00:49:20.840 $\rightarrow 00:49:23.210$ if it's something that you've considered

1136 00:49:23.210 --> 00:49:28.210 which is the help that when there are wild-fires,

1137 $00:49:28.440 \rightarrow 00:49:31.540$ people are displaced sometimes short term,

1138 00:49:31.540 --> 00:49:34.800 sometimes longer term, you know people lose their homes

1139 00:49:35.840 --> 00:49:38.150 and their lives are disrupted

 $1140\ 00:49:38.150 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.643$ and there are potential health effects of that

 $1141\ 00:49:40.643 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.820$ that could be disruption in medical care.

1142 00:49:43.820 --> 00:49:48.370 And from what I've gathered, those longer term effects,

1143 00:49:48.370 --> 00:49:51.370 those types of effects have really been understudied.

1144 00:49:51.370 --> 00:49:52.260 And I'm just wondering

 $1145\ 00:49:52.260 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.930$ if you have any thoughts about that aspect.

1146 00:49:55.930 --> 00:49:58.010 $<\!\!\mathrm{v}$ ->Yeah, this is a really great question</br/>/v>

1147 00:49:58.010 $\rightarrow 00:49:59.790$ and I wanna kind of unpack several things.

1148 00:49:59.790 --> 00:50:04.790 So there's a lot to learn about

 $1149\ 00:50:04.950 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.210$ our response to environmental disasters.

1150 00:50:07.210 --> 00:50:09.670 And what does that mean in respect to human health?

1151 00:50:09.670 --> 00:50:12.880 And what does that mean for respect to physical health?

 $1152\ 00{:}50{:}12.880$ --> $00{:}50{:}14.590$ What does that mean with respect to mental health?

1153 00:50:14.590 --> 00:50:19.340 What does it mean in respect to disruption of healthcare?

 $1154\ 00:50:19.340 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.060$ There's some really great work that was done

1155 00:50:21.060 --> 00:50:23.320 by a former master student, Leo Goldsmith

1156 00:50:23.320 --> 00:50:25.230 who looked at a variety of ways

1157 00:50:25.230 --> 00:50:28.940 in which the LGBTQ community has disproportionate impacts

 $1158 \ 00:50:28.940 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.910$ from environmental hazards.

 $1159\ 00:50:29.910 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.820$ But one of them is disaster response

1160 00:50:31.820 --> 00:50:36.820 where LGBTQ+ individuals are turned away at shelters,

1161 00:50:37.106 --> 00:50:39.683 don't have access to their medication and so on.

1162 00:50:40.900 --> 00:50:41.733 And then there's the

 $1163 \ 00:50:41.733 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.190$ also the issue of who can afford to move.

1164 00:50:43.190 --> 00:50:44.670 There's also some great work being done

1165 00:50:44.670 --> 00:50:49.100 by my PhD student Kate Burroughs, looking at displacement

1166 00:50:49.100 --> 00:50:51.900 and migration from landslides in Indonesia

 $1167 \ 00:50:51.900 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.610$ and looking at the mental health

 $1168\ 00:50:54.610 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.610$ and wellbeing aspects there's as well.

1169 00:50:56.610 --> 00:50:58.727 But there hasn't been as much for wild
fires.

1170 00:50:58.727 --> 00:51:01.440 And I think it's something that probably

1171 00:51:01.440 --> 00:51:04.050 needs to be looked at and I'm expanding it out

 $1172 \ 00:51:04.050 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.470$ to disasters more broadly.

1173 00:51:05.470 --> 00:51:09.010 So like hurricanes, wildfires just

1174 00:51:09.010 --> 00:51:11.440 the environmental disasters that we anticipate

1175 00:51:11.440 --> 00:51:14.130 to be growing under climate change to happen more

1176 00:51:14.130 --> 00:51:16.420 often to happen in a more intense way,

1177 00:51:16.420 --> 00:51:18.890 what does this mean for the economic

 $1178 \ 00:51:18.890 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.380$ and health costs of relocation?

1179 00:51:21.380 --> 00:51:23.320 What does it mean for the economic disruption

1180 00:51:23.320 --> 00:51:25.880 of that community if people have to get up and leave?

1181 00:51:25.880 --> 00:51:28.420 I mean there's all sorts of things that communities

1182 00:51:28.420 --> 00:51:30.890 have talked about with me and wild fires in terms of things

1183 00:51:30.890 --> 00:51:32.323 like my housing price.

1184 00:51:35.140 --> 00:51:38.230 And there's designs of homes where you can like

1185 00:51:39.340 --> 00:51:42.640 try to make sure you don't have brush near the house

 $1186\ 00:51:42.640 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.700$ so that if it's a wildfire

1187 00:51:43.700 --> 00:51:46.123 it doesn't zoom up on your house and so on,

1188 00:51:47.040 --> 00:51:50.070 these things, it was very clear to me that these things

 $1189\ 00:51:50.070 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.810$ are taking a mental toll as well.

1190 00:51:52.810 --> 00:51:55.960 So I think this is a very understudied issue

1191 00:51:55.960 --> 00:51:59.100 and I think there are multiple facets to it

1192 00:51:59.100 --> 00:52:01.140 where different populations are affected differently.

1193 00:52:01.140 --> 00:52:04.253 And this is something we really should be looking into.

 $1194\ 00:52:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.620$ Can I answer the question in the chat next?

1195 00:52:08.620 $\rightarrow 00:52:09.840$ Kai, is that okay?

1196 00:52:09.840 --> 00:52:11.646 <v ->Yeah, please go on.</v> <v ->Okay, great.</v>

 $1197\ 00:52:11.646 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.369$ Do you want me to read it?

1198 00:52:14.369 --> 00:52:17.270 <v ->No, no, no, please go ahead.</v>

1199 00:52:17.270 --> 00:52:18.537 <v ->Okay, I'm gonna read it.</v>

1200 00:52:18.537 --> 00:52:20.540 And this is from Evan Brockman.

 $1201\ 00:52:20.540 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.810$ As the climate health researcher,

 $1202 \ 00:52:21.810 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.020$ what changes would you like to see

 $1203\ 00:52:23.020 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.130$ in data collection in regards to air quality?

1204 00:52:25.130 --> 00:52:27.790 We are discussing what to add to our EHR as clinicians

 $1205 \ 00:52:27.790 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.740$ who directly see patients

 $1206\ 00:52:28.740 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.270$ who suffer after exposure, thank you.

1207 00:52:31.270 --> 00:52:36.270 So with respect to data, I'm not sure if the person

1208 00:52:36.990 --> 00:52:39.550 that's in the question is thinking of health data

 $1209\ 00:52:39.550 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.050$ or air pollution data.

 $1210\ 00:52:41.050 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.480$ It kind of seems that maybe health data

1211 00:52:44.600 --> 00:52:47.200 but I'm gonna answer all those questions (laughing).

 $1212\ 00:52:48.330 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.100$ So with respect to air quality,

 $1213\ 00:52:50.100 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.330$ we really need more monitors in rural areas,

 $1214\ 00:52:54.330 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.770$ not just to try to study those populations

1215 00:52:56.770 --> 00:52:58.780 'cause they're different but also the air pollution

1216 00:52:58.780 --> 00:52:59.670 could be different as well.

 $1217 \ 00:52:59.670 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.730$ We are really hindered.

1218 $00:53:00.730 \rightarrow 00:53:03.170$ This is worldwide by having our monitors

1219 00:53:03.170 --> 00:53:05.780 being predominantly located in urban areas.

1220 00:53:05.780 --> 00:53:07.140 With respect to human health,

1221 00:53:07.140 --> 00:53:09.360 I think that there's some types of data sources

1222 00:53:09.360 --> 00:53:12.090 for human health that we can get a pretty good handle on,

1223 00:53:12.090 --> 00:53:16.380 like hospital emissions, mortality and other things

 $1224\ 00:53:16.380 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.420$ that it's much harder to get a handle on.

1225 00:53:18.420 --> 00:53:21.550 And just to get back to Professor Dubrow's point,

 $1226 \ 00:53:21.550 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.820$ like mental health and wellbeing.

 $1227\ 00:53:23.820 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.240$ And so I think that some of those

1228 00:53:28.240 --> 00:53:31.570 perhaps under the surface types of health outcomes

1229 00:53:31.570 --> 00:53:33.410 that could have an enormous public health burden

1230 00:53:33.410 --> 00:53:36.110 are ones that we really probably should start looking at

 $1231 \ 00:53:36.110 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.230$ more in relation to air pollution.

1232 00:53:38.230 --> 00:53:39.430 I hope I've answered your question.

1233 00:53:39.430 --> 00:53:41.790 I'm not sure if I misunderstood it, thank you.

1234 00:53:45.410 --> 00:53:47.620 <v ->Michelle, I think there's another, yeah.</v>

1235 00:53:47.620 --> 00:53:50.250 <v ->Okay, I'm gonna ask this, is the dense network</v>

 $1236\ 00:53:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.860$ of low cost air quality sensors

 $1237\ 00:53:51.860 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.300$ good enough to serve as input to your models.

1238 00:53:54.300 --> 00:53:57.830 Well, it depends on the model and on the monitor.

 $1239\ 00:53:57.830 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.770$ So we're often modeling at a nation level.

1240 00:54:01.770 --> 00:54:06.050 So we would need like a huge network.

 $1241\ 00:54:06.050 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.520$ And the low cost air quality centers,

1242 00:54:08.520 --> 00:54:12.280 so cost and quality are associated in air quality monitors.

1243 00:54:12.280 --> 00:54:15.430 So a low cost monitor can measure very, very well

124400:54:15.430 --> 00:54:17.570 depending on what it's measuring or it might not,

1245 00:54:17.570 --> 00:54:20.420 that's not a negative comment on those monitors.

1246 00:54:20.420 --> 00:54:23.680 Sometimes that's perfectly good for your purposes.

1247 00:54:23.680 --> 00:54:25.970 So I guess my short answer would be,

 $1248 \ 00:54:25.970 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.583$ it really kind of depends.

1249 00:54:31.080 --> 00:54:33.420 <v ->Michelle, I have a question, all right?</v>

 $1250\ 00{:}54{:}33{.}420$ --> $00{:}54{:}38{.}340$ You mentioned that I think why you initiated this study

1251 00:54:38.340 --> 00:54:41.286 to look at the wild
fires because when you look

1252 00:54:41.286 --> 00:54:44.090 at previous reports on almost no damage

1253 00:54:44.090 --> 00:54:47.420 was taking into account with the health burden,

 $1254\ 00:54:47.420 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.060$ I think it speaks true for all

1255 00:54:49.060 --> 00:54:53.060 the other extreme weather and climate change.

1256 00:54:53.060 --> 00:54:57.240 So would you like to share more source more broadly

1257 00:54:59.213 --> 00:55:00.800 the economy burden on climate change

 $1258\ 00{:}55{:}00{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}04{.}373$ and how as a researcher, what we should do about it?

1259 00:55:05.220 --> 00:55:08.750 <v ->Yeah, so I think that is, I alluded to</v>

 $1260\ 00:55:08.750 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.230$ I think that human health is missing

1261 00:55:11.230 --> 00:55:13.200 from part of the climate change discussion.

1262 00:55:13.200 --> 00:55:17.790 And by the discussion I mean, in political decisions

 $1263\ 00:55:17.790 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.090$ and in the general press and the mass media $1264\ 00:55:21.090 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.470$ and in community discussions.

1265 00:55:23.470 --> 00:55:28.470 And so there's a very different response to learning

1266 00:55:29.190 --> 00:55:32.350 that sea level rise might impact a country halfway

1267 00:55:32.350 --> 00:55:36.090 around the world, as opposed to learning that asthma rates

 $1268\ 00:55:36.090 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.320$ in your community are likely to go up.

 $1269\ 00{:}55{:}38{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}41{.}970$ We can discuss the ethics of that, but that's a reality.

1270 00:55:41.970 --> 00:55:44.940 And I showed that slide at the beginning

 $1271\ 00:55:44.940$ --> 00:55:46.480 of the climate change human health articles

1272 00:55:46.480 --> 00:55:49.670 have really been skyrocketing, but I personally believe

1273 00:55:49.670 --> 00:55:51.400 it hasn't really caught up

 $1274\ 00{:}55{:}51{.}400$ --> $00{:}55{:}54{.}610$ with the kind of the general discussion.

1275 00:55:54.610 --> 00:55:57.350 So still when people talk about climate change

 $1276\ 00{:}55{:}57{.}350$ --> 00:56:01.800 they're often not talking about human health or not as much.

1277 00:56:01.800 --> 00:56:03.540 And if you look at the predominant reasons

1278 00:56:03.540 --> 00:56:06.300 that people have moved on environmental issues,

1279 00:56:06.300 --> 00:56:08.410 the number one reason people care about the environment

 $1280\ 00:56:08.410 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.623$ in most surveys is human health,

 $1281\ 00:56:11.570 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.890$ although other things matter.

1282 00:56:12.890 --> 00:56:15.210 So I think that's why Yale center

1283 00:56:15.210 --> 00:56:18.150 and other work on the human health impacts of climate

1284 00:56:18.150 --> 00:56:20.470 are really important for people to really understand

1285 00:56:20.470 --> 00:56:24.020 the full magnitude of what climate change means.

1286 00:56:24.020 --> 00:56:26.050 We're not just talking about loss of species,

 $1287 \ 00:56:26.050 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.550$ were not just talking about loss of land,

1288 00:56:27.550 --> 00:56:29.860 we're not just talking about ecosystem changes

1289 00:56:29.860 --> 00:56:32.990 but we're talking about actual changes in human health,

 $1290\ 00:56:32.990 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.283$ which will be a huge driver for many people.

1291 00:56:39.140 --> 00:56:42.210 <v ->Thanks Michelle, for the very insightful comments.</v>

 $1292\ 00:56:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.910$ And I think we do have the last comment

1293 00:56:44.910 --> 00:56:48.380 from a Pin Wom, thanks for the informative talk.

129400:56:48.380 --> 00:56:51.440 How was the threshold for smoke we've determined.

 $1295\ 00:56:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.160$ As you mentioned the smoke pollution

1296 00:56:53.160 --> 00:56:56.430 is like non-crazy high num pattern.

1297 00:56:56.430 --> 00:57:00.840 How important was the definition of threshold in this study?

1298 00:57:00.840 --> 00:57:04.740 <v ->Yeah, similar to how you might do with heat waves,</v>

1299 00:57:04.740 --> 00:57:08.130 we used a variety of thresholds, so we varied it.

 $1300\ 00:57:08.130 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.670$ And we saw results that you would anticipate.

1301 00:57:10.670 --> 00:57:13.810 So as we made the requirement more stringent,

1302 00:57:13.810 --> 00:57:17.640 meaning we're forcing those smoke waves to be more extreme

 $1303\ 00:57:17.640 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.470$ we saw higher health impacts.

 $1304\ 00:57:19.470 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.620$ And then if we lax the response

 $1305\ 00:57:21.620 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.060$ and allow the smaller smoke waves

1306 00:57:24.060 --> 00:57:25.710 like you still have walked our air pollution,

 $1307\ 00:57:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.670$ but it's not as high,

1308 00:57:26.670 --> 00:57:28.420 we still saw an effect and it was lower.

1309 00:57:28.420 --> 00:57:32.650 So I guess the short answer is it matters in terms

1310 00:57:32.650 --> 00:57:37.650 of your specific numerical health effect estimate.

1311 00:57:38.060 --> 00:57:39.830 But it didn't matter in the sense

1312 00:57:39.830 --> 00:57:44.770 that we found effects at different types of definitions.

1313 $00{:}57{:}44.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}45.810$ And this makes sense too

 $1314\ 00:57:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.320$ because there's nothing like magical,

 $1315\ 00:57:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.100$ like two days matters, but three days doesn't,

1316 00:57:51.100 --> 00:57:52.230 two and a half to, you know what I mean?

1317 $00{:}57{:}52{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}54{.}200$ So these are all like approximations.

1318 00:57:54.200 --> 00:57:57.120 They're just trying to capture the smoke wave period.

1319 00:57:57.120 --> 00:57:58.990 <v ->Wonderful, thank you Michelle.</v>

1320 00:57:58.990 --> 00:58:00.270 I think I can speak

 $1321\ 00:58:00.270 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.280$ for the whole center and all the audience.

 $1322\ 00{:}58{:}02.280$ --> $00{:}58{:}06.620$ Thank you for giving us a wonderful and informative talk.

1323 00:58:06.620 --> 00:58:10.330 And thank you all the audiences attending today.

1324 00:58:10.330 --> 00:58:13.693 I think at a peak, we have almost 90 audiences.

 $1325\ 00:58:14.950 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.970 < v \longrightarrow 00:590 < v \longrightarrow 00$

1326 00:58:15.970 --> 00:58:17.770 for the invitation to share my work.