WEBVTT

- $1.00:00:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.163 < v \longrightarrow Okay. < /v >$
- $2\ 00:00:02.630 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.480$ It's time to get started. I'm Robert Dubrow.
- $3\ 00:00:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.440$ I'm the faculty director of the
- 4 00:00:07.440 --> 00:00:08.970 Yale Center on Climate Change and Health.
- 5 00:00:08.970 --> 00:00:11.120 I know most of you, but maybe not everyone.
- 6 00:00:12.140 --> 00:00:17.140 And it's a great pleasure today to introduce Jose Siri,
- $7\ 00:00:18.040 --> 00:00:20.563$ who is speaking to us from London.
- 8 00:00:21.700 --> 00:00:24.010 And since 2019,
- $9\ 00:00:24.010 --> 00:00:26.510$ he's been the senior science lead for
- $10\ 00:00:26.510$ --> 00:00:29.890 Cities, Urbanization and Health for the Wellcome Trust's
- $11\ 00:00:29.890 --> 00:00:32.053$ Our planet, Our Health Programme.
- $12\ 00:00:32.930 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.330$ Some of his previous positions have included
- $13\ 00:00:36.330 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.270$ being a research fellow in Urban Health for the
- $14\ 00{:}00{:}39.270$ --> $00{:}00{:}43.160$ UN University International Institute for Global Health.
- $15\ 00:00:43.160 --> 00:00:45.320$ He's been a research scholar for the
- $16\ 00:00:45.320 \dashrightarrow 00:00:48.990$ International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis,
- $17\ 00{:}00{:}48.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}53.990$ and he got his PhD in Epidemiology with a concentration
- 18 00:00:54.160 --> 00:00:56.600 in infectious disease epidemiology
- $19\ 00:00:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.100$ from the University of Michigan.
- $20\ 00{:}00{:}59.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}04.100$ So without further ado, I'll let Jose start his talk.
- 21 00:01:05.900 --> 00:01:06.733 <-> Great!</v>
- $22\ 00:01:06.733 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.763$ Many thanks, Robert. Can you hear me? Thumbs up?
- $23\ 00:01:10.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.583$ Great, great.
- $24\ 00:01:12.500 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.010$ So it's great to be with you today.
- $25\ 00{:}01{:}14.010 --> 00{:}01{:}15.410$ Thanks again to Robert.

- $26~00:01:15.410 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.670$ Thanks to the Yale Center on Climate Change and Health,
- $27\ 00:01:18.670 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.853$ and thank you to you all for joining.
- $28\ 00:01:21.930 --> 00:01:23.010$ Today, I'm gonna talk about
- 29 00:01:23.010 --> 00:01:24.460 the central role that cities play
- $30\ 00:01:24.460 --> 00:01:27.660$ in climate change and health and how systems based research
- $31\ 00:01:27.660 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.420$ can contribute to the solutions.
- $32~00{:}01{:}29.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.660$ And I hope that you'll see or you'll agree with me
- $33\ 00:01:31.660 --> 00:01:33.560$ why we need this type of approach to compliment
- $34\ 00:01:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.050$ traditional public health research.
- $35\ 00:01:36.050 --> 00:01:39.013$ So I want to start with a few concrete examples.
- 36 00:01:41.320 --> 00:01:43.210 Just this past month,
- $37\ 00:01:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.200$ we saw one of the most intense heat events in history
- $38\ 00:01:46.200 --> 00:01:48.650$ in the Western North American heat wave.
- 39 00:01:48.650 --> 00:01:49.930 So starting in late June,
- 40 00:01:49.930 --> 00:01:51.890 the Pacific Northwest and Western Canada
- $41\ 00{:}01{:}51.890 --> 00{:}01{:}55.360$ saw maximum temperatures up to 19 degrees Celsius
- 42 00:01:55.360 --> 00:01:57.963 above normal, lasting through early July.
- $43\ 00:01:59.100 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.710$ This is a map showing temperature anomalies on June 27th,
- $44\ 00:02:02.710 \dashrightarrow 00:02:04.730$ compared to the typical average for the same day
- $45\ 00:02:04.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.680$ in different years.
- 46 00:02:06.770 --> 00:02:08.780 The heat caused power outages,
- 47 00:02:08.780 --> 00:02:10.630 it destroyed infrastructure,
- 48 00:02:10.630 --> 00:02:12.830 it buckled roads across the region,
- 49 00:02:12.830 --> 00:02:15.640 it spoiled crops, and damaged trees,
- $50\ 00:02:15.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.470$ some places saw major water quality declines

- 51 00:02:18.470 --> 00:02:20.464 because of fish kills,
- $52\ 00:02:20.464 --> 00:02:22.550$ and of course, it sparked wildfires,
- $53\ 00:02:22.550 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.660$ which continue to be a major concern in the region.
- 54~00:02:25.660 --> 00:02:29.270 Some places even saw serious flooding from snow melt.
- $55~00:02:29.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.380$ So the drought, excuse me, the fire had a whole range,
- 56 00:02:32.380 --> 00:02:34.320 excuse me, the heatwave,
- $57\ 00:02:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.890$ had a whole range of complex consequences.
- 58 00:02:36.890 --> 00:02:39.640 And we still don't know the full health impacts,
- 59~00:02:39.640 --> 00:02:43.683 but there've been estimated 700 plus excess deaths so far.
- $60\ 00:02:44.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.960$ There was a significant rise in hospitalizations,
- $61\ 00:02:47.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.960$ and there was morbidity, not just from the heat,
- $62\ 00:02:49.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.910$ but also from cascading events
- 63 00:02:51.910 --> 00:02:54.550 like smoke inhalation from wildfires,
- 64~00:02:54.550 --> 00:02:57.483 major mental health impacts, of course, for those effects.
- $65~00{:}02{:}58.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}01.700$ In this context, impacts were much worse because this region
- $66\ 00:03:01.700 --> 00:03:03.680$ has a low uptake of air conditioning,
- $67\ 00:03:03.680$ --> 00:03:06.740 and it hadn't prepared in other ways for this level of heat.
- $68\ 00:03:06.740 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.690$ So in other words, they're not adapted.
- $69\ 00:03:09.590 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.010$ A preliminary attribution study has estimated
- 70~00:03:12.010 --> 00:03:14.820 that this is about a one in 1,000 year event
- 71 00:03:14.820 --> 00:03:15.940 in today's climate,
- $72\ 00:03:15.940 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.550$ but that it would have been 150 times rarer
- $73\ 00:03:18.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.050$ without human-induced climate change.
- 74 00:03:21.050 --> 00:03:22.980 Under two degrees Celsius warming,
- $75\ 00:03:22.980$ --> 00:03:26.000 which is the minimum goal for the Paris Climate Agreement,

- $76\ 00:03:26.000 --> 00:03:27.590$ an event of this magnitude might happen
- $77\ 00:03:27.590 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.740$ every five to 10 years.
- 78~00:03:30.310 --> 00:03:32.470 Of course, we know that cities amplify heat waves
- $79\ 00:03:32.470 --> 00:03:34.840$ because of urban heat island effects.
- $80\ 00:03:34.840 --> 00:03:37.320$ Cities can be significantly hotter than surrounding areas,
- 81 $00:03:37.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.343$ especially at night.
- $82\ 00:03:39.690 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.660$ In the left figure below,
- 83 00:03:41.660 --> 00:03:43.680 you see how climate change might shift
- $84\ 00:03:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.380$ the distribution of hot days.
- $85\ 00:03:45.380 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.680$ Now, to the right.
- $86\ 00:03:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.590$ In the right, you see the additional shifts
- $87\ 00:03:48.590 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.300$ from urban heat islands.
- $88~00:03:50.300 \dashrightarrow 00:03:52.440$ And the message from from this figure is that
- $89\ 00:03:52.440 --> 00:03:54.800$ even small rises in average heat
- $90~00:03:54.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.250$ can lead to large increases in extreme heat
- 91 00:03:57.250 --> 00:03:59.280 at the leading edge of the distribution,
- 92 00:03:59.280 --> 00:04:00.790 especially in cities where you have
- 93 00:04:00.790 --> 00:04:02.490 the additional amplifying effects.
- 94 00:04:03.810 --> 00:04:07.070 So let's cross the world to South Africa,
- 95 00:04:07.070 --> 00:04:09.300 and three years earlier.
- $96\ 00:04:09.300 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.100$ In 2018, after three consecutive years of low rainfall,
- 97 00:04:13.100 --> 00:04:15.210 Cape Town had one of the worst water crises
- $98\ 00:04:15.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.810$ ever recorded in the major city.
- 99 00:04:17.750 --> 00:04:20.720 Early that year, officials estimated that the water system
- $100\ 00{:}04{:}20.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}24.560$ would actually fail on a so-called "day zero" in April.
- $101~00{:}04{:}24.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}26.170$ In other words, they projected that water levels
- $102\ 00:04:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.290$ would be too low for any withdrawals

- $103\ 00:04:28.290 --> 00:04:31.273$ and the city would essentially have to shut the system down.
- $104~00{:}04{:}32.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.920$ The chart you see here is a measure of water storage
- $105\ 00:04:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.360$ for the city over the five proceeding years.
- $106\ 00:04:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.520$ The black lines at the bottom
- $107\ 00:04:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.880$ show have the minimum levels needed to allow withdrawals.
- 108 00:04:41.880 --> 00:04:43.260 Now,
- 109 00:04:43.260 --> 00:04:45.660 in this case, the city averted the crisis,
- 110 00:04:45.660 --> 00:04:47.640 but not before it drew up security plans
- 111 00:04:47.640 --> 00:04:50.400 to protect emergency water supplies.
- $112\ 00:04:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.470$ Water was severely rationed.
- $113\ 00:04:52.470 \dashrightarrow 00:04:55.570$ Citizens were challenged and in some cases, even shamed,
- $114\ 00:04:55.570 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.020$ into conserving and consumption was reduced
- $115\ 00{:}04{:}58.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00.410$ by more than half, which allowed the city to survive
- $116\ 00:05:00.410 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.460$ until the rains came.
- 117 00:05:02.980 --> 00:05:05.360 But even though the crisis was averted,
- $118\ 00{:}05{:}05{:}05{:}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}08{:}200$ it sort of highlighted some of the severe inequities
- $119\ 00:05:08.200 --> 00:05:10.630$ and conflicts related to water in the city.
- 120 00:05:10.630 --> 00:05:11.463 Now for example,
- 121 00:05:11.463 --> 00:05:13.270 informal settlements in Cape Town
- $122\ 00:05:13.270 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.410$ received less than 4% of the water supply,
- $123~00{:}05{:}16.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.490$ even though they represent 20% of the population.
- $124\ 00{:}05{:}19.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22.670$ There were conflicts over the use of water for public health
- $125\ 00:05:22.670 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.743$ versus for agricultural priorities.
- 126 00:05:25.960 --> 00:05:26.793 And again,
- $127\ 00:05:26.793 --> 00:05:28.970$ this is a situation where the systems

- 128 00:05:28.970 --> 00:05:31.670 that the city of Cape Town had put into place
- $129\ 00:05:31.670 --> 00:05:34.250$ just weren't designed for the conditions they encountered.
- $130\ 00:05:34.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.550$ They weren't well adapted.
- $131\ 00:05:37.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.910$ As for the Western American heat wave,
- $132\ 00:05:39.910 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.960$ this was a quite rare event,
- 133 00:05:41.960 --> 00:05:45.990 perhaps 0.7% per year in today's climate,
- $134\ 00:05:45.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.960$ but made five and a half times more likely
- 135 00:05:47.960 --> 00:05:49.960 because of human-induced climate change.
- 136 00:05:50.840 --> 00:05:52.860 In an intermediate warming scenario,
- $137\ 00:05:52.860 --> 00:05:56.280$ the probability of a drought as bad as this or worse
- 138~00:05:56.280 --> 00:06:00.430 could rise to 25% per year by the end of the century.
- $139~00:06:00.430 \dashrightarrow 00:06:03.020$ In a high warming scenario, it could rise to 80%.
- $140\ 00{:}06{:}03.020 {\: -->\:} 00{:}06{:}05.410$ So you would see this kind of drought most years,
- $141\ 00:06:05.410 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.243$ essentially.
- 142 00:06:08.200 --> 00:06:10.490 Again, cities amplified drought risks
- $143\ 00{:}06{:}10.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.660$ because they concentrate massive amounts of people
- 144 00:06:12.660 --> 00:06:14.530 in a small area,
- 145 00:06:14.530 --> 00:06:16.330 they impact health directly,
- $146\ 00:06:16.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.500$ but also through loss of livelihoods,
- 147 00:06:18.500 --> 00:06:20.260 impacts on agriculture,
- $148\ 00{:}06{:}20.260$ --> $00{:}06{:}23.810$ and sometimes even increased infectious disease risks.
- 149 00:06:23.810 --> 00:06:24.643 So for example,
- $150\ 00:06:24.643 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.850$ where hygiene suffers because of lack of water.
- 151 00:06:27.940 --> 00:06:29.840 As with almost all climate risks,
- $152\ 00{:}06{:}29.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32.540$ the greatest impacts are on the poor and marginalized.

- 153 00:06:34.660 --> 00:06:36.870 So coming back to the U.S. now,
- $154\ 00:06:36.870 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.210$ one year earlier than that.
- 155 00:06:38.210 --> 00:06:40.460 In 2017,
- $156\ 00{:}06{:}40.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}43.210$ Hurricane Harvey dumped as much as five feet of water
- $157\ 00:06:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.473$ on parts of Texas.
- $158\ 00:06:45.310 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.950$ Over a hundred people died,
- 159 00:06:46.950 --> 00:06:49.300 30,000 people were displaced,
- $160\ 00:06:49.300 \dashrightarrow 00:06:52.633$ and the storm caused \$125 billion worth of damage.
- $161\ 00:06:53.690 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.060$ Aside again, from the direct health impacts
- $162\ 00:06:56.060 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.740$ and environmental exposures,
- $163\ 00{:}06{:}57.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00.660$ many, many people suffered mental trauma from the disaster
- $164\ 00:07:00.660$ --> 00:07:03.960 and from the losses of their homes or their livelihoods.
- $165\ 00{:}07{:}03.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}06.970$ Again, impacts felt disproportionately on Black
- $166\ 00:07:06.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.163$ and poor residents.
- 167 00:07:09.470 --> 00:07:12.650 This was another extremely rare event,
- 168 00:07:12.650 --> 00:07:15.430 perhaps one in 2,000 years in today's climate,
- $169\ 00:07:15.430 --> 00:07:18.210$ I've seen as low as one in 9,000 years.
- $170\ 00:07:18.210 --> 00:07:20.290$ But again, the rainfall totals were made more than
- $171\ 00:07:20.290 --> 00:07:23.340$ three times as likely, like human-induced climate change,
- $172\ 00:07:23.340 --> 00:07:25.750$ and the risk might increase to one in 100
- $173\ 00:07:25.750 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.050$ by the end of the century.
- 174 00:07:28.810 --> 00:07:31.100 In Houston itself, within the city,
- $175\ 00:07:31.100 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.830$ modeling suggests that the urban environment
- 176 00:07:32.830 --> 00:07:35.070 not only exacerbated the flooding,
- $177\ 00:07:35.070 --> 00:07:38.990$ because of impervious services and channeling the water.

- $178\ 00:07:38.990 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.040$ but the urban environment actually increased local rainfall,
- $179\ 00:07:42.040 --> 00:07:44.220$ through interactions with meteorological system,
- 180 00:07:44.220 --> 00:07:45.590 making the observed flooding,
- $181\ 00:07:45.590 --> 00:07:48.983$ the observed water levels 21 times more likely.
- 182 00:07:51.400 --> 00:07:52.450 So,
- 183 00:07:52.450 --> 00:07:55.230 it's not hard to find material unfortunately
- $184\ 00:07:55.230 --> 00:07:57.020$ for climate and health.
- $185\ 00:07:57.020 --> 00:07:58.700$ There are hundreds of other examples
- 186 00:07:58.700 --> 00:08:00.910 that I could have used here.
- $187\ 00:08:00.910 --> 00:08:03.140$ All of these have connections to adaptation
- $188\ 00:08:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.500$ because they speak to the need to plan for such impacts,
- $189\ 00:08:06.500 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.060$ but they're also intimately linked to mitigation
- $190\ 00{:}08{:}09.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.020$ because all of them were made much more likely
- $191\ 00:08:11.020 --> 00:08:13.003$ by human greenhouse gas emissions.
- $192\ 00:08:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.210$ So these events really illustrate that climate change
- 193 00:08:16.210 --> 00:08:18.130 is not just the concern for the future,
- $194\ 00:08:18.130 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.350$ we're already seeing serious impacts today.
- $195\ 00:08:21.350 --> 00:08:23.970$ They show how cities mediate and modify
- $196\ 00:08:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.270$ both overall climate impacts and the distribution of impacts
- 197 00:08:27.270 --> 00:08:28.263 across society.
- $198\ 00{:}08{:}29.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31.730$ They show how much human-induced climate change
- 199 00:08:31.730 --> 00:08:34.570 has already increased health risks,
- $200\ 00:08:34.570 --> 00:08:37.800$ and how these health risks may increase in the future.
- 201 00:08:37.800 --> 00:08:40.410 And they tell us or they show us really,
- 202 00:08:40.410 --> 00:08:42.870 the cities are critical part of solutions

- $203\ 00:08:42.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.343$ for climate mitigation, adaptation, resilience.
- 204 00:08:46.670 --> 00:08:48.410 So for the rest of my talk,
- $205\ 00:08:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.450$ I'm gonna be discussing why cities are critical
- 206 00:08:51.450 --> 00:08:53.910 for climate and health impacts and solutions,
- $207\ 00:08:53.910 --> 00:08:55.790$ what challenges we face in implementing
- 208 00:08:55.790 --> 00:08:59.090 healthy climate action in cities and beyond,
- $209\ 00{:}08{:}59.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01.400$ why we should see many climate and health challenges
- $210\ 00:09:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.870$ in cities as systems problems,
- $211\ 00:09:03.870 --> 00:09:07.530$ and how a systems-based research agenda can help catalyze
- $212\ 00:09:07.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.423$ solutions.
- 213 00:09:09.830 --> 00:09:10.730 So, first of all,
- $214\ 00:09:10.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.480$ why are cities critical for climate and health impacts?
- 215 00:09:15.100 --> 00:09:18.780 First of all, cities are where we mostly live.
- $216\ 00:09:18.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.290$ And this is a fairly new situation.
- 217 00:09:21.290 --> 00:09:23.010 Most of us tend to think of, for example,
- 218 00:09:23.010 --> 00:09:24.580 the Industrial Revolution
- $219\ 00:09:24.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.150$ as the time of massive urbanization as I do.
- 220 00:09:28.150 --> 00:09:30.080 But the population of England in 1800
- 221 00:09:30.080 --> 00:09:32.143 was maybe just 10 to 20% urban.
- 222 00:09:33.300 --> 00:09:36.270 Urban population growth began to overtake rural
- $223\ 00:09:36.270 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.050$ about half a century ago.
- 224 00:09:38.050 --> 00:09:39.360 And according to the UN,
- 225 00:09:39.360 --> 00:09:42.180 we became majority urban around 2007,
- $226\ 00:09:42.180 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.800$ where you see these two curves cross.
- $227\ 00:09:44.800 --> 00:09:48.270$ Today, the UN estimates that we're about 55%,
- $228\ 00:09:48.270 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.973$ and by 2050, two-thirds of us will live in cities.
- $229\ 00:09:51.850 --> 00:09:54.130$ Now it's worth mentioning that these numbers are quite

- $230\ 00:09:54.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.963$ uncertain.
- 231 00:09:54.963 --> 00:09:58.770 We actually can't measure who lives in city
- 232 00:09:58.770 --> 00:10:01.090 and how many people live in city directly.
- 233 00:10:01.090 --> 00:10:04.380 We've tended to use national definitions for urban,
- $234\ 00:10:04.380 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.580$ whatever they are.
- $235\ 00{:}10{:}05.580 {\: \hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}10{:}09.140$ But in Norway or Sweden, a city with 200 people
- 236 00:10:09.140 --> 00:10:10.320 is considered urban.
- $237\ 00:10:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.440$ In Japan, you have to have 50,000 people
- $238\ 00:10:12.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.930$ to be considered urban.
- $239\ 00:10:13.930 \dashrightarrow 00:10:17.070$ So cross country comparisons are quite difficult.
- $240\ 00:10:17.070 --> 00:10:18.530$ There have been a few efforts to apply
- $241\ 00:10:18.530 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.560$ a single standard everywhere.
- $242\ 00:10:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.490$ One effort using a construct called degree of urbanization,
- $243\ 00:10:23.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.750$ puts the global urban share of the population
- 244 00:10:26.750 --> 00:10:29.410 at about 75 to 80%.
- $245\ 00:10:29.410 --> 00:10:32.053$ Most of the increase coming from Asia and Africa.
- $246\ 00:10:33.020 \dashrightarrow 00:10:34.800$ There's some controversy over that definition.
- $247\ 00:10:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.130$ There's other efforts, but whatever method you use,
- $248\ 00{:}10{:}38.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40.570$ the take home message is that we're mostly urban
- $249\ 00{:}10{:}40.570\ -->\ 00{:}10{:}42.820$ and we'll be adding billions of more city dwellers
- $250\ 00:10:42.820 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.370$ over the course of the century.
- 251 00:10:45.440 --> 00:10:46.410 So,
- $252\ 00:10:46.410 --> 00:10:48.900$ given that we're mostly urban species today
- 253 00:10:49.790 --> 00:10:51.430 from the standpoint of an ecologist,
- $254\ 00:10:51.430 --> 00:10:53.430$ cities are our dominant habitat

- $255\ 00:10:53.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.810$ and they've profoundly affect our health.
- $256\ 00:10:55.810$ --> 00:10:58.670 And I like to think of the analogy of a fish tank.
- 257 00:10:58.670 --> 00:11:00.640 So if you buy a fish tank,
- 258 00:11:00.640 --> 00:11:02.720 you have to supply it with fresh or salt water,
- 259 00:11:02.720 --> 00:11:04.360 depending on the kind of fish,
- $260\ 00:11:04.360 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.270$ you need to add light and heat,
- 261 00:11:06.270 --> 00:11:08.930 gravel, hiding places for the fish,
- $262\ 00:11:08.930 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.778$ you have to regularly add food, you need a filter,
- $263\ 00:11:11.778 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.740$ and so on and so forth.
- $264\ 00:11:13.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.700$ If you imagine building an ideal habitat for a human being,
- $265\ 00{:}11{:}17.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20.590$ it probably wouldn't look too much like modern cities,
- $266\ 00:11:20.590 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.240$ that some are better than others.
- $267~00{:}11{:}23.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26.390$ This list here is from Stephen Boyden's seminal work
- 268 00:11:26.390 --> 00:11:28.330 on human ecology in Hong Kong.
- 269 00:11:28.330 --> 00:11:30.900 And I love that this includes not just physical,
- $270\ 00:11:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.630$ but psychosocial needs.
- $271\ 00:11:32.630 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.820$ So, of course,
- 272 00:11:33.820 --> 00:11:36.860 cities should supply clean air, water and food,
- 273 00:11:36.860 --> 00:11:38.780 but it's equally important that they supply
- $274\ 00{:}11{:}38.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}42.853$ emotional support, and variety, and a sense of purpose.
- $275\ 00:11:44.210 --> 00:11:46.760$ But again, the key is that cities, in many ways,
- $276\ 00:11:46.760 --> 00:11:48.860$ determine the health of the human species.
- 277 00:11:50.770 --> 00:11:52.980 Virtually, every urban system affects health
- 278 00:11:52.980 --> 00:11:54.063 in familiar ways,
- $279\ 00:11:55.130 --> 00:11:57.820$ but also along pathways that we may not be aware of,

 $280\ 00:11:57.820 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.520$ or when we think about, excuse me.

 $281\ 00:12:03.020 --> 00:12:07.070$ Urban transport systems affect physical activity,

 $282\ 00:12:07.070 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.980$ they affect the air pollution and exposure to air pollution,

 $283\ 00{:}12{:}09.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}14.500$ mental health and opportunities for social interaction.

 $284\ 00:12:14.500 --> 00:12:15.780$ For women, in some contexts,

285 00:12:15.780 --> 00:12:17.680 they also can seriously affect safety

 $286\ 00:12:17.680 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.930$ or perceptions of safety.

 $287\ 00:12:19.890 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.820$ Housing affects exposure to extreme temperatures,

288 00:12:22.820 --> 00:12:25.940 infectious vectors, toxic pollutants,

289 00:12:25.940 --> 00:12:28.480 but it can also influence a sense of belonging

290 00:12:28.480 --> 00:12:30.423 and variety and daily experience.

 $291\ 00:12:31.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.050$ Cultural systems have impacts on creativity, of course,

292 00:12:35.050 --> 00:12:36.636 but also on loneliness,

 $293~00:12:36.636 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.890$ and even on infectious disease transmission

294 00:12:38.890 --> 00:12:42.143 as we've seen in lots of examples during COVID-19.

 $295~00{:}12{:}43.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}46.660$ And I'm sure I've left relevant systems off this list.

296 00:12:46.660 --> 00:12:47.810 I won't go through them all,

 $297\ 00:12:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.830$ but I just really want to emphasize the point that cities,

 $298\ 00{:}12{:}50.830 {\: -->\:} 00{:}12{:}53.790$ through their complex integrated dynamic systems,

 $299\ 00:12:53.790 --> 00:12:56.540$ are among the main drivers of our health and well being.

 $300\ 00:12:57.500 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.640$ Now, importantly, for what we're discussing today,

 $301~00{:}13{:}00.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02.840$ cities affect virtually all the pathways along which

 $302\ 00:13:02.840 --> 00:13:04.503$ climate change affects health.

- $303\ 00:13:05.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.690$ So you have direct impacts, for example, through storms,
- 304 00:13:08.690 --> 00:13:10.420 drought, flooding, and heat.
- $305\ 00:13:10.420 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.660$ And as we've seen,
- $306\ 00{:}13{:}11.660 {\:\hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}13{:}15.040$ these are all modified and sometimes amplified by cities
- $307\ 00:13:15.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.700$ in urban systems.
- 308 00:13:16.700 --> 00:13:18.190 You have indirect impacts,
- $309\ 00:13:18.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.640$ might mediated through ecological systems.
- $310\ 00:13:20.640 --> 00:13:22.700$ These are also affected by cities.
- 311 00:13:22.700 --> 00:13:25.450 So for example, cities drive deforestation,
- 312 00:13:25.450 --> 00:13:28.140 increasing the likelihood of zoonotic disease transmission
- $313~00{:}13{:}28.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32.580$ when previously separated species come into contact.
- $314\ 00{:}13{:}32.580 {\:{--}{>}\:} 00{:}13{:}35.810$ They can cause food system disruptions when they grow over
- 315 00:13:35.810 --> 00:13:38.030 or expand over productive agricultural land,
- $316\ 00:13:38.030 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.130$ which is quite common.
- $317\ 00:13:40.060 --> 00:13:42.030$ Indirect impacts can also be mediated
- $318\ 00{:}13{:}42.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}45.530$ through social processes like migration or trade.
- 319 00:13:45.530 --> 00:13:47.560 And of course, cities are the primary driver
- $320\ 00:13:47.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.043$ and destination of those processes as well.
- 321 00:13:51.570 --> 00:13:55.830 Cities are also where most mitigation and adaptation actions
- $322\ 00{:}13{:}55.830 {\:\hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}13{:}59.713$ either are implemented or are the driving force behind it.
- 323 00:14:02.590 --> 00:14:04.740 Perhaps most importantly,
- $324\ 00{:}14{:}04.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}07.980$ cities emit about three-quarters of all greenhouse gases
- $325\ 00:14:07.980 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.120$ from final energy use.
- $326\ 00:14:10.120 --> 00:14:12.880$ They use more than three quarters of all natural resources.

- $327\ 00:14:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.230$ They produce about half of all the waste
- $328\ 00:14:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.020$ that humanity produces.
- $329\ 00:14:17.020 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.540$ And the graph on the left shows
- $330\ 00:14:19.540 --> 00:14:22.090$ global greenhouse gas emissions by economic sector.
- $331\ 00:14:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.890$ Electricity and heat production, transportation, buildings,
- $332\ 00:14:27.890 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.300$ and to some extent, industry,
- $333\ 00:14:29.300 --> 00:14:31.670$ are important sources of urban emissions
- 334 00:14:31.670 --> 00:14:33.470 as you might imagine.
- $335\ 00:14:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.090$ But even emissions that happen in rural
- 336 00:14:36.090 --> 00:14:37.890 or undeveloped areas,
- $337\ 00:14:37.890 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.100$ so for example, from agriculture or forestry,
- $338\ 00:14:41.100 --> 00:14:43.330$ are mostly the result of urban demand
- $339\ 00:14:43.330 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.683$ for goods and services.
- 340 00:14:46.230 --> 00:14:47.510 On the right,
- $341\ 00{:}14{:}47.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}50.770$ the figure shows two common ways of accounting for emissions
- $342\ 00:14:50.770 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.570$ and the bluer circle towards the top,
- $343\ 00{:}14{:}53.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}56.640$ shows all emissions arising from goods and services produced
- $344\ 00:14:56.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.980$ within the city,
- $345\ 00:14:57.980 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.820$ whether they're consumed there or exported somewhere else.
- $346\ 00:15:00.820 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.193$ That's the usual way that we measure emissions.
- $347\ 00:15:04.130 --> 00:15:05.960$ The greener circle shows all emissions
- $348\ 00:15:05.960$ --> 00:15:09.140 arising from goods and services consumed by the city,
- $349\ 00:15:09.140 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.390$ wherever they're produced.
- 350 00:15:11.390 --> 00:15:13.990 And in fact, especially in wealthy cities,
- $351\ 00{:}15{:}13.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}16.820$ a high percentage of emissions are from imported goods

- $352\ 00:15:16.820 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.653$ and services.
- 353 00:15:17.653 --> 00:15:19.290 So, you buy your iPhone,
- 354 00:15:19.290 --> 00:15:20.870 and you don't have any emissions from that,
- $355\ 00{:}15{:}20.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}23.920$ but emissions are produced in China or somewhere else
- $356\ 00:15:23.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.943$ or where that phone is produced.
- 357 00:15:26.800 --> 00:15:28.630 We've done a lot less well at documenting
- $358\ 00:15:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.210$ so-called consumption-based emissions.
- $359\ 00:15:31.210 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.940$ For example, they're not generally included
- 360 00:15:32.940 --> 00:15:34.410 in net-zero commitments,
- $361\ 00:15:34.410 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.610$ which are pledges to reach a state of carbon neutrality
- $362\ 00:15:37.610 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.723$ by a certain date.
- $363\ 00:15:39.630 --> 00:15:42.020$ There are efforts underway to change that,
- 364 00:15:42.020 --> 00:15:44.310 led by groups like C40 Cities,
- $365~00{:}15{:}44.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46.510$ which is a network of the world's largest
- $366\ 00:15:46.510 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.910$ and most influential cities.
- 367 00:15:49.500 --> 00:15:50.580 So,
- 368 00:15:50.580 --> 00:15:53.710 just as urban populations are growing,
- $369\ 00:15:53.710 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.250$ so too our urban extents.
- $370\ 00{:}15{:}56.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59.160$ The amount of land that we devote to cities is projected
- 371 00:15:59.160 --> 00:16:01.573 to increase dramatically over the century.
- 372 00:16:02.720 --> 00:16:04.680 In fact, many analysts suggest
- $373\ 00{:}16{:}05.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10.090$ that we're more than double total urban land extents.
- $374~00{:}16{:}10.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.560~I$ believe Karen Seto, who I think is with us here,
- $375~00{:}16{:}12.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}15.800$ has estimated that 60% of all of the urban infrastructure
- $376\ 00:16:15.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.413$ that we're going to need has yet to be built.
- $377\ 00{:}16{:}22.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.580$ Under some scenarios of fossil fuel development,

- 378 00:16:24.580 --> 00:16:27.260 as you see on the right graph here,
- $379\ 00{:}16{:}27.260 --> 00{:}16{:}29.330$ models have projected that we could have as much as
- $380\ 00{:}16{:}29.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}32.340$ six times as much urban land by the end of the century
- $381\ 00:16:32.340 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.253$ as we have now.
- $382\ 00:16:34.440 --> 00:16:37.160$ More than two-thirds of the expansion in urban land
- $383\ 00:16:37.160 --> 00:16:39.570$ will happen in Africa and Asia.
- 384 00:16:39.570 --> 00:16:40.460 And so,
- $385\ 00{:}16{:}40.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}43.180$ you can imagine that this is a tremendous opportunity
- 386 00:16:43.180 --> 00:16:45.650 to rethink how we design our fish tank,
- 387 00:16:45.650 --> 00:16:48.320 how we make our cities healthier places,
- $388\ 00:16:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.070$ both for people and for the planet.
- 389 00:16:52.600 --> 00:16:53.433 So,
- $390\ 00{:}16{:}54.440$ --> $00{:}16{:}57.500$ I've highlighted some troubling trends and statistics here,
- 391 00:16:57.500 --> 00:16:58.970 but I really want to emphasize that
- $392\ 00:16:58.970 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.793$ cities can be forces for good.
- $393\ 00:17:01.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.910$ It's really important to remember that.
- $394~00{:}17{:}02.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}06.520$ These two pictures are before and after shots of a place
- 395 00:17:06.520 --> 00:17:07.940 in Seoul, South Korea,
- $396\ 00:17:07.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.093$ called Chonggyecheon.
- 397~00:17:10.490 --> 00:17:13.633 I'm positive, I'm but chering the pronunciation, but I try.
- $398\ 00:17:15.090 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.240$ From the late 1950s to the mid-1970s,
- $399\ 00:17:18.240 --> 00:17:20.890$ this was a site of major industrialization
- $400\ 00{:}17{:}20.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24.400$ and really a perfect example of car dependency.
- $401\ 00{:}17{:}24.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.730$ You can see in the upper picture that the site included
- $402\ 00:17:26.730 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.670$ an elevated highway.

- $403\ 00{:}17{:}28.670 --> 00{:}17{:}31.270$ This was constructed over the bed of a former river.
- $404\ 00:17:32.700 --> 00:17:36.720$ In 2003, the then mayor of Seoul initiated a project
- $405\ 00:17:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.450$ to remove the highway and restore the river.
- $406\ 00:17:39.450 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.340$ It was highly controversial.
- $407\ 00:17:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.420$ It was expected to lead to terrible congestion
- $408\ 00:17:43.420 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.970$ and other consequences,
- $409\ 00{:}17{:}44.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48.370$ but actually it's become a showcase for the city.
- $410\ 00:17:48.370 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.080$ The new watercourse, which you see in the lower picture,
- 411 00:17:52.080 --> 00:17:54.520 led to locally cooler temperatures,
- 412 00:17:54.520 --> 00:17:57.310 by some measures an increase in biodiversity,
- $413\ 00:17:57.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.030$ less traffic congestion,
- 414 00:17:59.030 --> 00:18:00.340 less pollution,
- 415 00:18:00.340 --> 00:18:01.710 more tourism,
- $416\ 00:18:01.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.343$ and cultural and economic revitalization.
- $417\ 00:18:05.380 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.050$ And cities everywhere are taking actions like this,
- 418 00:18:08.050 --> 00:18:10.240 and trying experiments like this.
- $419\ 00{:}18{:}10.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}13.160$ Now, we saw a host of new experiments in public space
- 420 00:18:13.160 --> 00:18:14.440 and infrastructure,
- 421 00:18:14.440 --> 00:18:17.570 and working in mobility during COVID-19
- $422\ 00:18:17.570 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.920$ in cities around the world.
- $423\ 00:18:20.270$ --> 00:18:23.870 Cities are also taking the lead on net-zero commitments,
- 424 00:18:23.870 --> 00:18:25.380 and adaptation matters,
- 425 00:18:25.380 --> 00:18:27.350 and on integrating all these activities
- $426\ 00:18:27.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.390$ under one-governance structure.
- 427 00:18:29.390 --> 00:18:31.660 So the city of Amsterdam, I believe,

- $428\ 00{:}18{:}31.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}34.130$ is taking an explicit Doughnut Economics Approach
- $429\ 00{:}18{:}34.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}37.160$ to their development, where they both mitigate
- $430\ 00:18:39.170 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.650$ the excesses of growth,
- $431\ 00{:}18{:}40.650 --> 00{:}18{:}43.840$ but also provide all the social needs for the population.
- $432\ 00:18:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.810$ So really important that we see cities not as problematic,
- $433\ 00:18:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.453$ but as a source of solutions.
- 434 00:18:51.080 --> 00:18:53.163 So now,
- $435~00{:}18{:}53.163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}54.990$ I want to talk a little bit about some of the challenges
- $436\ 00:18:54.990 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.620$ to implementing healthy climate action in cities.
- $437\ 00:18:58.620 \dashrightarrow 00:19:01.110$ Many of these things that I'll talk about, of course,
- $438\ 00:19:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.160$ apply to climate and health more broadly.
- $439\ 00:19:04.780 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.650$ One challenge is that we just don't know where we're going
- $440\ 00:19:07.650 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.230$ in terms of emissions pathways.
- 441 00:19:10.230 --> 00:19:11.700 This figure shows annual growth,
- $442\ 00:19:11.700 \dashrightarrow 00:19:14.960$ global greenhouse gas emissions under different scenarios.
- $443\ 00:19:14.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.080$ If we do nothing, we're up in this pink gray area,
- 444 00:19:18.080 --> 00:19:19.340 and we're probably looking at
- 445 00:19:19.340 --> 00:19:21.570 more than four degrees Celsius of warming,
- $446\ 00:19:21.570 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.800$ which would be catastrophic.
- $447\ 00:19:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.220$ But fortunately, we are already doing something,
- $448\ 00{:}19{:}26.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29.920$ and under current policies, we're probably in this tan space
- $449\ 00:19:29.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.020$ in the middle and looking about three degrees of warming,

- 450 00:19:33.020 --> 00:19:35.880 which would still be extremely serious.
- 451 00:19:35.880 --> 00:19:38.810 Our current pledges and targets under the Paris Agreement,
- $452\ 00:19:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.060$ get us down to about 2.4,
- $453\ 00:19:41.270 --> 00:19:43.910$ and if we were able to take the urgent massive action
- $454\ 00:19:43.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.120$ that we need to take,
- $455~00{:}19{:}45.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47.620$ we might still be able to hold a warming to two degrees
- $456\ 00:19:47.620 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.963$ or even 1.5.
- $457\ 00:19:50.890 --> 00:19:53.290$ But it's important to remember that all the climate impacts
- $458\ 00:19:53.290 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.464$ that we're seeing today are just
- $459\ 00:19:56.464 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.640\ 1.1$ or 1.2 degrees of warming.
- 460 00:19:58.640 --> 00:20:00.030 So even 1.5,
- $461\ 00:20:00.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.540$ even if we meet the goals of the Paris Agreement,
- $462\ 00{:}20{:}02.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05.330$ we're looking at significantly more serious health impacts
- $463\ 00:20:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.393$ and other impacts.
- $464\ 00:20:07.735 --> 00:20:10.800$ Now, of course, not knowing what to adapt to,
- $465\ 00{:}20{:}10.800 \to 00{:}20{:}14.010$ makes it quite difficult for cities to plan effectively.
- 466 00:20:14.010 --> 00:20:16.200 It also makes it quite difficult and challenging
- $467\ 00:20:16.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.523$ to project impacts.
- 468 00:20:19.280 --> 00:20:20.860 So,
- $469\ 00:20:20.860 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.380$ a second issue is that we don't know enough
- 470 00:20:23.380 --> 00:20:24.430 about tipping points.
- 471 00:20:25.698 --> 00:20:27.670 A tipping point is a set of conditions
- $472\ 00:20:27.670 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.610$ where small changes can lead to abrupt shifts
- $473\ 00:20:30.610 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.060$ in the state of a complex system.
- $474\ 00:20:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.640$ Most often, we hear about climate change tipping points.

- 475 00:20:36.640 --> 00:20:37.830 So for example,
- $476\ 00{:}20{:}37.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}40.600$ there's a hypothesis that if the Greenland ice sheet melts
- 477 00:20:40.600 --> 00:20:41.680 too quickly,
- $478\ 00:20:41.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.970$ the influx of cold water could shut down
- $479\ 00{:}20{:}43.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.650$ the circulation of the North Atlantic Ocean currents
- $480\ 00:20:46.650 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.550$ and that would cause a very rapid shift in global climate.
- $481\ 00:20:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.060$ So that's one climate tipping point.
- $482\ 00:20:53.060 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.340$ There are many other potential climates tipping points,
- $483\ 00{:}20{:}55.340 {\: -->\:} 00{:}20{:}58.440$ but tipping points aren't limited to climate systems.
- 484 00:20:58.440 --> 00:21:00.570 So you can have ecological tipping points,
- $485\ 00:21:00.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.263$ and socio-economic tipping points as well.
- $486\ 00:21:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.090$ So to give an example of an ecological tipping point,
- $487\ 00:21:07.090 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.250$ drier conditions can cause less vegetation growth,
- $488~00:21:10.250 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.050$ which leads to less evapotranspiration, even less rain,
- $489\ 00:21:14.050 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.350$ and eventually leads to rapid desertification.
- 490 00:21:17.350 --> 00:21:19.520 And there's evidence that that may have
- 491 00:21:19.520 --> 00:21:21.520 already started happening in some areas.
- 492 00:21:23.380 --> 00:21:25.540 In terms of socioeconomic tipping points,
- 493 00:21:25.540 --> 00:21:27.360 sea-level rise, or sustained drought
- $494\ 00{:}21{:}27.360 {\: -->\:} 00{:}21{:}29.540$ can lead to sudden a bandonment of settlements
- $495\ 00:21:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.543$ and out-migration.
- 496 00:21:31.380 --> 00:21:33.070 Imagine if the Cape Town drought
- $497\ 00:21:33.070 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.733$ had gone on a couple more years.
- $498\ 00{:}21{:}36.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}39.180$ Importantly, tipping points can also be positive.

- $499\ 00{:}21{:}39.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42.360$ We might see a sudden transition to renewable energy
- 500 00:21:42.360 --> 00:21:44.420 when a critical mass and cheaper technology
- $501\ 00:21:44.420 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.100$ leads to universal adaption.
- $502~00{:}21{:}46.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}49.030$ We've seen that kind of rapid spread for mobile phones
- $503\ 00:21:49.030 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.833$ and social media, for example.
- $504~00{:}21{:}52.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54.880$ But deep uncertainty about the likelihood, magnitude,
- $505~00{:}21{:}54.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}57.230$ and timing of tipping points is another factor that
- $506\ 00:21:57.230 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.730$ complicates city planning and even global climate planning
- 507 00:22:00.730 --> 00:22:02.093 and policy discourse.
- $508\ 00:22:05.220 --> 00:22:06.810$ We don't have enough information
- 509~00:22:06.810 --> 00:22:10.570 about the limits of adaptation or its effectiveness.
- $510~00{:}22{:}10.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14.030$ The figure here shows frequency of adverse impacts
- 511 00:22:14.030 --> 00:22:15.950 from some event on the Y-axis
- $512\ 00:22:17.040 --> 00:22:20.563$ and intensity of adverse impacts on the X-axis.
- 513 00:22:21.880 --> 00:22:24.670 So when frequency or intensity are very low,
- $514\ 00:22:24.670 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.010$ when they're in the blue,
- $515\ 00:22:26.010 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.010$ we don't worry about them.
- 516~00:22:27.010 --> 00:22:28.260 They're acceptable risks.
- 517 00:22:29.330 --> 00:22:31.320 Beyond some limit of acceptable risk,
- $518~00:22:31.320 \dashrightarrow 00:22:34.530$ which is shown here by the curve line at the lower left,
- $519\ 00:22:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.120$ we adapt to the risk,
- $520\ 00:22:36.120$ --> 00:22:39.250 but there are limits to what's possible or feasible.
- $521~00:22:39.250 \dashrightarrow 00:22:42.480$ A limit to adaptation is a point at which an actor
- 522 00:22:42.480 --> 00:22:45.170 can no longer secure valued objectives

- $523\ 00:22:45.170 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.063$ from intolerable risk through adaptive action.
- $524\ 00:22:48.950$ --> 00:22:52.180 So the point at which your adaptive action can't secure
- $525\ 00:22:52.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.740$ what you need to secure.
- 526 00:22:53.740 --> 00:22:55.560 Above the limits of adaptation,
- 527 00:22:55.560 --> 00:22:57.970 which is the second curve line in this figure,
- 528 00:22:57.970 --> 00:23:00.250 to the upper right, risks are so severe
- $529~00:23:00.250 \dashrightarrow 00:23:03.470$ that we have to try to avoid them or mitigate them.
- 530 00:23:03.470 --> 00:23:05.357 And you may have heard the phrase,
- 531~00:23:05.357 --> 00:23:08.867 "Adapt to what you can't avoid, avoid what you can't adapt."
- $532\ 00:23:10.470 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.120$ Barriers to adaptation can be physiological.
- $533~00{:}23{:}14.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}16.540$ So for example, where heat and humidity go beyond
- 534 00:23:16.540 --> 00:23:19.330 the human body's capacity to cool itself,
- 535 00:23:19.330 --> 00:23:21.270 they can also be ecological, social,
- $536~00{:}23{:}21.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}24.253$ cultural, physical infrastructural, or technological.
- 537 00:23:25.110 --> 00:23:28.130 I'm sure there are other things that they can be
- $538~00{:}23{:}28.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30.780$ So we need to have a much better understanding of the limits
- $539\ 00:23:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.613$ to adaptation.
- $540\ 00:23:33.630 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.463$ One second.
- 541 00:23:36.730 --> 00:23:39.350 In terms of effectiveness,
- $542\ 00{:}23{:}39.350 {\:\hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}23{:}42.640$ we have lots of projections and sort of modeled estimates of
- 543 00:23:42.640 --> 00:23:44.710 the effectiveness of potential actions,
- $544\ 00{:}23{:}44.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}48.750$ but far fewer measurements of performance of adaptation
- $545\ 00:23:48.750 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.490$ in reducing health or climate impacts or risks.
- 546 00:23:52.490 --> 00:23:53.990 So,

- $547\ 00:23:53.990 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.340$ as things become more and more implemented in the world,
- $548\ 00:23:56.340 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.883$ we need evaluations of those projects.
- $549\ 00:23:59.900 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.240$ Even when we know adaptation has been effective,
- $550\ 00:24:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.460$ it's hard to separate out
- 551 00:24:03.460 --> 00:24:05.630 the effects of personal behavioral change,
- $552\ 00{:}24{:}05.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08.600$ changing contextual factors, and specific interventions.
- $553\ 00:24:08.600 --> 00:24:10.510$ So we need a theory that helps us
- $554\ 00:24:10.510 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.443$ disentangle those patterns.
- 555 00:24:14.470 --> 00:24:15.303 Another challenge,
- 556 00:24:15.303 --> 00:24:17.490 and this is a really important one,
- 557 00:24:17.490 --> 00:24:19.660 from my perspective, is that existing research
- 558 00:24:19.660 --> 00:24:21.913 doesn't reflect non-patterns of risk.
- $559~00{:}24{:}22.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26.320$ The figure above is from a preprint of a new review.
- $560\ 00:24:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.040$ They used machine learning approaches
- $561\ 00:24:28.040 --> 00:24:31.690$ to evaluate about 16,000 climate and health studies.
- $562~00{:}24{:}31.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}34.180$ And if you notice the scale, there is a log scale.
- $563\ 00:24:34.180 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.430$ so keep that in mind.
- $564\ 00:24:36.430 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.030$ Notice where the studies are concentrated.
- $565~00{:}24{:}39.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}42.550$ The second figure below shows the locations of heat wave
- $566\ 00{:}24{:}42.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}45.500$ and health research over close to half a century.
- 567 00:24:45.500 --> 00:24:47.130 It's even a starker pattern,
- 568 00:24:47.130 --> 00:24:48.690 and that's for one specific risk,
- $569~00{:}24{:}48.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52.590$ but you can do that for any different climate analysis.
- $570~00{:}24{:}52.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}55.120$ In both cases, there's a significant lack of research

- 571 00:24:55.120 --> 00:24:58.130 in countries and cities that will experience
- 572 00:24:58.130 --> 00:25:01.000 serious climate and health impacts.
- 573 00:25:01.000 --> 00:25:04.000 That includes Latin America, Africa,
- $574\ 00:25:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.810$ the Middle East, Central Asia and Oceania.
- 575 00:25:07.810 --> 00:25:10.530 And lots of research in the U.S. and Europe,
- $576~00:25:10.530 \dashrightarrow 00:25:13.280$ India and China, but much of the rest of the world
- $577\ 00:25:13.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.143$ needs a lot more.
- 578 00:25:16.020 --> 00:25:17.960 We still don't have nearly enough evidence
- 579 00:25:17.960 --> 00:25:20.420 on how cities interact with modify and mediate
- $580\ 00:25:20.420 --> 00:25:22.260$ climate health relationships.
- 581 00:25:22.260 --> 00:25:23.850 And because we haven't done the research,
- 582 00:25:23.850 --> 00:25:26.140 we especially have limited information about
- $583~00{:}25{:}26.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}28.770$ how these interactions are already affecting residents
- 584 00:25:28.770 --> 00:25:31.620 of informal settlements, secondary cities,
- 585 00:25:31.620 --> 00:25:32.970 cities in the Global South.
- $586\ 00:25:33.990 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.540$ or how they'll affect in the future.
- 587 00:25:36.540 --> 00:25:38.400 We don't have enough evidence on impacts
- $588~00{:}25{:}38.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}41.620$ on marginalized groups or intersectional impacts,
- $589\ 00:25:41.620 --> 00:25:43.650$ even in high-income countries.
- $590~00{:}25{:}43.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}46.290$ And we don't have enough evidence on impacts
- 591 00:25:46.290 --> 00:25:49.140 mediated via complex indirect pathways,
- $592~00{:}25{:}49.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51.543$ which I'll talk a little bit more about later.
- $593~00{:}25{:}52.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55.070$ And of course we've seen the climate change
- $594~00{:}25{:}55.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57.950$ will push our infrastructure beyond the tolerances
- $595\ 00:25:57.950 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.730$ that it was designed for.
- 596~00:25:59.730 --> 00:26:02.900 That was something in several of the examples that I gave.

- 597~00:26:02.900 --> 00:26:05.840 We need much more information on how our infrastructure
- $598\ 00:26:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.093$ would respond to and what we do to fix it.
- 599~00:26:10.600 --> 00:26:14.790 So another issue is that we have systematically incomplete
- $600\ 00:26:14.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.513$ information on how to catalyze climate action.
- $601\ 00:26:18.430 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.370$ And some of you may be familiar with this picture.
- $602\ 00{:}26{:}21.370$ --> $00{:}26{:}24.590$ This picture represents a story from World War II.
- $603\ 00:26:24.590 --> 00:26:26.780$ Bombers were being regularly shot down
- $604\ 00:26:26.780 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.570$ when they went out on raids,
- $605\ 00:26:28.570 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.300$ and the U.S. Military was trying to figure out
- $606\ 00:26:30.300 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.520$ what to do about it.
- $607\ 00{:}26{:}31.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}34.440$ So when the bombers came back, they systematically mapped
- $608~00{:}26{:}34.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37.360$ the bullet holes in planes returning from combat,
- $609\ 00:26:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.400$ and they proposed to add armor to the parts
- $610\ 00:26:39.400 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.600$ that had the most holes.
- 611 00:26:41.440 --> 00:26:43.880 But a statistician named Abraham Wald,
- $612~00{:}26{:}43.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46.690$ pointed out the solution was the exact opposite
- $613\ 00{:}26{:}46.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.220$ because these were the planes that had survived.
- $614\ 00:26:49.220 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.160$ So the military should armor the parts with no bullet holes,
- $615\ 00:26:52.160 --> 00:26:54.300$ because any plane that got hit in those places
- $616\ 00:26:54.300 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.300$ didn't make it back.
- $617\ 00:26:56.370 --> 00:26:59.510$ This type of effect has been called survivorship bias.
- $618\ 00:26:59.510 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.733$ and it's really common.
- 619 00:27:01.570 --> 00:27:03.540 In the context of climate change,

- $620~00{:}27{:}03.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.360$ we're beginning to have many collections of implemented
- $621\ 00:27:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.110$ mitigation adaptation and co-benefits actions.
- $622\ 00{:}27{:}09.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11.860$ And often these collections try to pull out and identify
- $623\ 00:27:11.860 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.830$ the salient shared features of success.
- $624\ 00{:}27{:}14.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}17.150$ But we have far less information on interventions
- 625 00:27:17.150 --> 00:27:19.810 that failed during implementation.
- $626~00{:}27{:}19.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.980$ Almost no information at all on actions that were rejected
- 627 00:27:22.980 --> 00:27:24.563 during ideation or planning.
- $628\ 00{:}27{:}26.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28.840$ Actions that were proved and never implemented.
- 629 00:27:28.840 --> 00:27:31.590 In this context, survivorship bias can arise
- $630\ 00{:}27{:}31.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35.850$ to drawing conclusions only from successful climate action.
- $631\ 00:27:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.600$ So we need to look at the failures.
- $632~00{:}27{:}39.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.360$ Another challenge is that research policy and practice
- $633\ 00:27:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.943$ tend to operate in silos.
- $634\ 00{:}27{:}44.990 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}27{:}47.360$ In other words, people tend to engage, primarily,
- $635\ 00{:}27{:}47.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.650$ with the concepts, people, problems and actions
- $636\ 00:27:50.650 --> 00:27:54.510$ that relate to their own specific area of work or interest.
- $637\ 00{:}27{:}54.510$ --> $00{:}27{:}57.340$ Obviously, this challenges effective communication,
- $638\ 00:27:57.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.100$ the challenges are believed to integrate
- 639 00:27:59.100 --> 00:28:01.090 research policy and practice,
- $640~00:28:01.090 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.750$ and it challenges the coherence of the actions
- $641\ 00:28:03.750 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.017$ that we implement.
- $642~00{:}28{:}06.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09.350$ One thing that I and many others have observed is that

- 643 00:28:09.350 --> 00:28:11.530 health has actually, often particularly,
- $644\ 00:28:11.530 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.580$ separated from other sectors.
- 645~00:28:13.580 --> 00:28:16.880 And maybe this is because of deference to the health sector.
- $646\ 00:28:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.350$ maybe it has something to do with specialization,
- 647 00:28:19.350 --> 00:28:21.290 maybe it's because health is life and death,
- 648 00:28:21.290 --> 00:28:24.260 and so occupies a sort of a different place.
- 649 00:28:24.260 --> 00:28:26.530 But the result is that in many cities,
- 650 00:28:26.530 --> 00:28:27.700 just to give one example,
- $651\ 00:28:27.700 --> 00:28:30.590$ urban and transport planners have little or no contact
- $652\ 00:28:30.590 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.700$ with the health department,
- $653~00{:}28{:}31.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}34.100$ even though their actions have huge implications
- $654\ 00{:}28{:}34.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37.423$ for health and well being, and obviously for climate.
- $655\ 00{:}28{:}39.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.150$ Another challenge is that the pace of the required change
- $656\ 00:28:43.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.213$ of what we have to do is getting faster and faster.
- $657\ 00:28:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.910$ Every year that we delay action,
- $658\ 00:28:48.910 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.420$ the climate challenge becomes greater.
- 659 00:28:51.420 --> 00:28:52.730 As of 2019,
- $660~00{:}28{:}52.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56.360$ we would have had to cut emissions by 7.6% each year,
- 661 00:28:56.360 --> 00:28:57.220 globally,
- $662\ 00:28:57.220 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.290$ to meet the goals of the Paris Agreement.
- $663\ 00{:}28{:}59.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}03.380$ And just for perspective, in 2020 with COVID-19,
- $664\ 00:29:03.380 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.530$ we had just a 6.4% drop in emissions.
- $665\ 00:29:06.530 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.700$ So that starts to give you a sense of the scale
- $666\ 00:29:08.700 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.363$ of what we need to do every year.

- $667~00:29:11.660 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.600$ The figure here shows how the pace and trajectory
- $668\ 00:29:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.490$ of the needed emissions reductions changes
- $669\ 00:29:16.490 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.320$ with the year when they begin.
- $670\ 00:29:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.530$ So if they had started in 2000,
- $671\ 00:29:20.530 --> 00:29:22.110$ it would have been a much shallower
- $672\ 00:29:22.110 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.520$ reduction that we would have had to have.
- $673\ 00:29:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.570$ Now it's much deeper.
- 674 00:29:26.280 --> 00:29:28.017 Not only do we have to move faster than ever,
- $675\ 00:29:28.017 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.970$ but we have to do more than ever before.
- 676 00:29:30.970 --> 00:29:33.780 So our goal can't be just to reduce emissions,
- $677\ 00:29:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.080$ but we also have to meet all the other goals
- $678\ 00:29:36.080 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.210$ to sustainable development.
- 679 00:29:37.210 --> 00:29:39.480 We have to the end poverty and hunger,
- 680 00:29:39.480 --> 00:29:42.050 provide education and equality,
- $681\ 00:29:42.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.593$ and all of the other SDGs.
- $682\ 00:29:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.140$ The figure on the left
- $683\ 00:29:51.100 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.120$ just shows how health is intimately linked
- $684\ 00:29:53.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.580$ with all of those goals.
- 685 00:29:54.580 --> 00:29:55.413 And on the right,
- 686 00:29:55.413 --> 00:29:57.410 we have countries plotted, excuse me,
- $687\ 00{:}29{:}57.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}59.440$ on the right we have countries plotted with respect to their
- 688 00:29:59.440 --> 00:30:02.580 ecological footprint per capita on the Y-axis,
- $689\ 00{:}30{:}02.580 \to 00{:}30{:}05.410$ and their human development index on the X-axis.
- $690\ 00:30:05.410 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.070$ So the further to the right on this chart,
- $691\ 00:30:08.070 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.960$ the better your standards of living.
- $692\ 00{:}30{:}09.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}12.403$ The lower down, the more sustainable you are.
- $693\ 00:30:13.610 --> 00:30:15.450$ The shaded square at the bottom right
- $694\ 00:30:15.450 --> 00:30:17.420$ defines the space within which countries

 $695\ 00:30:17.420 --> 00:30:19.160$ have high human development

 $696\ 00:30:19.160 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.860$ and live within the world's limits.

 $697\ 00{:}30{:}21.860 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{0}}}\ 00{:}30{:}23.640$ And you can see that there are very few countries

 $698~00{:}30{:}23.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}25.950$ in that space, and we need to get everyone there

699 00:30:25.950 --> 00:30:26.783 quite quickly.

 $700\ 00:30:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.500$ So one last challenge is that we have lots of commitments,

 $701\ 00:30:31.500 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.693$ but actual implementation lags far behind.

 $702\ 00:30:34.680 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.970$ Here, we see cities and regions that have pledged

 $703\ 00:30:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.710$ a net-zero emissions target,

 $704\ 00:30:38.710 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.220$ and we also have the percentage of national populations

 $705\ 00:30:41.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.260$ that are covered by these targets.

 $706\ 00:30:43.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.564$ As of 2020, 126 countries and 51% of global emissions,

 $707\ 00:30:46.564 --> 00:30:49.264$ As of 2020, 126 countries and 51% of global emissions,

 $708\ 00:30:50.180$ --> 00:30:53.210 excuse me, of the global population had netzero goals,

 $709\ 00{:}30{:}53.210 --> 00{:}30{:}57.230$ either formerly adopted, announced, or under consideration.

 $710\ 00:30:57.230 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.640$ But pledging and implementing are far different things,

711 00:30:59.640 --> 00:31:01.672 politically and practically.

 $712\ 00:31:01.672 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.000$ So we need to keep an eye on this

 $713\ 00:31:03.000 --> 00:31:06.343$ and we need mechanisms for accountability.

 $714\ 00:31:07.680 --> 00:31:10.540$ So I want to shift gears here and talk about

715 00:31:10.540 --> 00:31:12.710 the systemic nature of many urban challenges,

716 00:31:12.710 --> 00:31:15.220 including those related to climate and health,

717 00:31:15.220 --> 00:31:18.650 and why we should think of them as systems problems.

718 00:31:18.650 \rightarrow 00:31:22.810 So, first of all, what do I mean by systems problems?

719 00:31:22.810 --> 00:31:26.220 Systems problems arise from the interactions of networks

 $720\ 00:31:26.220 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.080$ of interconnected elements or systems.

721 00:31:29.080 --> 00:31:31.440 They tend to have various features,

 $722\ 00:31:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.960$ detailed complexity, so they have lots of variables,

 $723\ 00:31:33.960 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.340$ there's lots of things going on.

724 00:31:36.340 --> 00:31:38.120 Dynamic complexity.

 $725\ 00:31:38.120 --> 00:31:41.100$ Cause and effect can be hard to define in these systems.

 $726\ 00:31:41.100 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.550$ The outcomes of interventions aren't obvious.

 $727\ 00:31:44.550 --> 00:31:46.840$ They usually have multiple stakeholders acting on

 $728\ 00:31:46.840 --> 00:31:50.780$ incomplete information, often with conflicting motives.

729 00:31:50.780 --> 00:31:54.010 They operate across multiple scales and sectors.

 $730\ 00:31:54.010 --> 00:31:56.130$ They're often resistant to change or sometimes

731 $00:31:56.130 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.320$ they'll change very suddenly and unexpectedly.

 $732\ 00:31:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.973$ And they're usually related to other problems.

 $733\ 00:32:03.750 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.180$ So the defining feature of systems problems is feedback,

734 00:32:07.180 --> 00:32:10.300 which can be reinforcing or balancing.

 $735\ 00:32:10.300 --> 00:32:13.760$ Reinforcing feedbacks lead to exponential growth decline,

 $736\ 00:32:13.760 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.590$ balancing feedbacks lead to stable values.

 $737\ 00:32:16.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.300$ So remember the example of desertification before,

 $738\ 00:32:20.300 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.830$ where less rain went to less vegetation,

 $739\ 00:32:22.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.140$ went the less rain and so on,

740 00:32:24.140 --> 00:32:26.073 that's a reinforcing feedback loop.

- 741 00:32:27.190 --> 00:32:29.290 Your thermostat in your house operates
- 742 00:32:29.290 --> 00:32:31.480 on the principle of balancing feedback.
- $743\ 00:32:31.480 --> 00:32:33.770$ When the gap between the room temperature
- $744\ 00:32:33.770 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.840$ and your thermostat setting gets large,
- $745\ 00:32:35.840 --> 00:32:38.460$ it turns on the furnace and the room heats up.
- $746\ 00:32:38.460 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.600$ When the gap becomes smaller,
- $747\ 00:32:40.600 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.350$ it turns off the furnace so the temperature stays close
- 748 00:32:43.350 --> 00:32:44.700 to the desired temperature.
- 749 00:32:46.340 --> 00:32:48.800 So an important observation here is that you can have
- 750 00:32:48.800 --> 00:32:52.120 a valid causal relationship between A and B,
- $751\ 00:32:52.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.380$ perfectly valid, but still see all sorts of different
- $752\ 00:32:54.380 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.850$ behavior in the real world,
- $753\ 00:32:55.850 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.790$ depending on other connections in the system.
- $754\ 00{:}32{:}58.790 {\: \text{--}}{\:>} 00{:}33{:}01.360$ System behavior can be explained endogenously
- 755 00:33:01.360 --> 00:33:04.450 in terms of feedbacks, delays, stocks, flows,
- $756\ 00:33:04.450 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.610$ and parameters within the system.
- $757~00{:}33{:}06.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08.630$ That means that the way the system behaves
- $758\ 00:33:08.630 \dashrightarrow > 00:33:11.630$ depends on the way the elements of the system are connected.
- 759 00:33:13.340 --> 00:33:14.880 Simple system structures,
- $760\ 00:33:14.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.370$ or combinations of feedback loops and delays,
- $761\ 00:33:17.370 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.193$ give rise to characteristic patterns of behavior.
- $762\ 00{:}33{:}22.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26.010$ Sometimes, we see these called systems archetypes.
- 763 00:33:26.010 --> 00:33:28.690 So seeing a certain pattern suggests a certain relationship
- $764\ 00:33:28.690 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.340$ between the elements in a system.
- 765 00:33:31.370 --> 00:33:33.540 So just to give a couple of examples,
- $766\ 00:33:33.540 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.450$ the top example here,

767 00:33:35.450 --> 00:33:38.414 you have a balancing feedback loop with a delay

 $768\ 00:33:38.414 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.250$ and that gives dampening oscillations.

769 00:33:40.250 --> 00:33:42.720 So if your thermostat is slow to react,

 $770\ 00:33:42.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.070$ you'd see this kind of pattern.

771 $00:33:45.070 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.180$ The second example,

 $772\ 00:33:46.180 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.500$ a reinforcing loop tied to a balancing loop

773 $00:33:49.500 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.060$ can give you a typical logistic growth curve.

 $774\ 00:33:52.060 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.893 \text{ So},$

775 00:33:54.043 --> 00:33:55.640 in the second diagram, we have population growth

776 00:33:55.640 --> 00:33:57.780 with an ecological carrying capacity.

777 00:33:57.780 --> 00:34:00.740 At low populations, the reinforcing loop dominates

 $778\ 00:34:00.740 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.370$ and growth is exponential,

 $779\ 00:34:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.420$ and at high populations, the balancing loop dominates,

 $780\ 00:34:05.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.630$ so growth slows until the population equals

 $781\ 00:34:07.630 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.730$ the carrying capacity.

 $782\ 00:34:09.780 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.600$ There are many other well-established systems archetypes,

 $783\ 00{:}34{:}12.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15.040$ and of course, these relationships can be expressed

 $784\ 00:34:15.040 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.713$ mathematically and modeled.

 $785\ 00{:}34{:}18.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22.250$ Simple systems structures combined into broader systems

786 00:34:22.250 --> 00:34:23.873 in constant dynamic flux.

 $787\ 00:34:25.230$ --> 00:34:28.190 And this is where conventional approaches really struggle.

 $788\ 00:34:28.190 --> 00:34:30.820$ So when you have health needs and risk factors

 $789\ 00{:}34{:}30.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}33.730$ and diseases and health resources that are all fluctuating

790 00:34:33.730 --> 00:34:35.083 constantly over time,

791 00:34:36.070 --> 00:34:39.483 it's hard to develop valid conclusions.

792 00:34:40.530 --> 00:34:42.100 Earlier, I mentioned silos,

 $793\ 00:34:42.100 --> 00:34:44.660$ here's where they really become relevant.

 $794\ 00:34:44.660 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.350$ So when dealing with a system virtually, everyone sees,

 $795\ 00:34:47.350 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.390$ tends to see their own part,

 $796\ 00:34:49.390 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.420$ the part most related to their own work, or their own ideas,

 $797\ 00:34:52.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.570$ or their own community.

 $798\ 00:34:54.460 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.680$ So climate scientists tend to look at climate variables,

 $799\ 00:34:57.680 --> 00:34:59.960$ city planners look at urban variables,

800 00:34:59.960 --> 00:35:01.490 health professionals tend to look at

 $801\ 00:35:01.490 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.380$ direct health relationships.

802 00:35:03.380 --> 00:35:05.120 Now, of course,

 $803\ 00:35:05.120 --> 00:35:07.750$ there's intentional reaching across the boundaries.

 $804\ 00:35:07.750 --> 00:35:11.040$ Health scientists certainly look at the impacts of variables

 $805\ 00:35:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.140$ in other parts of the system,

 $806\ 00:35:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.340$ but it's rare that anyone is able to perceive

807 00:35:15.340 --> 00:35:17.410 the whole system and the way things co-vary

 $808\ 00:35:17.410 --> 00:35:19.053$ and interact at the same time.

 $809~00{:}35{:}20.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}22.680$ So an important guideline and systems thinking

 $810\ 00:35:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.750$ is that you can't understand

 $811\ 00:35:23.750 --> 00:35:25.200$ the behavior of that whole system

812 00:35:25.200 --> 00:35:27.713 by understanding the behavior of individual parts.

 $813\ 00:35:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.070$ This is especially true in critical feedback loops,

 $814\ 00{:}35{:}32.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35.880$ especially if feedback loops that have delayed action

 $815\ 00:35:35.880 --> 00:35:38.030$ when they cross silo boundaries.

816 00:35:38.030 --> 00:35:39.330 And under those circumstances,

817 00:35:39.330 --> 00:35:42.240 it's very common for decision-makers to be surprised

818 00:35:42.240 --> 00:35:43.970 by the counter-intuitive outcomes

 $819\ 00:35:43.970 --> 00:35:47.003$ or the failure of policies or interventions.

820 00:35:48.550 --> 00:35:49.560 Now,

821 00:35:49.560 --> 00:35:52.430 practitioners of systems analysis and systems thinking

 $822\ 00:35:52.430$ --> 00:35:55.820 have developed heuristics about when and how to intervene

 $823\ 00:35:55.820 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.510$ in a system to have greatest impact.

 $824\ 00:35:58.510 --> 00:36:00.750$ These are so-called leverage points,

825~00:36:00.750 --> 00:36:02.880 and some of them are more effective than others.

 $826\ 00{:}36{:}02.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}06.200$ So the lowest value leverage points are parameters.

827 00:36:06.200 \rightarrow 00:36:09.963 So for example, the rates of flow into or out of stocks.

828 00:36:10.970 --> 00:36:14.630 Higher up on leverage scale are physical system structures

 $829\ 00:36:14.630 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.850$ like buffers and material stocks and flows.

 $830\ 00:36:17.850 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.700$ Even higher are control structures.

831 00:36:20.700 --> 00:36:22.220 The structures that control of the working

832 00:36:22.220 --> 00:36:24.110 in the system, feedback loops,

 $833\ 00:36:24.110 --> 00:36:26.023$ information flows and rules.

834 00:36:27.070 --> 00:36:29.160 The highest leverage points are those that allow

 $835\ 00:36:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.400$ the system structure or the goals to change,

 $836\ 00{:}36{:}32.400 \longrightarrow 00{:}36{:}34.843$ so if you can add feedback loops or remove them.

 $837\ 00{:}36{:}35.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}38.440$ And if you look at the very peak are interventions to change

 $838\ 00:36:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.370$ the paradigm out of which systems arise.

 $839\ 00:36:41.370 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.180$ In a real sense, that's what we're trying to do

 $840\ 00:36:43.180 --> 00:36:44.767$ in the context of climate change

- 841 00:36:44.767 --> 00:36:46.520 and sustainable development.
- $842\ 00{:}36{:}46.520 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}36{:}50.070$ We want to shift our shared understanding of the goal
- $843\ 00{:}36{:}50.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}53.307$ of the human system, of humanity's place in the world.
- $844\ 00:36:56.210 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.283$ In the meantime,
- $845\ 00:36:58.120 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.350$ systems thinkers tell us
- $846\ 00:37:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.020$ that most of what we do to solve problems
- $847\ 00:37:03.020 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.620$ of the options that we look at,
- $848\ 00:37:05.620 \dashrightarrow 00:37:08.580$ tend to rely on low value leverage points,
- 849 00:37:08.580 --> 00:37:10.980 and that we often, after we've identified them,
- $850\ 00:37:10.980 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.040$ push them in the wrong direction.
- $851\ 00{:}37{:}13.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}15.320$ So the systems approaches offer an opportunity
- $852\ 00:37:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.593$ to identify higher quality actions.
- $853\ 00{:}37{:}19.820$ --> $00{:}37{:}22.930$ Many urban climate and health challenges have features
- $854\ 00:37:22.930$ --> 00:37:26.340 or show behaviors that we associate with systems problems.
- $855\ 00:37:26.340$ --> 00:37:30.070 There are processes that we see replicated again and again
- $856\ 00:37:30.070 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.090$ in cities around the world.
- $857\ 00:37:32.090$ --> 00:37:35.120 Urban sprawl, traffic congestion, gentrification.
- 858 00:37:35.120 --> 00:37:37.360 slum formation, air pollution,
- 859 00:37:37.360 --> 00:37:39.380 patterns of consumption growth.
- $860\ 00:37:39.380 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.520$ All of these are processes that resist change,
- $861\ 00:37:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.410$ that involve multiple stakeholders, and so on.
- $862\ 00{:}37{:}46.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}49.840$ We also see persistent why they replicated social patterns
- $863\ 00:37:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.606$ like prejudice and denialism.
- $864\ 00:37:52.606 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.710$ And this should be no surprise.
- $865\ 00:37:54.710 --> 00:37:56.610$ Cities are the most complex systems

- $866\ 00:37:56.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.410$ that human beings have ever created.
- $867\ 00:37:59.290 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.640$ And all of this suggests that we need
- $868\ 00:38:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.560$ a systems-based research agenda to address
- $869\ 00:38:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.583$ these and other climate and health issues.
- $870\ 00:38:07.830 --> 00:38:09.940$ Now, what I mean by a systems-based research agenda
- $871~00{:}38{:}09.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}12.330$ is not a replacement of traditional epidemiological
- 872 00:38:12.330 --> 00:38:13.790 or public health approaches.
- $873\ 00:38:13.790 --> 00:38:16.283$ I think those are absolutely critical.
- 874 00:38:17.200 --> 00:38:19.570 And we have to make sure that we don't disrupt
- $875\ 00:38:19.570 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.120$ traditional science.
- $876\ 00:38:21.120 --> 00:38:23.350$ What I rather mean is a program of work
- 877 00:38:23.350 --> 00:38:25.670 that complements traditional methods,
- 878 00:38:25.670 --> 00:38:28.120 that frames them within a systems context,
- $879~00:38:28.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.820$ and that draws on them to map complex problems,
- $880\ 00:38:31.820 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.950$ and identify solutions.
- $881\ 00:38:33.950 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.840$ A systems agenda would include components
- $882\ 00:38:35.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.400$ that apply methods to understand complexity
- 883 00:38:38.400 --> 00:38:41.080 and that engage broadly across disciplines,
- $884\ 00:38:41.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.633$ and especially beyond science.
- $885\ 00:38:43.970 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.420$ Now, this could be more or less expensive,
- 886 00:38:46.420 --> 00:38:47.890 but I've mapped out some of the components
- $887\ 00:38:47.890 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.180$ that I think are necessary.
- 888 00:38:50.180 --> 00:38:52.630 And these include conceptual mapping,
- $889\ 00:38:52.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.610$ systems-based case studies,
- 890 00:38:54.610 --> 00:38:56.190 simulation modeling,
- 891 00:38:56.190 --> 00:38:59.240 systemic analysis of governance planning and policy,
- $892\ 00:38:59.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.890$ and transdisciplinary research.

893 00:39:00.890 --> 00:39:03.240 And I'll talk about each of these just briefly.

 $894\ 00:39:05.580 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.230$ At the most basic level,

 $895\ 00:39:07.230$ --> 00:39:10.030 concepts mapping can help organize information.

896 00:39:10.030 --> 00:39:12.130 I know this doesn't look very organized to you,

 $897\ 00:39:12.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.900$ but it actually helps a lot.

 $898\ 00:39:14.900 --> 00:39:17.663$ It allows for exploration and hypothesis generation.

899 00:39:18.580 --> 00:39:21.500 This particular diagram is a causal process diagram

900 00:39:21.500 \rightarrow 00:39:24.870 for droughts and mental health from a systematic review.

901 00:39:24.870 \rightarrow 00:39:26.910 Now, the numbers that you see in brackets

902 00:39:26.910 --> 00:39:30.360 are the number of papers meeting the search criteria.

903 00:39:30.360 --> 00:39:32.080 So, you can see that this gives a sense of the state

 $904\ 00:39:32.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.750$ of knowledge across the system,

905 00:39:33.750 --> 00:39:36.830 and suggests where more research may be needed.

906 00:39:36.830 \rightarrow 00:39:40.600 And then there's the area of the shaded in green here,

 $907\ 00:39:40.600 --> 00:39:43.320$ gives a sense of how this whole system diagram can be used

 $908\ 00:39:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.010$ to identify subsystems of interest.

909 00:39:46.010 \rightarrow 00:39:48.810 In this case, between drought, agricultural productivity,

910 00:39:48.810 \rightarrow 00:39:51.720 workloads and the health of the economy.

911 00:39:51.720 --> 00:39:54.920 Conceptual diagramming of this sort can also help identify

912 00:39:54.920 --> 00:39:58.513 potential co-benefits or co-risks between climate actions.

913 00:40:00.730 --> 00:40:02.720 On a more applied level,

- 914 00:40:02.720 --> 00:40:05.610 place-based, systems-based case studies can help,
- 915 $00:40:05.610 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.650$ can also help with hypothesis generation
- $916\ 00:40:07.650 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.600$ and problem diagnosis.
- 917 00:40:09.600 --> 00:40:11.930 They can also play an important role in communication
- $918\ 00{:}40{:}11.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}15.630$ and advocacy because they provide a common language
- 919 00:40:15.630 --> 00:40:17.260 that cuts across silos,
- $920~00:40:17.260 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.083$ the language of feedback and stocks and flows.
- 921 00:40:21.240 --> 00:40:23.960 This is a case study series from a research project
- 922 00:40:23.960 --> 00:40:27.220 that I led a few years ago at UNU, it was called,
- 923 00:40:27.220 --> 00:40:29.600 Systems Thinking in Place-Based Methods for Healthier
- 924 00:40:29.600 --> 00:40:32.340 Malaysian Cities, SCHEMA for short.
- $925\ 00:40:32.340 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.890$ Don't ask me about the acronym.
- 926 00:40:37.267 --> 00:40:38.250 The case studies were produced in
- $927\ 00:40:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.080$ iterative cycles of engagement between a systems thinker,
- 928 00:40:42.080 --> 00:40:44.010 who provided technical knowledge and encourage
- 929 00:40:44.010 --> 00:40:46.110 thinking about dynamic processes,
- $930\ 00:40:46.110 --> 00:40:48.090$ and a set of urban stakeholders who supplied
- 931 00:40:48.090 --> 00:40:50.430 local relevant knowledge,
- 932 00:40:50.430 --> 00:40:52.790 and evaluated the options, the structural options
- 933 00:40:52.790 --> 00:40:55.040 that were given to them by the system figure.
- 934 $00:40:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.193$ In the end,
- $935\ 00:40:57.193 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.350$ the local stakeholders made all the decisions
- 936 $00:40:59.350 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.623$ about the final model.
- 937 00:41:01.510 --> 00:41:03.870 This particular model explores how to assure safe food

- 938 00:41:03.870 --> 00:41:07.270 in school cafeterias, but the series covered a wide range
- 939 00:41:07.270 --> 00:41:09.530 of sustainability and health issues.
- 940 00:41:09.530 --> 00:41:11.250 There's lots of different methodologies
- 941 00:41:11.250 --> 00:41:12.460 for producing this kind of study
- 942 00:41:12.460 --> 00:41:14.010 and it could be done quite easily,
- $943\ 00:41:14.010 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.080$ so I think it's actually also a really useful tool
- $944\ 00:41:16.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.490$ for education and systems they need.
- 945 00:41:19.490 --> 00:41:21.450 On an even more applied level, of course,
- 946 00:41:21.450 --> 00:41:24.080 you have simulation models.
- 947 00:41:24.080 --> 00:41:25.270 Treat these with caution,
- 948 00:41:25.270 --> 00:41:27.420 absolute prediction is difficult,
- $949\ 00:41:27.420 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.600$ but they can provide useful insights to the system behavior,
- 950 00:41:30.600 --> 00:41:33.230 the probable outcomes of different scenarios,
- 951 00:41:33.230 --> 00:41:36.030 and potential unintended consequences.
- $952\ 00:41:36.030 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.130$ Simulation models can also be used to design
- $953\ 00:41:38.130 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.670$ and assess interventions,
- $954\ 00:41:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.610$ which is especially important for interventions
- $955\ 00:41:41.610 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.050$ with long time horizons.
- $956\ 00:41:44.050 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.030$ This particular model is of climate population
- $957\ 00:41:47.030 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.550$ and water supply.
- $958\ 00:41:48.550 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.383$ The agents here,
- 959 00:41:49.383 --> 00:41:50.730 which include households,
- 960 00:41:50.730 --> 00:41:52.760 and the water utility manager,
- 961 00:41:52.760 --> 00:41:54.720 make decisions based on their own attributes
- 962 00:41:54.720 --> 00:41:56.320 and rules for behavior,
- 963 00:41:56.320 --> 00:41:58.920 but also based on the current state of water system.
- 964 00:41:59.990 --> 00:42:01.730 Agent-based models are especially useful
- $965\ 00{:}42{:}01.730 {\: \hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}42{:}05.070$ for looking at issues with distributional impacts,

 $966\ 00:42:05.070 --> 00:42:08.043$ but there are many other classes of simulation model.

967 00:42:10.720 --> 00:42:13.670 Analysis of urban governance policy and planning

 $968\ 00:42:13.670 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.120$ is another really crucial element

 $969\ 00:42:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.570$ of the system-based agenda,

 $970\ 00:42:17.570 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.310$ just because these are the information

971 00:42:19.310 --> 00:42:21.620 and control structures for urban systems.

972 00:42:21.620 --> 00:42:24.393 So these are potentially high leverage points.

973 00:42:25.440 --> 00:42:27.620 This particular chart,

974 00:42:27.620 --> 00:42:30.010 maps different modes of urban climate governance

 $975\ 00:42:30.010 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.653$ against mitigation sectors.

976 00:42:32.673 --> 00:42:34.680 So for example, for transport,

977 00:42:34.680 --> 00:42:38.300 it distinguishes self-governing like procuring

978 00:42:38.300 \rightarrow 00:42:41.630 energy-efficient vehicles for the government fleet,

979 00:42:41.630 --> 00:42:46.040 governing through enabling like educational campaigns,

 $980\ 00:42:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.770$ governing by provisions, such as

981 00:42:47.770 --> 00:42:49.720 the provision of public transport,

 $982\ 00{:}42{:}49.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}54.003$ and governing by regulation such as road user charges.

983 00:42:55.520 --> 00:42:57.510 I don't want to go through this in detail,

 $984\ 00:42:57.510 --> 00:42:59.340$ but just to make the point that understanding

 $985\ 00{:}42{:}59.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}02.270$ how each of these modes functions and practice,

986 00:43:02.270 --> 00:43:05.570 and how they themselves are connected in feedback systems

 $987\ 00:43:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.980$ and hierarchies.

988 00:43:07.980 --> 00:43:11.160 It again offers opportunities for problem diagnosis,

989 00:43:11.160 --> 00:43:13.690 hypothesis generation, and advocacy.

990 00:43:13.690 --> 00:43:15.780 One of the things that this kind of mapping does

991 00:43:15.780 --> 00:43:18.840 is it allows for documentation of the early stages

992 00:43:18.840 --> 00:43:22.110 of policy and planning to reduce the survivorship bias

993 00:43:22.110 --> 00:43:23.510 that I talked about earlier.

994 00:43:25.070 --> 00:43:26.093 And finally,

995 00:43:29.239 --> 00:43:32.010 transdisciplinary research is increasingly recognized

996 00:43:32.010 --> 00:43:35.060 as an important modality for resolving complex

997 00:43:35.060 --> 00:43:36.730 societal challenges.

998 00:43:36.730 --> 00:43:40.600 This is an OECD report that I helped coordinate in 2020,

999 00:43:40.600 --> 00:43:42.980 because recommendations for universities,

1000 00:43:42.980 --> 00:43:44.550 research funders, researchers,

1001 00:43:44.550 --> 00:43:46.530 and international organizations,

 $1002\ 00:43:46.530 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.170$ are looking to foster this kind of work.

1003 00:43:49.170 --> 00:43:50.410 Transdisciplinary research,

 $1004\ 00:43:50.410 --> 00:43:53.640$ which is across the boundary between science and society.

 $1005\ 00{:}43{:}53.640 {\:-->}\ 00{:}43{:}55.870$ That's the defining characteristic.

 $1006\ 00:43:55.870 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.860$ It involves non-stained stakeholders and codesign,

1007 00:43:58.860 --> 00:44:00.900 blending knowledge and creating new theory

 $1008\ 00:44:00.900 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.313$ in search of common goals.

 $1009\ 00{:}44{:}03.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}06.060$ It generally involves cycles of conceptualization,

 $1010\ 00:44:06.060 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.250$ implementation and evaluation.

 $1011\ 00:44:08.250 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.700$ It takes longer.

1012 00:44:09.700 --> 00:44:11.010 It's usually more difficult.

 $1013\ 00{:}44{:}11.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}14.020$ It's almost always more messy than traditional research.

 $1014\ 00{:}44{:}14.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}17.900$ but well-designed and this is where research can generate

1015 00:44:17.900 --> 00:44:20.240 scientific breakthroughs and local solutions

 $1016\ 00:44:20.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.610$ at the same time.

 $1017\ 00:44:21.610$ --> 00:44:23.820 And that's something that's really important at this moment

 $1018\ 00:44:23.820 --> 00:44:26.403$ when we have to act at the same time as we learn.

 $1019\ 00:44:27.500 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.853$ And just to give an example of transdisciplinary research,

1020 00:44:31.890 --> 00:44:33.050 through my program at Wellcome,

1021 00:44:33.050 --> 00:44:35.800 we fund a research partnership called RISE,

 $1022\ 00{:}44{:}35.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}38.500$ Revitalizing Informal Settlements and their Environments.

1023 00:44:38.500 --> 00:44:41.060 And this is a randomized control trial,

102400:44:41.060 --> 00:44:43.670 The complex, nature-based water and sanitation

 $1025\ 00:44:43.670 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.415$ and intervention in informal settlements

 $1026\ 00:44:46.415 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.520$ in Indonesia and Fiji.

 $1027\ 00:44:48.520 --> 00:44:50.770$ The intervention itself has core features.

 $1028\ 00:44:50.770 --> 00:44:53.730$ It's based on a nature-based approach to sanitation,

 $1029\ 00:44:53.730 --> 00:44:55.720$ but ultimately an intervention is tailored

1030 00:44:55.720 --> 00:44:57.190 to each community.

 $1031\ 00:44:57.190 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.940$ Community stakeholders make the final design decisions.

 $1032\ 00:45:00.870 --> 00:45:02.597$ RISE measures a wide range of health

1033 00:45:02.597 --> 00:45:04.223 and environmental outcomes,

1034 00:45:05.280 --> 00:45:07.570 and scientifically, its generated knowledge,

1035 00:45:07.570 --> 00:45:09.210 not only about the intervention,

 $1036\ 00:45:09.210 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.500$ but about community engagement,

 $1037\ 00{:}45{:}10.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}13.100$ and the capacity involving the informal settlements.

1038 00:45:14.810 --> 00:45:16.260 So for the study communities,

 $1039\ 00{:}45{:}16.260$ --> $00{:}45{:}19.090$ it's generated livelihoods, ownership and agency

 $1040\ 00:45:19.090 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.780$ beyond the benefits of the intervention.

 $1041\ 00{:}45{:}21.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}24.490$ And I think that we need much more of this type of research

 $1042\ 00:45:24.490 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.650$ to tackle the challenges of climate and health

 $1043\ 00:45:26.650 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.763$ in cities and beyond.

 $1044\ 00{:}45{:}28.870 {\: -->\:} 00{:}45{:}33.400$ So that brings me to the end of my presentation.

 $1045~00{:}45{:}33.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35.500~\mathrm{I}$ think that we're at a time when we need to harness

 $1046\ 00:45:35.500 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.580$ the brilliance and the exuberance of cities

 $1047\ 00:45:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.130$ to meet the needs of people in the planet.

1048 00:45:40.130 --> 00:45:42.170 And I think that systems thinking is critical

 $1049\ 00:45:42.170 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.430$ to that effort.

1050 00:45:43.430 --> 00:45:44.973 So thank you for listening.

 $1051\ 00:45:46.050 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.623$ I'm glad to take any questions.

 $1052\ 00:45:51.800 --> 00:45:52.980 < v -> Well, thank you, Jose. < / v >$

 $1053\ 00{:}45{:}52.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}56.023$ I could start with a question to get things started.

 $1054\ 00{:}45{:}58.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}03.100$ So you gave a number of examples of conceptual diagrams

 $1055\ 00:46:03.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.000$ of systems models that, you know, were hard,

1056 00:46:08.000 --> 00:46:12.280 obviously hard to digest deeply, you know,

 $1057\ 00:46:12.280 --> 00:46:15.763$ given the timeframe, which, but my question is,

 $1058\ 00:46:16.660 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.380$ do you see a role for more quantitative systems models

1059 00:46:21.380 --> 00:46:22.803 in doing this kind of work?

 $1060\ 00:46:24.300 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.240 < v \longrightarrow Yeah, I absolutely do. < / v >$

 $1061\ 00{:}46{:}26.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}28.990$ And I, when I was talking about simulation modeling,

 $1062\ 00{:}46{:}28.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}31.940$ I was more talking about quantitative models.

- 1063 00:46:31.940 --> 00:46:34.680 I think that you do get into difficulties
- $1064\ 00{:}46{:}34.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37.620$ when you try and get into that exact prediction.
- 1065 00:46:37.620 --> 00:46:40.130 We've seen, for example, with COVID-19,
- $1066~00{:}46{:}40.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}42.560$ how difficult it is to predict the exact behavior
- $1067\ 00:46:42.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.520$ of a system,
- 1068 00:46:43.520 --> 00:46:46.020 but we are actually quite good at predicting
- $1069\ 00:46:46.020 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.540$ the general shape.
- $1070\ 00:46:47.540 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.450$ So we may not be able to say that the people come now,
- $1071\ 00:46:50.450 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.000$ but we can say that there will be a peak.
- $1072\ 00{:}46{:}53.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}57.500$ So certainly, all sorts of climate and health questions
- $1073\ 00:46:57.500 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.180$ in cities and beyond are amenable
- 1074 00:47:00.180 --> 00:47:02.230 to that kind of quantitative model, yeah.
- 1075 00:47:04.410 --> 00:47:05.570 Rafael?
- 1076 00:47:05.570 --> 00:47:06.403 <v ->Yes.</v>
- $1077\ 00{:}47{:}06.403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}10.270$ Thank you for a very insightful presentation, Jose.
- 1078 00:47:10.270 --> 00:47:13.376 And my question is about, first of all,
- $1079\ 00:47:13.376 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.090$ And my question is about, first of all,
- $1080\ 00{:}47{:}17.090 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}} > 00{:}47{:}19.750$ realizing through your presentation
- $1081\ 00:47:19.750 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.280$ and the work that some of us are doing with food systems,
- 1082 00:47:23.280 --> 00:47:27.910 how it is important to not fear complexity,
- $1083\ 00:47:27.910 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.840$ that at the end of the day,
- $1084\ 00:47:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.970$ we have to deal with it
- $1085\ 00:47:32.970 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.750$ the way it is in reality on the one hand,
- 1086 00:47:35.750 --> 00:47:38.980 and we can come up with very wonderful
- $1087\ 00:47:38.980 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.540$ spaghetti-like diagrams,
- $1088\ 00:47:40.540 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.060$ like some of the ones you showed us to
- $1089\ 00:47:43.060 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.330$ all the innumerable factors and subsystems

- $1090\ 00:47:47.330 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.763$ that are interconnected,
- $1091\ 00:47:49.800 --> 00:47:52.240$ explaining the problem that we have.
- 1092 00:47:52.240 --> 00:47:56.940 But then, I think for decision making, for policy making,
- $1093\ 00:47:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.393$ at the end of the day,
- 1094 00:47:59.564 --> 00:48:03.370 the secret sauce is in actually breaking down
- 1095 00:48:03.370 --> 00:48:06.100 those systems into subsystems,
- $1096\ 00:48:06.100 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.070$ that we can really understand in a reasonable way,
- $1097\ 00{:}48{:}09.070 {\:\hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}48{:}12.380$ that we can really understand in a reasonable way,
- $1098\ 00:48:12.380 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.810$ and that we can actually come up with very specific policies
- $1099\ 00:48:16.810 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.170$ or interventions to address them
- $1100\ 00{:}48{:}19.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}21.850$ versus trying to do everything at the same time.
- 1101 00:48:21.850 --> 00:48:22.683 So,
- $1102\ 00:48:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.592$ what is your take with regards to not fearing complexity,
- $1103\ 00:48:24.592 --> 00:48:28.960$ what is your take with regards to not fearing complexity,
- $1104\ 00{:}48{:}28.960 {\: -->\:} 00{:}48{:}33.960$ but at the same time embracing simplicity to try to address
- 1105 00:48:34.280 --> 00:48:36.403 the humongous problems that we face?
- $1106\ 00:48:37.820 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.840 < v \longrightarrow Yeah. < /v >$
- 1107 00:48:38.840 --> 00:48:40.160 So first of all,
- $1108\ 00{:}48{:}40.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}43.250\ I$ think that you're right about spaghetti diagrams.
- $1109\ 00:48:43.250 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.220$ There's a diagram,
- $1110\ 00:48:44.220 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.717$ a famous diagram on obesity
- 1111 00:48:46.717 --> 00:48:48.460 and the factors that lead to obesity,
- 1112 00:48:48.460 --> 00:48:51.330 and called, I think the framework shift model,
- $1113\ 00{:}48{:}51.330 --> 00{:}48{:}55.180$ which is so crazy that I decided I didn't want to show it.

- 1114 00:48:55.180 --> 00:48:59.140 And those sorts of diagrams actually,
- $1115\ 00:48:59.140 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.040$ I think can lead to paralysis.
- 1116 00:49:01.040 --> 00:49:03.020 So they're not useful in that sense,
- $1117\ 00:49:03.020 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.960$ but they are useful in constructing them
- $1118\ 00{:}49{:}05.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}08.490$ to think about the subsystems and to learn about the things
- 1119 00:49:08.490 --> 00:49:09.940 that were not, you know,
- $1120\ 00:49:09.940 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.180$ you didn't consider it to be related and actually are.
- 1121 00:49:12.180 --> 00:49:13.270 But I agree with you,
- $1122\ 00:49:13.270 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.170$ that pulling out the subsystems is really where you get more
- 1123 00:49:16.170 --> 00:49:18.850 interesting and applicable results.
- $1124\ 00:49:18.850 --> 00:49:20.710\ I\ did\ some\ work\ with\ a\ colleague\ at\ ANU$
- $1125\ 00{:}49{:}20.710 --> 00{:}49{:}24.040$ that made the argument that low order systems models.
- $1126\ 00:49:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.350$ So five variables or less are really useful
- $1127\ 00{:}49{:}27.350 --> 00{:}49{:}29.580$ for influencing policy makers if you can get them
- $1128\ 00:49:29.580 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.930$ to engage with the process.
- $1129\ 00:49:32.050 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.920$ I have another talk.
- $1130\ 00:49:34.920 --> 00:49:38.530$ I remember where actually, I was at a meeting
- 1131 00:49:38.530 --> 00:49:40.150 and there was a policy maker speaking.
- $1132\ 00{:}49{:}40.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}41.860$ She had been, I think deputy director
- 1133 00:49:41.860 --> 00:49:44.420 of the city of Lens in France,
- $1134\ 00:49:44.420 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.170$ and someone asked her, you know,
- $1135\ 00:49:46.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.970$ how do you get policy makers to engage with
- 1136 00:49:47.970 --> 00:49:49.170 systems thinking?
- $1137\ 00:49:49.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.430$ And she said, don't ever use the word system.
- $1138\ 00{:}49{:}51.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}54.970$ Once you've used the word system, they've checked out.
- $1139\ 00{:}49{:}54.970 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>} 00{:}49{:}58.900$ So you do have to find ways to express these things in ways

- $1140\ 00{:}49{:}58.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}00.850$ that are more palatable and more understandable
- $1141\ 00:50:00.850 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.870$ for the audience.
- 1142 00:50:02.870 --> 00:50:04.190 But I think that that's part of the challenge.
- 1143 00:50:04.190 --> 00:50:06.410 And I don't think it's, I don't think,
- 1144 00:50:06.410 --> 00:50:07.890 I mean, I don't think it's daunting.
- $1145\ 00:50:07.890$ --> 00:50:10.950 I think it's actually really exciting that there's this
- $1146\ 00:50:10.950 \dashrightarrow 00:50:14.140$ whole area of space that may be we haven't spent enough time
- $1147\ 00:50:14.140 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.560$ thinking about, but that we can,
- $1148\ 00:50:16.560 --> 00:50:18.970$ especially sort of in mapping the government structures
- $1149\ 00:50:18.970 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.280$ and the barriers that come about
- $1150\ 00:50:20.280 --> 00:50:22.130$ through the structure of governments.
- $1151\ 00:50:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.803 < v \longrightarrow Thank you. < / v >$
- $1152\ 00:50:36.465 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.850 < v \longrightarrow Are there any other questions? < / v >$
- $1153\ 00:50:37.850 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.293$ Feel free to speak up.
- $1154\ 00:50:40.210$ --> 00:50:42.413 While you're thinking, I'll ask another question.
- 1155 00:50:44.060 --> 00:50:44.893 So,
- 1156 00:50:45.950 --> 00:50:47.810 I guess the question is,
- 1157 00:50:47.810 --> 00:50:52.570 what are the limitations of cities'
- $1158\ 00:50:52.570 --> 00:50:57.460$ city-level policy in a context where you don't have a
- $1159\ 00{:}50{:}57.460 {\: -->\:} 00{:}51{:}01.520$ coherent, you know, good national policy around
- $1160\ 00:51:01.520 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.720$ climate change or climate change and health?
- $1161\ 00:51:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.540 < v \longrightarrow That's a good question. < / v > That's a good question. < / v > v \longrightarrow That's a good question. < / v > v \longrightarrow That's a good question. < / v > v \longrightarrow That's a good question. < / v > v \longrightarrow That's a good question. < / v > v \longrightarrow That's a good question. < / v > v \longrightarrow That's a good question. < / v > v \longrightarrow That's a good question. < / v > v \longrightarrow That's a good question. < / v > v \longrightarrow That's a good question. < / v > v \longrightarrow That's a good question. < / v > v \longrightarrow That's a good question.$
- 1162 00:51:07.540 --> 00:51:09.560 I think that, well, for one thing,
- $1163\ 00:51:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.143$ it depends on the context.
- $1164\ 00:51:12.160 --> 00:51:14.620$ There's some places where there will be more importance

 $1165\ 00:51:14.620 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.210$ to have coherence or not depending on the climatic factors,

1166 00:51:18.210 --> 00:51:19.610 depending on social factors.

 $1167\ 00{:}51{:}20.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}24.430$ I think that in general, cities are a good unit to use

1168 00:51:24.430 --> 00:51:27.890 because they can, you know,

1169 00:51:27.890 --> 00:51:30.080 they can take action at a large enough scale

 $1170\ 00:51:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.210$ to affect people, and they're close to people.

 $1171\ 00{:}51{:}33.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}35.530$ National policy makers often have less understanding

 $1172\ 00{:}51{:}35.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}37.700$ of the issues than city policy makers.

 $1173\ 00{:}51{:}37.700$ --> $00{:}51{:}40.110$ I think where you really do have to have coherence between

1174 00:51:40.110 --> 00:51:44.150 cities and countries is in finance,

 $1175\ 00{:}51{:}44.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}46.600$ because cities don't have the finance to be able to take

 $1176\ 00:51:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.008$ the kinds of actions that they need to take.

1177 00:51:49.008 --> 00:51:49.841 So for example,

 $1178\ 00:51:49.841 --> 00:51:52.500$ there's a group called the Coalition for Urban Transitions,

1179 00:51:53.980 --> 00:51:56.490 which I believe is funded by Bloomberg.

 $1180\ 00:51:56.490 --> 00:51:59.540$ It's sort of a partner to the C40 Cities program,

 $1181\ 00{:}51{:}59.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}02.580$ which advocates and provides evidence for governments

1182 00:52:02.580 --> 00:52:05.083 to fund climate action through cities.

1183 00:52:06.410 --> 00:52:07.460 But,

 $1184\ 00:52:07.460 --> 00:52:11.090$ yeah, so in general, I think the cities are a good unit.

1185 00:52:11.090 --> 00:52:12.940 Obviously, it's much better if you can coordinate

 $1186\ 00:52:12.940 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.030$ city action with national action.

 $1187\ 00:52:15.030 \dashrightarrow 00:52:17.410$ And that's something that we don't see enough of.

 $1188\ 00:52:17.410 --> 00:52:19.410$ In the United States, you have huge disparities

 $1189\ 00:52:19.410$ --> 00:52:22.300 between city climate action and national climate action,

1190 00:52:22.300 --> 00:52:24.523 but hopefully that's changing for the better.

1191 00:52:30.790 --> 00:52:34.890 So I see a question here. Why don't I read it out?

1192 00:52:34.890 --> 00:52:35.723 Based on your research,

 $1193\ 00:52:35.723 --> 00:52:38.870$ do you see a potential role for environmental lawyers

1194 00:52:38.870 --> 00:52:41.150 in overcoming the silos you mentioned

 $1195\ 00:52:41.150 --> 00:52:43.420$ and perhaps addressing the need for accountability

 $1196\ 00:52:43.420 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.000$ and meeting commitments pledged by national governments?

1197 00:52:47.000 --> 00:52:49.970 So, first of all, I see a role for everybody.

 $1198\ 00:52:49.970 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.960$ You know, we're having the silos that I mentioned,

 $1199\ 00:52:51.960 \dashrightarrow 00:52:55.903$ so lawyers, architects, urban planners, engineers,

 $1200\ 00:52:57.600 --> 00:53:01.390$ public policy makers, civil society, everybody has a role.

1201 00:53:01.390 --> 00:53:04.220 But specific to the role of law, that's actually,

 $1202\ 00:53:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.710$ that's something that we're thinking about

1203 00:53:05.710 --> 00:53:07.570 at Wellcome, right now,

 $1204\ 00:53:07.570 --> 00:53:10.220$ because we're designing a whole new strategy

 $1205\ 00:53:10.220 --> 00:53:11.690$ that includes climate and health

 $1206\ 00{:}53{:}11.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}14.387$ as one of the three fundamental areas that we'll fund

 $1207\ 00:53:14.387 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.160$ for the next several decades.

1208 00:53:17.160 --> 00:53:18.980 And one of the elements in there,

1209 00:53:18.980 --> 00:53:21.540 is how do we design funding for,

1210 00:53:21.540 --> 00:53:23.900 to produce the kind of research that lawyers

 $1211\ 00:53:23.900 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.130$ will be able to use in holding governments

- $1212\ 00:53:27.130 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.630$ and other players accountable.
- 1213 00:53:29.490 --> 00:53:32.290 So you'll have seen, or you may have seen that recently
- 1214 00:53:32.290 --> 00:53:35.210 there was a judgment in Holland against
- $1215\ 00:53:35.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.050$ the Shell oil company that said,
- $1216\ 00:53:38.050 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.315$ that basically, it was liable for not having a policy
- $1217\ 00:53:41.315 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.530$ that basically, it was liable for not having a policy
- $1218\ 00:53:43.530 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.650$ that did enough to curb emissions.
- $1219\ 00:53:46.650 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.530$ And we hope that we're gonna see many, many more judgments
- $1220\ 00:53:49.530 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.363$ like that.
- 1221 00:53:50.363 --> 00:53:52.120 Not just against the oil companies,
- $1222\ 00:53:52.120 --> 00:53:54.900$ but really against all sorts of players
- $1223\ 00:53:54.900 --> 00:53:57.050$ that are not taking appropriate climate action.
- $1224\ 00:53:57.050 --> 00:54:00.450$ So I think the role of lawyers is actually quite critical
- $1225\ 00:54:00.450 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.283$ in all of this.
- $1226\ 00:54:12.720 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.580 < v \longrightarrow Okay.$ One last chance.
- 1227 00:54:14.580 --> 00:54:15.673 Any other comments?
- $1228\ 00:54:16.800 --> 00:54:18.530$ Feel free to either put it in the chat
- 1229 00:54:18.530 --> 00:54:19.883 or just speak right up.
- 1230 00:54:23.690 --> 00:54:25.320 Okay, well,
- $1231\ 00:54:25.320 \dashrightarrow 00:54:30.120$ thank you very much, Jose, for a wonderful seminar,
- 1232 00:54:30.120 --> 00:54:32.100 it was very comprehensive and...
- 1233 00:54:35.070 --> 00:54:36.500 <v ->Thanks, Robert. It's great to be here.</v>
- 1234 00:54:36.500 --> 00:54:38.050 And if anyone has any questions,
- 1235 00:54:38.050 --> 00:54:40.483 I'm very glad to answer it so,
- 1236 00:54:42.271 --> 00:54:44.210 you know, just write me.

1237 00:54:44.210 --> 00:54:45.043 <v ->Okay.</v> 1238 00:54:45.043 --> 00:54:46.153 So, bye everyone.